

# **MSCI ESG Leaders Select Sustainability Indexes Methodology**

May 2024

## Contents

<b>1. Introduction .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2. Index Construction Methodology .....</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1 Applicable Universe.....	4
2.2 Eligible Universe .....	4
2.2.1 Additional Exclusions Criteria .....	4
2.2.2 Additional Eligibility Criteria .....	4
2.3 Security Selection and Weighting .....	5
2.4 Determining the Optimized Index.....	7
<b>3. Maintaining the MSCI ESG Leaders Select Sustainability Indexes .....</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1 Quarterly Index Reviews.....	8
3.2 Ongoing Event-Related Changes.....	8
<b>4. MSCI ESG Research .....</b>	<b>10</b>
4.1 MSCI ESG Ratings .....	10
4.2 MSCI ESG Controversies .....	10
4.3 MSCI ESG Business Involvement Screening Research.....	10
4.4 MSCI Climate Change Metrics .....	10
4.5 MSCI Impact Solutions: Sustainable Impact Metrics.....	11
4.6 MSCI Impact Solutions: SDG Alignment.....	11
<b>Appendix I: Underlying Investment Universe .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Appendix II: Additional Exclusions Criteria .....</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Appendix III: Calculation of Target Metrics .....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>Appendix IV: MSCI Low Carbon Transition Risk Assessment .....</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>Appendix V: Decarbonization Trajectory of Indexes .....</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>Appendix VI: Implementation of New Base Date.....</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>Appendix VII: Barra Equity Model Used in the Optimization .....</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>Appendix VIII: New Release of Barra® Equity Model or Barra® Optimizer .....</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>Appendix IX: Methodology Set .....</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>Appendix X: Changes to this Document .....</b>	<b>30</b>

# 1. Introduction

The MSCI ESG Leaders Select Sustainability Indexes (“Indexes”)<sup>1</sup> aim to represent the performance of an investment strategy that selects securities of companies that have high Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) ratings relative to their sector peers, as well as meet any one of the eligibility criteria aimed at qualifying companies’ positive impact.<sup>2</sup> Additionally, the Indexes apply certain climate-change-based and other exclusions criteria, and follow an optimization-based approach to meet the following climate change and other objectives:

- Reduce the weighted average greenhouse gas intensity by 50% compared to the underlying investment universe<sup>3</sup> (the “Parent Index”),
- Reduce the weighted average GHG intensity by 7% on an annualized basis,
- Increase the weight of companies deriving revenues from products or services with positive environmental impact (“green revenue”) relative to revenues from “fossil fuels-based” products or services compared to their corresponding Parent Index,
- Have a weighted average LCT Score at least equivalent to that of the corresponding Parent Index,
- Have a weighted average ESG Score at least equivalent to that of the corresponding Parent Index,
- Have a weighted average SDG Score at least equivalent to that of the corresponding Parent Index,
- Achieve a modest tracking error compared to the Parent Index and maintain relatively low turnover.

<sup>1</sup> • MSCI ACWI ESG Leaders Select Sustainability Index

• MSCI Europe ESG Leaders Select Sustainability Index

• MSCI USA ESG Leaders Select Sustainability Index

• MSCI Japan ESG Leaders Select Sustainability Index

<sup>2</sup> The Indexes are governed by a set of methodology and policy documents (“Methodology Set”), including the present index methodology document. Please refer to Appendix IX for more details.

<sup>3</sup> The underlying investment universe for the MSCI ESG Leaders Select Sustainability Indexes are referenced in Appendix I.

## 2. Index Construction Methodology

### 2.1 Applicable Universe

The applicable universe includes all the existing constituents of the corresponding free-float adjusted market-capitalization weighted Parent Index.

### 2.2 Eligible Universe

The Indexes use company ratings and research provided by MSCI ESG Research<sup>4</sup> to determine eligibility for Index inclusion. The Initial Universe is constructed from the Applicable Universe as per Section 2 of the MSCI ESG Leaders Index methodology<sup>5</sup> with the following variations:

#### 2.2.1 Additional Exclusions Criteria

In addition to the Controversial Business Involvement Criteria in Section 2.2.3 of the MSCI ESG Leaders Index methodology, the Indexes also apply additional exclusions criteria detailed in Appendix II.

#### 2.2.2 Additional Eligibility Criteria

Prior to the MSCI ESG Ratings-based ranking and selection in Section 3.1.2 and 3.1.3 of the MSCI ESG Leaders Index methodology, the Indexes apply additional eligibility criteria.

The Indexes use MSCI Impact Solutions, MSCI Climate Change Metrics, and MSCI ESG Ratings to identify companies that are assessed as having positive impact through their products, services, or operations. Companies that meet any of the following criteria are eligible for inclusion:

Inclusion Indicator	Criteria
<b>Sustainable Impact Solutions:</b> revenues derived from any of the thirteen social and environmental impact themes including nutrition, sanitation, major diseases treatment, SME finance, education or affordable real estate, alternative energy, energy efficiency, green building, pollution prevention and sustainable water.	20% or more revenue
<b>Estimated EU Taxonomy Alignment<sup>6</sup>:</b> revenues derived from products and services addressing environmental objectives, based on the MSCI Sustainable Impact Metrics framework.	20% or more revenue

<sup>4</sup> Please refer to Section 4 for further information regarding the ESG and climate data used in the Index / Indexes that MSCI Limited sources from MSCI ESG Research LLC, a separate subsidiary of MSCI Inc. MSCI ESG Research is solely responsible for the creation, determination, and management of such data. MSCI Limited and MSCI Deutschland GmbH are the benchmark administrators for the MSCI indexes.

<sup>5</sup> For more details regarding the MSCI ESG Leaders Indexes, please refer to the MSCI ESG Leaders Indexes Methodology Book at <https://www.msci.com/index-methodology>.

<sup>6</sup> Companies with Red and Orange Flag environmental controversies, and Red Flag social and governance controversies are excluded from the list as failing to meet the Do No Significant Harm and Minimum Social Safeguards criteria of the EU Taxonomy. Also excluded are tobacco producers; companies generating 5% or more of revenue from supply, distribution, or retail of tobacco products; and companies with any involvement in controversial weapons.

<b>Corporate Governance Percentage of Women on the Board:</b> the number of women on the board as a percentage of total board members.	40% or higher for DM / 20% or higher for EM
<b>SDG 1-17 Net Alignment Score:</b> net alignment score to each SDG, based on the nature of its product and service lines and its operational alignment to the goal	Score of 2 or higher on any of the 17 SDGs
<b>Science-based Approved Emission Target:</b> evidence of one or more active carbon emissions reduction target/s approved by the Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi)	True

## 2.3 Security Selection and Weighting

Securities in the Eligible Universe are selected and weighted following an optimization-based approach to achieve replicability and investability, as well as minimize ex-ante tracking error relative to the Parent Index subject to the following constraints:

1. Transition and physical risk objectives – constraints detailed in Table 1
2. Climate transition opportunities and other ESG objectives – constraints detailed in Table 2
3. Diversification objectives – constraints detailed in Table 3

The definitions of the target metrics for the optimization are detailed in Appendix III.

Table 1: Constraints imposed to meet transition and physical risk objectives

No.	Transition and Physical Risk Objective	MSCI ESG Leaders Select Sustainability
1	Minimum reduction in Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Intensity (Scope 1+2+3 <sup>7</sup> ) relative to the Parent Index	50%
2	Minimum average reduction (per annum) in GHG Intensity relative to EVIC relative to the GHG Intensity of the Index at the Base Date <sup>8</sup>	7%
3	Minimum active weight in High Climate Impact Sector relative to the Parent Index	0%
4	Minimum reduction in Weighted Average Potential Emissions Intensity relative to the Parent Index	50%
5	Minimum increase in weighted average Low Carbon Transition (LCT) Score relative to the Parent Index Please see more detail on LCT Score in Appendix IV	0%

<sup>7</sup> Prior to the May 2020 Quarterly Index Review (SAIR) of the Indexes, the Weighted Average Carbon Emissions Intensity has been calculated based on Scope 1+2 Emissions.

<sup>8</sup> Prior to the May 2020 Quarterly Index Review (SAIR) of the Indexes, the average reduction in WACI has been calculated using Scope 1+2 Emissions since Inception. The Base Date may be updated based on the rules identified in Appendix VI.

Table 2: Constraints imposed in order to meet climate transition opportunity and other ESG objectives

No.	Climate Transition Opportunity and other ESG Objective	MSCI ESG Leaders Select Sustainability
6	Minimum increase in weighted average LCT Score relative to the Parent Index <sup>9</sup>	0%
7	Minimum ratio of Weighted Average Green Revenue/ Weighted Average Fossil fuels-based Revenue relative to the Parent Index	4 times
8	Minimum increase in weighted average ESG Score relative to the Parent Index	0%
9	Minimum increase in the weighted average SDG Score <sup>10</sup> relative to the Parent Index	0%
10	Minimum weighted average EU Taxonomy aligned revenue <sup>11</sup>	10%

Table 3: Constraints imposed to meet diversification objectives

No.	Diversification Objective	MSCI ESG Leaders Select Sustainability
11	Constituent Active Weight relative to the Parent Index	+ 5%
12	Maximum Issuer Weight	15%
13	Security Weight as a multiple of its weight in the Parent Index	0.1x/20x
14	Active Sector Weights (the Energy GICS® <sup>12</sup> Sector is not constrained) relative to the Parent Index	+/- 5%
15	Active Country Weight <sup>13</sup>	+/- 5%
16	One Way Turnover in Feb, Aug, Nov Index Reviews	5%
17	One Way Turnover in May Index Reviews and Base Resets as detailed in Appendix V	10%

<sup>9</sup> The constraint on increase in LCT Score is designed to underweight companies with a low LCT Score (assessed as companies facing risks from a low carbon transition) and overweight companies with a high LCT Score (assessed as companies which may have opportunities from a low carbon transition). Thus, the constraint has been repeated in Table 2 to illustrate how the constraint meets both the objectives.

<sup>10</sup> Security SDG Score is calculated as the sum of the SDG 1-17 Net Alignment Scores

<sup>11</sup> Use reported EU Taxonomy aligned revenue when available, estimated otherwise

<sup>12</sup> GICS, the Global Industry Classification Standard, jointly developed by MSCI Inc. and S&P Global.

<sup>13</sup> In case there are countries in the parent index which weigh less than 2.5% in the parent index then for such countries the active country upper bound of +5% is not applicable. When a country weighs less than 2.5% in parent index then the upper bound of country weight in the Index is set at three times of the country's weight in parent index.

18	Common Factor Risk Aversion	0.0075
19	Specific Risk Aversion	0.075

During the Quarterly Index Review, in the event that there is no optimal solution that satisfies all the optimization constraints, the following constraints will be relaxed, until an optimal solution is found:

- Relax the one-way index turnover constraint in steps of 1% up to 20%
- Relax the active sector weight constraint in steps of 1% up to +/-20%
- The one-way index turnover constraint and the active sector weight constraint are alternately relaxed until a feasible solution is achieved.

In the event that no optimal solution is found after the above constraint relaxations are exhausted, the relevant Index will not be rebalanced for that Quarterly Index Review.

## 2.4 Determining the Optimized Index

The Index is constructed using the Barra Open Optimizer<sup>14</sup> in combination with the relevant Barra Equity Model. The optimization uses universe of eligible securities and the specified optimization objectives and constraints to determine the constituents of and the weights of constituents in the Index.

<sup>14</sup> Please refer to Appendix VII and VIII for more details.

## 3. Maintaining the MSCI ESG Leaders Select Sustainability Indexes

### 3.1 Quarterly Index Reviews

The Indexes are rebalanced on a quarterly basis to coincide with the regular Index Reviews of the MSCI Global Investable Market Indexes. The changes are implemented as of the close of the last business day of February, May, August and November. The pro forma Indexes are in general announced nine business days before the effective date.

In general, MSCI uses MSCI ESG Research data (including MSCI ESG Ratings, MSCI Climate Change Metrics, MSCI Impact Solutions, MSCI ESG Controversies, and MSCI Business Involvement Screening Research) as of the end of the month preceding the Index Reviews for the rebalancing of the Indexes.

### 3.2 Ongoing Event-Related Changes

The general treatment of corporate events in the Indexes aims to minimize turnover outside of Index Reviews. The methodology aims to appropriately represent an investor's participation in an event based on relevant deal terms and pre-event weighting of the index constituents that are involved. Further, changes in index market capitalization that occur as a result of corporate event implementation will be offset by a corresponding change in the Variable Weighting Factor (VWF) of the constituent.

Additionally, if the frequency of Index Reviews in the Parent Index is greater than the frequency of Index Reviews in the Index, the changes made to the Parent Index during intermediate Index Reviews will be neutralized in the Index.

The following section briefly describes the treatment of common corporate events within the Index.

No new securities will be added (except where noted below) to the Index between Index Reviews. Parent Index deletions will be reflected simultaneously.

#### EVENT TYPE

#### EVENT DETAILS

##### New additions to the Parent Index

A new security added to the parent index (such as IPO and other early inclusions) will not be added to the index.

##### Spin-Offs

All securities created as a result of the spin-off of an existing Index constituent will be added to the Index at the time of event implementation.

##### Merger/Acquisition

For Mergers and Acquisitions, the acquirer's post event weight will account for the proportionate amount of shares involved in deal consideration, while cash proceeds will be invested across the Index.



If an existing Index constituent is acquired by a non-Index constituent, the existing constituent will be deleted from the Index and the acquiring non-constituent will not be added to the Index.

### **Changes in Security Characteristics**

A security will continue to be an Index constituent if there are changes in characteristics (country, sector, size segment, etc.) Reevaluation for continued inclusion in the Index will occur at the subsequent Index Review.

Further detail and illustration regarding specific treatment of corporate events relevant to the Indexes can be found in the MSCI Corporate Events Methodology book under the sections detailing the treatment of events in Capped Weighted and Non-Market Capitalization Weighted indexes.

The MSCI Corporate Events methodology book is available at:

<https://www.msci.com/index/methodology/latest/CE>

## 4. MSCI ESG Research

The Indexes are products of MSCI Inc. that utilize information such as company ratings and research produced and provided by MSCI ESG Research LLC (MSCI ESG Research), a subsidiary of MSCI Inc. In particular, the Indexes use the following MSCI ESG Research products: MSCI ESG Ratings, MSCI ESG Controversies, MSCI ESG Business Involvement Screening Research, MSCI Climate Change Metrics and MSCI Impact Solutions. MSCI Indexes are administered by MSCI Limited and MSCI Deutschland GmbH.

### 4.1 MSCI ESG Ratings

MSCI ESG Ratings aim to measure entities' management of environmental, social and governance risks and opportunities. MSCI ESG Ratings use a weighted average key issue calculation that is normalized by industry to arrive at an industry-adjusted ESG score (0-10), which is then translated to a seven-point scale from 'AAA' to 'CCC', indicating how an entity manages relevant key issues relative to industry peers.

The MSCI ESG Ratings methodology can be found at: <https://www.msci.com/legal/disclosures/esg-disclosures>.

### 4.2 MSCI ESG Controversies

MSCI ESG Controversies provide assessments of controversies concerning the potential negative environmental, social, and/or governance impact of company operations, products and services. The evaluation framework used in MSCI ESG Controversies is designed to be consistent with international norms represented by the UN Declaration of Human Rights, the ILO Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work, and the UN Global Compact. MSCI ESG Controversies Score falls on a 0-10 scale, with "0" being the most severe controversy.

The MSCI ESG Controversies methodology can be found at: <https://www.msci.com/legal/disclosures/esg-disclosures>.

### 4.3 MSCI ESG Business Involvement Screening Research

MSCI ESG Business Involvement Screening Research (BISR) aims to enable institutional investors to manage environmental, social and governance (ESG) standards and restrictions reliably and efficiently.

The MSCI Business Involvement Screening Research methodology can be found at: <https://www.msci.com/legal/disclosures/esg-disclosures>.

### 4.4 MSCI Climate Change Metrics

MSCI Climate Change Metrics provides climate data & tools to support institutional investors seeking to integrate climate risk & opportunities into their investment strategy and processes. This includes investors seeking to achieve a range of objectives, including measuring and reporting on climate risk exposure, implementing low carbon and fossil fuel-free strategies, alignment with temperature pathways and factoring climate change research into their risk management processes, in particular through climate scenario analysis for both transition and physical risks.

The dataset spans across the four dimensions of a climate strategy: transition risks, green opportunities, physical risks and 1.5° alignment.

For more details on MSCI Climate Change Metrics, please refer to <https://www.msci.com/climate-change-solutions>.

## 4.5 MSCI Impact Solutions: Sustainable Impact Metrics

MSCI Impact Solutions’ Sustainable Impact Metrics is designed to identify companies that derive revenue from products or services with positive impact on society and the environment. The Sustainable Impact Metrics are comprised of six Environmental Impact categories and seven Social Impact categories arranged by theme.

### MSCI Sustainable Impact Taxonomy

Pillar	Themes	Categories
Environmental Impact	Climate Change	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Alternative energy</li> <li>2. Energy efficiency</li> <li>3. Green building</li> </ol>
	Natural capital	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4. Sustainable water</li> <li>5. Pollution prevention</li> <li>6. Sustainable agriculture</li> </ol>
Social Impact	Basic needs	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>7. Nutrition</li> <li>8. Major Disease Treatment</li> <li>9. Sanitation</li> <li>10. Affordable Real Estate</li> </ol>
	Empowerment	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>11. SME Finance</li> <li>12. Education</li> <li>13. Connectivity – Digital divide</li> </ol>

Under each of the actionable environmental and social impact themes, MSCI ESG Research has identified specific categories of products and services that it has determined companies can offer as potential solutions to environmental and social challenges.

For more details on MSCI Sustainable Impact Metrics, please refer to <https://www.msci.com/legal/disclosures/esg-disclosures>.

## 4.6 MSCI Impact Solutions: SDG Alignment

MSCI Impact Solutions’ SDG Alignment is designed to provide a holistic view of companies’ net contribution – both positive and negative – towards addressing each of the 17 UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). SDG Alignment assessments and scores include analysis of companies’ operations, products and services, policies, and practices and their net contribution – positive and adverse – to addressing key global challenges.

The MSCI SDG Alignment framework provides 17 SDG Net Alignment scores and 17 SDG Net Alignment assessments (including Strongly Aligned, Aligned, Neutral, Misaligned and Strongly Misaligned) for each of the 17 global goals. In addition, the model offers assessments and scores



for two dimensions, product alignment and operation alignment, for each company and for each of the 17 goals.

The MSCI SDG Alignment methodology can be found at:  
<https://www.msci.com/legal/disclosures/esg-disclosures>.

## Appendix I: Underlying Investment Universe

The MSCI ESG Leaders Select Sustainability Indexes are constructed based on the following underlying investment universe:

Index	Parent Index
MSCI ACWI ESG Leaders Select Sustainability Index	MSCI ACWI Index
MSCI Europe ESG Leaders Select Sustainability Index	MSCI Europe Index
MSCI USA ESG Leaders Select Sustainability Index	MSCI USA Index
MSCI Japan ESG Leaders Select Sustainability Index <sup>15</sup>	MSCI Japan Index

<sup>15</sup> The MSCI Japan ESG Leaders Select Sustainability Index follows an optimization-based approach since inception, unlike the other regions

## Appendix II: Additional Exclusions Criteria

The Indexes follows the Controversial Business Involvement Criteria in Section 2.2.3 of the MSCI ESG Leaders Index methodology but applies the following additional criteria:

### Values-based Exclusions Criteria:

- **Civilian Firearms**
  - All companies deriving 5% or more aggregate revenue from the production and distribution (wholesale or retail) of firearms or small arms ammunition intended for civilian use
- **Weapons**
  - All companies deriving 5% or more revenue from the production and/or provision of conventional, nuclear, or biological/chemical weapons systems, components, and/or support systems and services
- **Tobacco**
  - **Tobacco Production:** All companies that manufacture tobacco products, such as cigars, blunts, cigarettes, e-cigarettes, inhalers, beedis, kreteks, smokeless tobacco, snuff, snus, dissolvable and chewing tobacco. This also covers companies that grow or process raw tobacco leaves
  - **Tobacco Distribution:** All companies deriving 5% or more revenue from the distribution of tobacco products
  - **Tobacco Retailing:** All companies deriving 5% or more revenue from the retail sales of tobacco products
- **Genetically Modified Organisms (GMO)**
  - All companies deriving 5% or more revenue from activities like genetically modifying plants, such as seeds and crops, and other organisms intended for agricultural use or human consumption
- **Alcohol**
  - All companies deriving 5% or more revenue from the production, distribution, retail sales, licensing, and/or supply of alcoholic products
- **Adult Entertainment**
  - All companies deriving 5% or more revenue from adult entertainment-related activities such as production, direction, or publication of adult entertainment materials; distribution of sexually explicit products and services; and/or retail sales of adult entertainment products through specialty stores or online sites
- **Gambling**
  - All companies deriving 5% or more revenue from gambling-related activities such as ownership or operation of gambling facilities, provision of key products or services fundamental to gambling operations, and/or licensing of company name or brand name to gambling products

### Global Norms-based Exclusions Criteria:

- **United Nations Global Compact Compliance**
  - All companies that fail to comply with the United Nations Global Compact principles
- **International Labour Organization Standards Compliance (Broad)**
  - All companies that fail to comply with the International Labour Organization's broader set of labor standards
- **United Nations Guiding Principles for Business and Human Rights Compliance**
  - All companies that fail to comply with the United Nations Guiding Principles for Business and Human Rights

### Climate Change-based Exclusions Criteria:

- **Extraction & Production**
  - All companies deriving 5% or more aggregate revenue (either reported or estimated) from thermal coal mining, uranium mining, oil and gas extraction and production, and/or oil and gas refining
    - **Thermal Coal Mining:** Revenue from the mining of thermal coal (including lignite, bituminous, anthracite and steam coal) and its sale to external parties. It does not cover revenue from metallurgical coal; coal mined for internal power generation (e.g., in the case of vertically integrated power producers); intra-company sales of mined thermal coal; and revenue from coal trading
    - **Uranium Mining:** Revenue from the mining of uranium
    - **Oil & Gas Extraction and Production:** Revenue from the extraction and production of oil and gas
    - **Oil & Gas Refining:** Revenue from refining oil and gas
  - **Unconventional Oil & Gas Extraction**
    - All companies deriving 5% or more revenue (either reported or estimated) from unconventional oil and gas. It covers revenues from oil sands, oil shale (kerogen-rich deposits), shale gas, shale oil, coal seam gas, and coal bed methane. It does not cover all types of conventional oil and gas production including Arctic onshore/offshore, deep water, shallow water and other onshore/offshore
  - **Conventional Oil & Gas Extraction**
    - All companies deriving 5% or more revenue (either reported or estimated) from conventional oil and gas. It covers all types of conventional oil and gas production including Arctic onshore/ offshore, deep water, shallow water and other onshore/ offshore

- **Oil & Gas Value Chain**

- **Oil & Gas Equipment and Services:** All companies deriving 5% or more revenue (either reported or estimated) from equipment and services for the exploration and production of oil and natural gas. It covers revenues from oil and gas exploration services, related equipment manufacturing, seismic surveys, engineering services and heavy construction related to oil and gas exploration activities
- **Oil & Gas Pipelines and Transportation:** All companies deriving 5% or more revenue (either reported or estimated) from oil and gas pipelines and transportation. It covers revenues from mid-stream operations but does not cover revenues from terminals and storage facilities

- **Fossil Fuel- and Nuclear-based Power Generation**

- All companies deriving 5% or more of installed capacity, or 5% or more revenue (either reported or estimated) from power generation based on thermal coal, liquid fuel, natural gas, or nuclear sources

- **Nuclear Power**

- All companies deriving 5% or more revenue from nuclear power activities such as ownership or operation of nuclear power plants, ownership or operation of active uranium mines, and/or supply of key nuclear-specific products or services to the nuclear power industry

#### EU Paris-aligned Benchmarks<sup>16</sup> Exclusions Criteria:

- **Controversial Weapons** (also applied by the ESG Leaders Methodology)

- All companies involved in Controversial Weapons as defined by the methodology of the MSCI Ex-Controversial Weapons Indexes<sup>17</sup>

- **ESG Controversies<sup>18</sup>** (also applied by the ESG Leaders Methodology)

- All companies assessed as having involvement in very severe controversies that are classified as Red Flags (ESG Controversy score of 0). A Red Flag indicates an ongoing Very Severe ESG controversy implicating a company directly through its actions, products, or operations

- **Environmental Controversy**

<sup>16</sup> Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2020/1818 of 17 July 2020 supplementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1011 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards minimum standards for EU Climate Transition Benchmarks and EU Paris-aligned Benchmarks (EU Low Carbon Benchmarks Delegated Acts): <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32020R1818&rid=1>

<sup>17</sup> For more details regarding the MSCI Ex-Controversial Weapons Index methodology, please refer to [www.msci.com/index-methodology](http://www.msci.com/index-methodology).

<sup>18</sup> The evaluation framework used in MSCI ESG Controversies is designed to be consistent with international norms represented by the UN Declaration of Human Rights, the ILO Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work, and the UN Global Compact. The MSCI ESG Controversies methodology can be found at: <https://www.msci.com/esg-and-climate-methodologies>.



- All companies assessed as having involvement in very severe or severe environmental controversies that are classified as Red (score of 0) or Orange Flags (score of 1) under the MSCI ESG Controversies' Environmental Pillar. The definition of Red Flag and Orange Flag Controversies are given below:
  - Red Flag: Indicates an ongoing Very Severe ESG controversy implicating a company directly through its actions, products, or operations
  - Orange Flag: Indicates that an ongoing Severe controversy implicating a company directly, or a Very Severe controversy that is either partially resolved or indirectly attributed to companies' actions, products, or operations
- **Tobacco** (also applied as part of the Values-based Exclusions Criteria)
  - All companies that manufacture tobacco products, such as cigars, blunts, cigarettes, e-cigarettes, inhalers, beedis, kreteks, smokeless tobacco, snuff, snus, dissolvable and chewing tobacco
- **Thermal Coal Mining**
  - All companies deriving 1% or more revenue (either reported or estimated) from the mining of thermal coal (including lignite, bituminous, anthracite and steam coal) and its sale to external parties
- **Oil & Gas Value Chain**
  - All companies deriving 10% or more aggregate revenue (either reported or estimated) from the following oil and gas-related activities:
    - **Oil & Gas Extraction and Production:** Revenue from the extraction and production of oil and gas
    - **Oil & Gas Equipment and Services:** Revenue from equipment and services for the exploration and production of oil and natural gas
    - **Oil & Gas Refining:** Revenue from refining oil and gas
    - **Oil & Gas Pipelines and Transportation:** Revenue from oil and gas pipelines and transportation
    - **Oil & Gas Distribution/Retail:** Revenue from the distribution and retailing of oil and gas and related products. It covers revenues from crude and petroleum products storage facilities and terminals, bulk stations, gasoline and fuel oil retail stations as well as liquefied petroleum gas stations and natural gas distribution
- **Fossil Fuel-based Power Generation**
  - All companies deriving 50% or more aggregate revenue (either reported or estimated) from power generation based on thermal coal, liquid fuel, and/or natural gas sources<sup>19</sup>

<sup>19</sup> As per [https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/ipcc\\_wg3\\_ar5\\_chapter7.pdf](https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/ipcc_wg3_ar5_chapter7.pdf), thermal coal based power generation, liquid fuel based power generation and natural gas based power generation have median lifecycle emissions exceeding 100gCO<sub>2</sub>/kWh.



- **SDG Net Alignment**
  - All companies that are assessed as Strongly Misaligned on their Net Alignment to any of the 17 SDGs

## Appendix III: Calculation of Target Metrics

### Calculation of GHG Intensity relative to EVIC

For Parent Index constituents where the Scope 1+2+3 Emissions Intensity is not available, the average Scope 1+2+3 Emissions Intensity of all the constituents of the MSCI ACWI in the same GICS Industry Group in which the constituent belongs is used.

Security Level Carbon Emissions Intensity =

$$\frac{\text{Scope 1 + 2 + 3 Carbon Emissions} * (1 + EVIAF)}{\text{Enterprise Value} + \text{Cash (in M\$)}}$$

Enterprise Value Inflation Adjustment Factor (EVIAF) =

$$EVIAF = \left( \frac{\text{Average(Enterprise Value} + \text{Cash)}}{\text{Previous (Average(Enterprise Value} + \text{Cash))}} \right) - 1$$

Weighted Average Carbon Emissions Intensity of Parent Index =

$$\sum (\text{Weight in Parent Index} * \text{Security Level Carbon Emissions Intensity})$$

Weighted Average Carbon Emissions Intensity of Optimized Index =

$$\sum (\text{Weight in Derived Index} * \text{Security Level Carbon Emissions Intensity})$$

### Calculation of Average Decarbonization

On average, the Indexes follow a 7% decarbonization trajectory since the Base Date. The Weighted Average Carbon Intensity at the Base Date ( $W_1$ ) is used to compute the target Weighted Average Carbon Intensity at any given Quarterly Index Review ( $W_t$ ) as per the below formula.

$$W_t = W_1 * 0.93^{\frac{(t-1)}{4}}$$

Where 't' is the number of Quarterly Index Reviews (QIR) since the Base Date.

Thus, for the 5<sup>th</sup> Quarterly Index Review since the Base Date (t=5), the target Weighted Average Carbon Intensity will be  $W_1 * 0.93$ .

### Climate Impact Sectors

NACE<sup>20</sup> is the European Union's classification of economic activities. As per the draft DA, stocks in the NACE Section codes A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, L are classified as "High Climate Impact" sector and

<sup>20</sup> For further details regarding NACE, please refer to [https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=NACE\\_background](https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=NACE_background)

other stocks are classified 'Low Climate Impact' sector. The GICS<sup>21</sup> Sub-Industry code for each security is mapped to the corresponding "Climate Impact Sector" using a mapping. This mapping is constructed in the following steps:

1. MSCI has published a mapping<sup>22</sup> between the NACE classes and GICS Sub-Industry.
2. For each GICS Sub-Industry, the number of NACE classes which fall under the High Climate Impact Sector (say the number of classes is  $N_H$ ) and Low Climate Impact Sector (say the number of classes is  $N_L$ ) is identified
3. If all the NACE classes for a given GICS Sub-Industry are identified in the High Climate Impact Sector ( $N_L = 0$ ), then the GICS Sub-Industry is mapped to the High Climate Impact Sector. Conversely, if all the NACE classes for a given GICS Sub-Industry are identified in the Low Climate Impact Sector ( $N_H = 0$ ) then the GICS Sub-Industry is mapped to the Low Climate Impact Sector
4. In case a GICS Sub-Industry is mapped to some NACE classes in the High Climate Impact Sector and the others in the Low Climate Impact Sector, the GICS Industry is mapped to the Climate Impact Sector in the following manner:
  - a.  $N_H \geq N_L$ : If the number of NACE classes in the High Climate Impact Sector is at least equivalent to the number of NACE classes in the Low Climate Impact Sector, the GICS Sub-Industry is mapped to the High Climate Impact Sector
  - b.  $N_H < N_L$ : If the number of NACE classes in the High Climate Impact Sector is less than the number of NACE classes in the Low Climate Impact Sector, the GICS Sub-Industry is mapped to the Low Climate Impact Sector
5. Using the GICS Sub-Industry to Climate Impact Sector mapping created in Step 4, and the security-level GICS Sub-Industry, each security in the Parent Index is classified in either High Climate Impact Sector or Low Climate Impact Sector

### Calculation of Potential Carbon Emissions Intensity

For newly added companies to the index where data is not available yet, MSCI uses zero fossil fuel reserves.

Security Level Potential Carbon Emissions Intensity =

$$\frac{\text{Absolute Potential Emissions} * (1 + EVIAF)}{\text{Enterprise Value} + \text{Cash(in M\$)}}$$

Weighted Average Potential Emissions Intensity of Parent Index =

$$\sum (\text{Weight in Parent Index} * \text{Security Level Potential Carbon Emissions Intensity})$$

Weighted Average Potential Emissions Intensity of Derived Index =

<sup>21</sup> For further information regarding GICS, please refer to <https://www.msci.com/gics>

<sup>22</sup> This mapping is available in the [Handbook of Climate Transition Benchmarks, Paris-Aligned Benchmark and Benchmarks' ESG Disclosures](#)

$$\sum (Weight\ in\ Derived\ Index * Security\ Level\ Potential\ Carbon\ Emissions\ Intensity)$$

## Calculation of Green Revenue to Fossil fuels-based Revenue Multiple

### Green Revenue

For each constituent in the Parent Index, the Green Revenue% is calculated as the cumulative revenue (%) from the six CleanTech themes which are as follows:

- Alternative Energy – products and services that support the transmission, distribution and generation of renewable energy and alternative fuels to reduce carbon and pollutant emissions in supporting affordable and clean energy to combat climate change.
- Energy Efficiency – products, and services that support the maximization of productivity in labor, transportation, power and domestic applications with minimal energy consumption to ensure universal access to affordable, reliable and modern energy services.
- Sustainable Water – products, services, infrastructure projects and technologies that resolve water scarcity and water quality issues, through minimizing and monitoring current water demand, improving the quality and availability of water supply to improve resource management in both domestic and industrial use.
- Green Building – design, construction, redevelopment, retrofitting, or acquisition of green-certified properties to promote mechanisms for raising capacity for effective climate change mitigation and adaptation.
- Pollution Prevention – products, services, infrastructure projects and technologies that reduces volume of waste materials through recycling, minimizes introduction of toxic substances, and offers remediation of existing contaminants such as heavy metals and organic pollutants in various environmental media to significantly address pollution in all levels and its negative effects
- Sustainable Agriculture - revenues from forest and agricultural products that meet environmental and organic certification requirements to address significantly biodiversity loss, pollution, land disturbance, and water overuse

The Weighted Average Green Revenue% is calculated as:

$$= \sum (Weight\ in\ Index * Green\ Revenue\%)$$

### Fossil fuels-based Revenue

For each constituent in the Parent Index, the Fossil fuels-based Revenue% is calculated as the cumulative revenue (%) from the following sources:

- Revenue% (either reported or estimated) from the mining of thermal coal (including lignite, bituminous, anthracite and steam coal) and its sale to external parties. It excludes: revenue from metallurgical coal; coal mined for internal power generation (e.g. in the case of vertically integrated power producers); intra-company sales of mined thermal coal; and revenue from coal trading (either reported or estimated)

- Revenue% from the extraction, production and refining of Conventional and Unconventional Oil & Gas. Conventional Oil and Gas includes Arctic onshore/offshore, deepwater, shallow water and other onshore/offshore. Unconventional Oil and Gas includes oil sands, oil shale (kerogen-rich deposits), shale gas, shale oil, coal seam gas, and coal bed methane.
- Revenue% from thermal coal based power generation, liquid fuel based power generation and natural gas based power generation.

The Weighted Average Fossil fuels-based Revenue% is calculated as:

$$= \sum (Weight\ in\ Index * Brown\ Revenue\%)$$

The Green Revenues to Fossil fuels-based Revenues multiple for either the Parent Index or the Index is calculated as a ratio of the Weighted Average Green Revenue to the Weighted Average Fossil fuels-based Revenue as per the formula below:

$$= \frac{Weighted\ Average\ Green\ Revenue\%}{Weighted\ Average\ Brown\ Revenue\%}$$

## Appendix IV: MSCI Low Carbon Transition Risk Assessment

MSCI ESG Research's Low Carbon Transition Risk assessment<sup>23</sup> is designed to identify potential leaders and laggards by holistically measuring companies' exposure to and management of risks and opportunities related to the low carbon transition.

The final output of this assessment is two company-level factors as described below:

- (1) **Low Carbon Transition Category:** This factor groups companies in five categories that highlight the predominant risks and opportunities they are most likely to face in the transition (Exhibit 1).
- (2) **Low Carbon Transition Score:** This score is based on a multi-dimensional risks and opportunities assessment and considers both predominant and secondary risks a company faces. It is industry agnostic and represents an absolute assessment of a company's position vis-à-vis the transition.

LOW CARBON TRANSITION SCORE	LOW CARBON TRANSITION CATEGORY		LOW CARBON TRANSITION RISK / OPPORTUNITY
Score = 0	ASSET STRANDING		Potential to experience “stranding” of physical / natural assets due to regulatory, market, or technological forces arising from low carbon transition.
	TRANSITION	PRODUCT	Reduced demand for carbon-intensive products and services. Leaders and laggards are defined by the ability to shift product portfolio to low-carbon products.
		OPERATIONAL	Increased operational and/or capital cost due to carbon taxes and/or investment in carbon emission mitigation measures leading to lower profitability of the companies.
	NEUTRAL		Limited exposure to low carbon transition carbon risk. Though companies in this category could have exposure to physical risk and/or indirect exposure to low carbon transition risk via lending, investment etc.
Score = 10	SOLUTIONS		Potential to benefit through the growth of low-carbon products and services.

### Exhibit 1: Low Carbon Transition Categories and Scores

## Calculation methodology

The Low Carbon Transition Categories and Scores are determined by a combination of each company's current risk exposure and its efforts to manage the risks and opportunities presented by the low carbon transition. The 3-step process followed by MSCI ESG Research is explained below.

## Step 1: Measure Low Carbon Transition Risk Exposure

The first step towards measuring the Low Carbon Transition Risk Exposure for a company is the computation of its Carbon Intensity profile – which is informed by its Product Carbon Intensity, Operational Carbon Intensity and Total Carbon Intensity. In the next step, we compute Low Carbon Transition Risk Exposure Category and Score based on Total Carbon Intensity.

<sup>23</sup> For more details on MSCI Climate Change Metrics, please refer to <https://www.msci.com/climate-change-solutions>

## Step 2: Assess Low Carbon Transition Risk Management

In the second step, we assess a company's management of risks and opportunities presented by the low carbon transition. This assessment is based on policies and commitments to mitigate transition risk, governance structures, risk management programs and initiatives, targets and performance, and involvement in any controversies.

## Step 3: Calculate Low Carbon Transition Category and Score

In the final step, the Low Carbon Transition Risk Exposure Category and Score that was calculated in Step 1 are adjusted for the strength of management efforts. Following this adjustment, Low Carbon Transition Risk Exposure Score of companies with top or second quartile risk management improves and some top and second quartile companies may move up one category.



## Appendix V: Decarbonization Trajectory of Indexes

The Weighted Average Carbon Intensity on the Base Date ( $W_1$ ) is used to compute the target Weighted Average Carbon Intensity at any given Quarterly Index Review ( $W_t$ ) as per the below formula.

$$W_t = W_1 * 0.93^{\frac{(t-1)}{4}}$$

Where 't' is the number of Quarterly Index Reviews since the Base Date. The table below shows the Weighted Average Carbon Intensity on the Base Date ( $W_1$ ) for each of the regions where the Indexes are constructed:

Index	Parent Index	Base Date	$W_1$ (tCO2/M\$ Enterprise Value + Cash)
MSCI ACWI ESG Leaders Select Sustainability Index	MSCI ACWI	May 28, 2021	254.37
MSCI Europe ESG Leaders Select Sustainability Index	MSCI Europe	May 28, 2021	536.48
MSCI USA ESG Leaders Select Sustainability Index	MSCI USA	May 28, 2021	181.58
MSCI Japan ESG Leaders Select Sustainability Index	MSCI Japan	May 28, 2021	418.41

## Appendix VI: Implementation of New Base Date

The following steps are used to identify a whether the MSCI ESG Leaders Select Sustainability Indexes will implement a new Base Date during any Quarterly Index Review:

- Step 1. Calculate the median Scope 3 Emissions of all companies in MSCI ACWI within each GICS Industry Group
- Step 2. Out of the 24 GICS Industry Groups, identify the top 18 GICS Industry Groups with the highest median Scope 3 Emissions.
- Step 3. The percentage change in the median Scope 3 Emissions for each of the 18 GICS Industry Groups is calculated relative to their median Scope 3 Emissions as of the previous Quarterly Index Review.
- Step 4. If either of the following conditions are met, then a new Base Date would be implemented for the MSCI ESG Leaders Select Sustainability Indexes based on the change in Scope 3 Emissions–
  - a. Out of the 18 GICS Industry Groups, at least 2 Industry Groups see a 50% change in the median Scope 3 Emissions
  - b. Out of the 18 GICS Industry Groups, at least 6 Industry Groups see a 20% change in the median Scope 3 Emissions

A new Base Date may also be implemented based on the change in median Scope 1+2 Emissions by applying Steps 1-4 above using Scope 1+2 Emissions instead of Scope 3 Emissions.

When a new Base Date is implemented, either due to the change in median Scope 1+2 Emissions or due to the change in median Scope 3 Emissions, the Indexes will not apply the “Minimum average reduction (per annum) in WACI relative to WACI in the Base Date” as per Table 1 in Section 2.3 as a minimum requirement for the index. After the rebalance of the Index, the Base Date and Weighted Average Carbon Intensity on the Base Date ( $W_1$ ) as per Appendix VI will be updated. For all subsequent Quarterly Index Reviews, the decarbonization targets will be calculated with the updated Base Date.

## Appendix VII: Barra Equity Model Used in the Optimization

The MSCI ESG Leaders Select Sustainability Indexes currently use an optimization setup using the MSCI Barra Global Equity Model for Long-Term Investors (GEMTLT).

## Appendix VIII: New Release of Barra® Equity Model or Barra® Optimizer

A major new release of the relevant Barra Equity Model or Barra Optimizer may replace the former version within a suitable timeframe.

## Appendix IX: Methodology Set

The Indexes are governed by a set of methodology and policy documents (“Methodology Set”), including the present index methodology document as mentioned below:

- Description of methodology set – <https://www.msci.com/index/methodology/latest/ReadMe>
- MSCI Corporate Events Methodology – <https://www.msci.com/index/methodology/latest/CE>
- MSCI Fundamental Data Methodology – <https://www.msci.com/index/methodology/latest/FundData>
- MSCI Index Calculation Methodology – <https://www.msci.com/index/methodology/latest/IndexCalc>
- MSCI Index Glossary of Terms – <https://www.msci.com/index/methodology/latest/IndexGlossary>
- MSCI Index Policies – <https://www.msci.com/index/methodology/latest/IndexPolicy>
- MSCI Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS) Methodology – <https://www.msci.com/index/methodology/latest/GICS>
- MSCI Global Investable Market Indexes Methodology – <https://www.msci.com/index/methodology/latest/GIMI>
- MSCI ESG Leaders Indexes Methodology – <https://www.msci.com/index/methodology/latest/ESG>
- MSCI Global ex Controversial Weapons Indexes Methodology - <https://www.msci.com/index/methodology/latest/XCW>
- ESG Factors In Methodology\*

The Methodology Set for the Indexes can also be accessed from MSCI’s webpage <https://www.msci.com/index-methodology> in the section ‘Search Methodology by Index Name or Index Code’.

\* ‘ESG Factors in Methodology’ contains the list of environmental, social, and governance factors considered, and how they are applied in the methodology (e.g., selection, weighting or exclusion). It can be accessed in the Methodology Set as described above.

## Appendix X: Changes to this Document

The following sections have been modified since May 2021 -

- Introduction – Change in approach from an iterative process to an optimization-based approach and added objectives on ESG Score and LCT Score
- Section 3 – Updated to reflect change in approach from an iterative process to an optimization-based approach and added objectives on ESG Score and LCT Score
- Appendix II – Added additional screen for Alcohol
- Appendix IV – Added definition for Climate Impact Sectors
- Appendix V – Updated decarbonization trajectory based on new Base Date as per the methodology rules in Appendix VI.
- Appendix VI – Deleted appendix detailing iterative process. Appendix VI now contains details on “Implementation of new Base Date”
- Appendix VII and VIII – Added for details on Barra Equity Model and Barra Optimizer

The following sections have been modified effective September 15, 2022 -

- **Introduction** – Added the MSCI Japan ESG Leaders Select Sustainability Index
- **Section 3.5**
  - Added a footnote highlighting the application of optimization constraints to the MSCI Japan ESG Leaders Select Sustainability Index on its initial construction and subsequent Quarterly Index Reviews
  - Provided clarity on the constituent active weight constraint
- **Appendix V** – Added relevant information for the MSCI Japan ESG Leaders Select Sustainability Index on its decarbonization trajectory

The following sections have been modified effective November 18, 2022 -

- **Introduction** – Added reference to the new eligibility criteria
- **Section 3.2**
  - Moved the reference to additional exclusions criteria to the newly added Section 3.2.1
  - Added Section 3.2.2 to include information on the additional eligibility criteria
- **(Previous) Section 3.3 Minimum Requirements:** Removed section
- **Appendix I:** Added to reference the underlying investment universe
- **Appendix II:**
  - Modified to include the new and/or revised exclusions criteria

- Moved the exclusions criteria referenced in (Previous) Appendix III
- **Appendix III and Appendix IV:** Re-ordered to follow chronological reference in Section 3.3

**The following sections have been modified effective November 18, 2022**

- **Appendix II**
  - Added additional screens for SDG Net Alignment

**The following sections have been modified effective May 2024**

- **Section 1. Introduction**
  - Added footnote
- **Section 2.3: Security Selection and Weighting**
  - Added an additional EU Taxonomy aligned revenue constraint in Table 2
  - Added footnote
- **Section 3. Maintaining the MSCI ESG Leaders Select Sustainability Indexes**
  - All references to “Semi-Annual Index Reviews” and “Quarterly Index Reviews” of the MSCI GIMI were replaced with “Index Reviews.”
- **Section 4. MSCI ESG Research**
  - Moved section after Section 3
  - Updated description from this section
  - Updated information on MSCI ESG Research Products.
- **Appendix IX. Methodology Set**
  - Added details on the Methodology Set for the Indexes.

## Contact us

[msci.com/contact-us](https://www.msci.com/contact-us)

### AMERICAS

United States	+ 1 888 588 4567 *
Canada	+ 1 416 687 6270
Brazil	+ 55 11 4040 7830
Mexico	+ 52 81 1253 4020

### EUROPE, MIDDLE EAST & AFRICA

South Africa	+ 27 21 673 0103
Germany	+ 49 69 133 859 00
Switzerland	+ 41 22 817 9777
United Kingdom	+ 44 20 7618 2222
Italy	+ 39 02 5849 0415
France	+ 33 17 6769 810

### ASIA PACIFIC

China	+ 86 21 61326611
Hong Kong	+ 852 2844 9333
India	+ 91 22 6784 9160
Malaysia	1800818185 *
South Korea	+ 82 70 4769 4231
Singapore	+ 65 67011177
Australia	+ 612 9033 9333
Taiwan	008 0112 7513 *
Thailand	0018 0015 6207 7181 *
Japan	+ 81 3 4579 0333

\* toll-free

### About MSCI

MSCI is a leading provider of critical decision support tools and services for the global investment community. With over 50 years of expertise in research, data and technology, we power better investment decisions by enabling clients to understand and analyze key drivers of risk and return and confidently build more effective portfolios. We create industry-leading research-enhanced solutions that clients use to gain insight into and improve transparency across the investment process.

To learn more, please visit [www.msci.com](https://www.msci.com).

The process for submitting a formal index complaint can be found on the index regulation page of MSCI's website at: <https://www.msci.com/index-regulation>.



## Notice and disclaimer

This document is research for informational purposes only and is intended for institutional professionals with the analytical resources and tools necessary to interpret any performance information. Nothing herein is intended to promote or recommend any product, tool or service.

This document and all of the information contained in it, including without limitation all text, data, graphs, charts (collectively, the “Information”) is the property of MSCI Inc. or its subsidiaries (collectively, “MSCI”), or MSCI’s licensors, direct or indirect suppliers or any third party involved in making or compiling any Information (collectively, with MSCI, the “Information Providers”) and is provided for informational purposes only. The Information may not be modified, reverse-engineered, reproduced or disseminated in whole or in part without prior written permission from MSCI. All rights in the Information are reserved by MSCI and/or its Information Providers.

The Information may not be used to create derivative works or to verify or correct other data or information. For example (but without limitation), the Information may not be used to create indexes, databases, risk models, analytics, software, or in connection with the issuing, offering, sponsoring, managing or marketing of any securities, portfolios, financial products or other investment vehicles utilizing or based on, linked to, tracking or otherwise derived from the Information or any other MSCI data, information, products or services.

The user of the Information assumes the entire risk of any use it may make or permit to be made of the Information. NONE OF THE INFORMATION PROVIDERS MAKES ANY EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES OR REPRESENTATIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE INFORMATION (OR THE RESULTS TO BE OBTAINED BY THE USE THEREOF), AND TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT PERMITTED BY APPLICABLE LAW, EACH INFORMATION PROVIDER EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF ORIGINALITY, ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, NON-INFRINGEMENT, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE) WITH RESPECT TO ANY OF THE INFORMATION.

Without limiting any of the foregoing and to the maximum extent permitted by applicable law, in no event shall any Information Provider have any liability regarding any of the Information for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential (including lost profits) or any other damages even if notified of the possibility of such damages. The foregoing shall not exclude or limit any liability that may not be by applicable law be excluded or limited, including without limitation (as applicable), any liability for death or personal injury to the extent that such injury results from the negligence or willful default of itself, its servants, agents or sub-contractors.

Information containing any historical information, data or analysis should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of any future performance, analysis, forecast or prediction. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

The Information may include “Signals,” defined as quantitative attributes or the product of methods or formulas that describe or are derived from calculations using historical data. Neither these Signals nor any description of historical data are intended to provide investment advice or a recommendation to make (or refrain from making) any investment decision or asset allocation and should not be relied upon as such. Signals are inherently backward-looking because of their use of historical data, and they are not intended to predict the future. The relevance, correlations and accuracy of Signals frequently will change materially.

The Information should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. All Information is impersonal and not tailored to the needs of any person, entity or group of persons.

None of the Information constitutes an offer to sell (or a solicitation of an offer to buy), any security, financial product or other investment vehicle or any trading strategy.

It is not possible to invest directly in an index. Exposure to an asset class or trading strategy or other category represented by an index is only available through third party investable instruments (if any) based on that index. MSCI does not issue, sponsor, endorse, market, offer, review or otherwise express any opinion regarding any fund, ETF, derivative or other security, investment, financial product or trading strategy that is based on, linked to or seeks to provide an investment return related to the performance of any MSCI index (collectively, “Index Linked Investments”). MSCI makes no assurance that any Index Linked Investments will accurately track index performance or provide positive investment returns. MSCI Inc. is not an investment adviser or fiduciary and MSCI makes no representation regarding the advisability of investing in any Index Linked Investments.

Index returns do not represent the results of actual trading of investible assets/securities. MSCI maintains and calculates indexes, but does not manage actual assets. The calculation of indexes and index returns may deviate from the stated methodology. Index returns do not reflect payment of any sales charges or fees an investor may pay to purchase the securities underlying the index or Index Linked Investments. The imposition of these fees and charges would cause the performance of an Index Linked Investment to be different than the MSCI index performance.

The Information may contain back tested data. Back-tested performance is not actual performance, but is hypothetical. There are frequently material differences between back tested performance results and actual results subsequently achieved by any investment strategy.

Constituents of MSCI equity indexes are listed companies, which are included in or excluded from the indexes according to the application of the relevant index methodologies. Accordingly, constituents in MSCI equity indexes may include MSCI Inc., clients of MSCI or suppliers to MSCI. Inclusion of a security within an MSCI index is not a recommendation by MSCI to buy, sell, or hold such security, nor is it considered to be investment advice.

Data and information produced by various affiliates of MSCI Inc., including MSCI ESG Research LLC and Barra LLC, may be used in calculating certain MSCI indexes. More information can be found in the relevant index methodologies on [www.msci.com](http://www.msci.com).

MSCI receives compensation in connection with licensing its indexes to third parties. MSCI Inc.’s revenue includes fees based on assets in Index Linked Investments. Information can be found in MSCI Inc.’s company filings on the Investor Relations section of [msci.com](http://msci.com).

MSCI ESG Research LLC is a Registered Investment Adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and a subsidiary of MSCI Inc. Neither MSCI nor any of its products or services recommends, endorses, approves or otherwise expresses any opinion regarding any issuer, securities, financial products or instruments or trading strategies and MSCI’s products or services are not a recommendation to make (or refrain from making) any kind of investment decision and may not be relied on as such, provided that applicable products or services from MSCI ESG Research may constitute investment advice. MSCI ESG Research materials, including materials utilized in any MSCI ESG Indexes or other products, have not been submitted to, nor received approval from, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission or any other regulatory body. MSCI ESG and climate ratings, research and data are produced by MSCI ESG Research LLC, a subsidiary of MSCI Inc. MSCI ESG Indexes, Analytics and Real Estate are products of MSCI Inc. that utilize information from MSCI ESG Research LLC. MSCI Indexes are administered by MSCI Limited (UK) and MSCI Deutschland GmbH.

Please note that the issuers mentioned in MSCI ESG Research materials sometimes have commercial relationships with MSCI ESG Research and/or MSCI Inc. (collectively, “MSCI”) and that these relationships create potential conflicts of interest. In some cases, the issuers or their affiliates purchase research or other products or services from one or more MSCI affiliates. In other cases, MSCI ESG Research rates financial products such as mutual funds or ETFs that are managed by MSCI’s clients or their affiliates, or are based on MSCI Inc. Indexes. In addition, constituents in MSCI Inc. equity indexes include companies that subscribe to MSCI products or services. In some cases, MSCI clients pay fees based in whole or part on the assets they manage. MSCI ESG Research has taken a number of steps to mitigate potential conflicts of interest and safeguard the integrity and independence of its research and ratings. More information about these conflict mitigation measures is available in our Form ADV, available at <https://adviserinfo.sec.gov/firm/summary/169222>.

Any use of or access to products, services or information of MSCI requires a license from MSCI. MSCI, Barra, RiskMetrics, IPD and other MSCI brands and product names are the trademarks, service marks, or registered trademarks of MSCI or its subsidiaries in the United States and other jurisdictions. The Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS) was developed by and is the exclusive property of MSCI and S&P Global Market Intelligence. “Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS)” is a service mark of MSCI and S&P Global Market Intelligence.

MIFID2/MIFIR notice: MSCI ESG Research LLC does not distribute or act as an intermediary for financial instruments or structured deposits, nor does it deal on its own account, provide execution services for others or manage client accounts. No MSCI ESG Research product or service supports, promotes or is intended to support or promote any such activity. MSCI ESG Research is an independent provider of ESG data.

Privacy notice: For information about how MSCI collects and uses personal data, please refer to our Privacy Notice at <https://www.msci.com/privacy-pledge>.