



GICS Consultation 2005

Standard & Poor's and MSCI Consultation Paper on Potential Changes to the Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS[®]) Structure

I. INTRODUCTION

MSCI and Standard & Poor's (S&P), as part of their annual GICS review, are studying the possibility of refining the GICS structure for certain Industry Groups, Industries and Sub-Industries. Our objective is to ensure that the GICS structure continues to accurately represent the global equity markets and, thereby, enables asset owners, asset managers and investment research specialists to make seamless global comparisons by industry.

This Consultation paper is designed to serve as a basis for discussion before MSCI and S&P make any final decisions. Changes, if any, should be announced before the end of 2005. This consultation may or may not result in any changes to the GICS structure.

II. SUMMARY OF PROPOSALS

The main proposals set out in this paper are:

- Review of the Health Care Sector
- Review of the Real Estate Industry Group
- Review of the Utilities Sector

The estimated size (global equity market capitalization of companies in the GICS universe) of the proposed new Industries and Sub-industries were taken into account in our analysis.

III. BACKGROUND

In August of 1999, Standard & Poor's (S&P) and MSCI, leading providers of global equity indices and benchmark-related products and services, collaborated on the development of the Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS[®]). The standard is designed to facilitate the investment research and investment management processes of financial professionals worldwide. The GICS structure facilitates industry analysis by classifying companies at four different levels -Sectors, Industry Groups, Industries and Sub-Industries. Due to the global nature and flexibility of the GICS structure, its classifications have become widely used throughout the financial community. For a detailed description of the classification standard, please refer to the MSCI web Poor's site at www.msci.com or the Standard & web site at www.gics.standardandpoors.com.

IV. REVIEW OF THE HEALTH CARE SECTOR

1. Rationale for the Review

The Health Care Sector currently consists of 4 Industries and 8 Sub-Industries. Over the last decade there has been marked and lasting growth in the fields of life sciences and medical technology. The emergence and stability of a substantial number of companies that focus





exclusively in these businesses may warrant the creation of new Industries and Sub-Industries within this Sector.

Companies focusing on life sciences are those that primarily provide equipment, supplies and services that are used in drug discovery, development and manufacturing. These companies enable drug developers in the fields of biotechnology and pharmaceuticals to study, discover and manufacture their drug candidates. The increase in the number of these companies has led to a growing trend towards viewing such companies as peers in their own right, and differentiated from traditional pharmaceutical, biotechnology or health care equipment and supply companies.

Medical technology has also seen rapid growth in recent years. There has been an emergence of companies that provide information technology and business support services primarily to health care providers, as opposed to traditional health care services companies that provide the services to the patients. While it can be argued that these companies are a form of business support services, they are inextricably linked to the Health Care Sector and their business relies on the economic cycle of this Sector.

2. The Proposed Structures

Based on the rationale above, a proposal for the Health Care Sector is outlined below. Please note, throughout this document changes from the current structure are highlighted in the shaded boxes. These include changes limited to name changes only, definitional changes, as well as classification additions and/or deletions.

| 35 | Health Care (Sector) | | Industry | | Sub-Industry |
|------|---|--------|---|----------|---|
| 3510 | Health Care Equipment & Services (Industry Group) | 351010 | Health Care Equipment & Supplies | 35101010 | Health Care Equipment |
| | | | | 35101020 | Health Care Supplies |
| | | 351020 | Health Care Providers & Services | 35102010 | Health Care Distributors |
| | | | | 35102015 | Health Care Services |
| | | | | 35102020 | Health Care Facilities |
| | | | | 35102030 | Managed Health Care |
| | | 351030 | Health Care Technology and Business Support Services | 35103010 | Health Care Technology and Business Support Services |
| | Pharmaceuticals, Biotechnology and Life | | | | |
| 3520 | Sciences (Industry Group) | 352010 | Biotechnology | 35201010 | Biotechnology |
| | | 352020 | Pharmaceuticals | 35202010 | Pharmaceuticals |
| | | 352030 | Life Sciences | 35203010 | Life Sciences |

The proposal for the Health Care Sector is:

The proposed definitions for the new and revised Sub-Industries are:

Health Care Equipment

Manufacturers of health care equipment and devices. Includes medical instruments, drug delivery systems, cardiovascular & orthopedic devices, and diagnostic equipment.



MSCI[®] Barra[®]

GICS Consultation 2005 (continued)

Health Care Supplies

Manufacturers of health care supplies and medical products not classified elsewhere. Includes eye care products, hospital supplies, and safety needle & syringe devices.

Health Care Services

Providers of patient health care services not classified elsewhere. Includes dialysis centers, lab testing services, and pharmacy management services.

Health Care Technology and Business Support Services

Companies providing information technology and business support services primarily to health care providers. Includes companies providing application, systems and/or data processing software, internet-based tools, and IT consulting services to doctors, hospitals or businesses operating exclusively in the Health Care Sector. Also includes companies providing business support services to health care providers, such as clerical support services, collection agency services, staffing services and outsourced sales & marketing services.

<u>Biotechnology</u>

Companies primarily engaged in the research, development, manufacturing and/or marketing of products based on genetic analysis and genetic engineering. Includes companies specializing in protein-based therapeutics to treat human diseases.

Life Sciences

Companies enabling the drug discovery, development and production continuum by providing analytical instruments, consumables & supplies, clinical trial services and contract research services. Includes firms primarily servicing the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries.

3. Discussion Topics

- a. Is the proposed new "Health Care Technology & Business Support Services" <u>Industry</u> warranted? Would the creation of only a Sub-Industry under the "Health Care Providers & Services" be appropriate or is it better to distinguish between the ranges of services at the Industry level?
- b. Would companies that focus on providing IT software and services to health care professionals be better classified in the IT sector?
- c. Are companies that focus on pure business support services to health care providers, including clerical support services, staffing services, collection agency services, better classified in the Diversified Commercial & Professional Services Sub-Industry?





V. REVIEW OF THE REAL ESTATE INDUSTRY GROUP

1. Rationale for the Review

Over the last three decades, the Real Estate industry has experienced significant growth in terms of both market capitalization and number of companies. Such growth can be attributed in part to the evolution and development of REITs (property trusts) early in the US and, more recently, in global markets. In the US alone there are currently over 200 REITs, compared to 30 back in 1970. Due largely to the success of REITs as an investment vehicle in the US, more and more countries are creating REIT equivalent structures. Many such companies concentrate their investments in specific property types. This trend has centered on investing in properties that cater to certain types of customers and/or businesses such as retail properties, office buildings and warehouses.

The on-going specialization of companies that are classified in the REIT's Sub-Industry and the need for real estate asset owners to conduct performance attribution and analysis has created the opportunity to propose a more granular REIT's GICS structure that groups companies with more focused business activities in new Sub-Industries.

2. The Proposed Structure

Based on the rationale above, a proposal for the Real Estate Industry Group is outlined below.

| 40 | Financial (Sector) | | Industry | | Sub-Industry |
|------|---------------------------------|--------|--|----------|---|
| 4040 | Real Estate (Industry Group) | 404010 | Real Estate Discontinued | 40401010 | Real Estate Investment Trusts (REITs) – Discontinued. Promoted to Industry. |
| | | | | 40401020 | Real Estate Management & Development – Discontinued – Moved to 40403010. Promoted to Industry. |
| | | 404020 | Real Estate Investment Trusts (REITs) | 40402010 | Diversified REITs |
| | | | | 40402020 | Mortgage REITs |
| | | | | 40402030 | Office & Industrial REITs |
| | | | | 40402040 | Residential REITs |
| | | | | 40402050 | Retail REITs |
| | | | | 40402060 | Specialized REITs |
| | | 404030 | Real Estate Management & Development | 40403010 | Real Estate Management & Development |

The proposal for the Real Estate Industry Group is:

The proposed definitions for the new and revised Sub-Industries are:

Diversified REITs

Trusts with operations and investments across two or more property types with none of the segments contributing more than 75% of revenues.





Mortgage REITs

Trusts that service, originate, purchase and/or securitize residential and/or commercial mortgage loans. Includes trusts that invest in mortgage-backed securities and other mortgage related assets.

Office & Industrial REITs

Trusts engaged in the acquisition, development, ownership, leasing, management and operation of office and/or industrial properties. Includes companies operating industrial warehouses and distribution properties.

Residential REITs

Trusts engaged in the acquisition, development, ownership, leasing, management and operation of residential properties including multifamily homes, apartments, manufactured homes and student housing properties.

<u>Retail REITs</u>

Trusts engaged in the acquisition, development, ownership, leasing, management and operation of shopping malls, outlet malls, neighborhood and community shopping centers.

Specialty REITs

Trusts engaged in the acquisition, development, ownership, leasing, management and operation of properties not classified elsewhere. Includes trusts that operate and invest in health care, leisure, hotel/resort and storage properties. It also includes REITs that do not generate a majority of their revenues and income from real estate rental and leasing operations.

3. Discussion topics

- a. Currently, GICS classifications are typically assigned based primarily on revenues; however, earnings is also an important criterion. Would the use of revenues and earnings be sufficient to properly classify REITs into the proposed property type Sub-Industries? Should property square footage and/or assets also be determining factors?
- b. What other metrics could be used when assigning REITs Sub-Industry-classifications?
- c. What are the pros and cons of grouping Industrials and Office REITs together in a single Sub-Industry?
- d. What is your view on classifying health care, leisure, hotel/resort and storage REITs in the Specialty REITs Sub-Industry?
- e. How would you define Diversified REITs? What threshold or guideline would you recommend to be used in order to differentiate between companies that belong to the Diversified REITs Sub-Industry versus a stand alone Sub-Industry?
- f. What is your view on classifying REITs that do <u>not</u> generate a majority of their revenue and income from real estate rental and leasing operations in the underlying Sub-Industries where they operate and/or invest rather than in the proposed Specialized REITs Sub-Industry?





- g. How would you define an Equity, Mortgage or Hybrid REIT? What metrics and threshold would you use to classify companies as Equity, Mortgage or Hybrid REITs?
- h. Are real estate agents, real estate brokers, real estate advisory services, and real estate appraisers, which are currently classified under the "Real Estate Management & Development" Sub-Industry, better classified in the "Diversified Commercial & Professional Services" Sub-Industry in the Industrials Sector?
- i. Should retirement villages be classified in "Real Estate Management & Development" or in "Health Care Facilities?"
- j. In the next few years, can you see a reason to disassociate Real Estate companies from the rest of the Financials Sector? If so, what is the more appropriate place for these companies? How does the Real Estate Industry relate to Homebuilding and/or Hotels?

VI. REVIEW OF THE UTILITIES SECTOR

1. Rationale for the Review

The Utilities Sector currently consists of 5 Industries and 5 Sub-Industries. It is apparent that certain utility companies are moving towards diversification (either across utility businesses or across other industries), while others are maintaining a "pure" structure. The rationale for companies to diversify may be to spread risk, make use of economies of scale and/or cross sell to the respective customer bases of each business. The rationale for companies to keep a pure structure may be to focus operations in their field of expertise or because the regulatory framework limits the ability for a company to diversify its operations. From an investor's perspective, the desire for a pure structure is that diversification offers only limited benefits and can distort valuations. Investors may prefer to invest in companies with a purer structure and diversify their portfolios according to their own appetite for risk.

The current structure of the Utilities Sector is as follows:

| 55 | Utilities (Sector) | | Industry | | Sub-Industry |
|------|----------------------------|--------|---|----------|---|
| 5510 | Utilities (Industry Group) | 551010 | Electric Utilities | 55101010 | Electric Utilities |
| | | 551020 | Gas Utilities | 55102010 | Gas Utilities |
| | | 551030 | Multi – Utilities | 55103010 | Multi – Utilities |
| | | 551040 | Water Utilities | 55104010 | Water Utilities |
| | | | Independent Power Producers & Energy Traders | 55105010 | Independent Power Producers & Energy Traders |

Electric Utilities

Companies that produce or distribute electricity. Includes both nuclear and non-nuclear facilities.

Gas Utilities

Companies whose main charter is to distribute and transmit natural and manufactured gas. Excludes companies primarily involved in gas exploration or production classified in the Oil &



MSCI[®] Barra[®]

GICS Consultation 2005 (continued)

Gas Exploration & Production sub-industry. Also excludes diversified midstream natural gas companies classified in the Oil & Gas Storage & Transportation Sub-Industry.

<u>Multi-Utility</u>

Utility companies with significantly diversified activities in addition to core electric utility, gas utility and/or water utility operations.

Water Utilities

Companies that purchase and redistribute water to the end-consumer. Includes large-scale water treatment systems.

Independent Power Producers & Energy Traders

Companies that operate as Independent Power Producers (IPPs), Gas & Power Marketing & Trading Specialists and/or Integrated Energy Merchants. Excludes electric transmission companies and utility distribution companies classified in the Electric Utilities Sub-Industry.

Given our current Sub-Industry definitions, a company is usually classified in the Electric, Gas or Water Utilities Sub-Industry when it has no significant presence outside of its core Utility business, using the GICS revenue/earnings methodology. A utility company can be classified in the Electric, Gas, or Water Utilities Sub-Industry even when it has operations outside its core Utility business, if these operations are not considered "significant." Lastly, companies with significant operations in a combination of utilities (electric, gas, and/or water) are classified in the Multi-Utilities Sub-Industry.

We would like to get feedback on the market's perception of this sector, so we can refine the definitions of these Sub-Industries, if necessary.

2. Discussion Topics

- a. How are the Electric, Gas, and Water Utilities Sub-Industries perceived in the market? Are they seen as "pure" Electric, Gas, and Water Utilities, respectively?
- b. How are utilities with a presence in two or more utility businesses researched and analyzed? Are there characteristics that distinguish them from companies that operate only one utility business? Is there a regional or global distinction?
- c. Should companies classified in the Electric, Gas, or Water Sub-Industry have operations in one-and-only-one utility business? In other words, would "pure" Electric, Gas, and Water Utility Sub-Industries be an improvement to the current structure?
- d. For companies that have multiple utilities operations (e.g. electric and gas) is there a globally consistent way to define such utilities versus a pure play? What is the individual and combined revenue and/or earnings threshold to define "more than one" utility business? Should other characteristics, such as subscriber base, integration of operations, assets or geography be considered in the classification?





- e. Should companies with significant operations outside of core Utility operations be classified in the Multi-Utility Sub-Industry? Should a universal threshold be applied to define "significant?" If yes, what threshold would you consider significant operations?
- f. In your view, what metric should be used to measure an acceptable threshold? Are earnings better than revenues? Are Utilities revenue and earning trends significantly different across regions to justify using different thresholds globally?
- g. Is there a move towards diversification amongst Utilities or a move towards pure play? What are the drivers causing this trend? Is this trend global or is it more pronounced in certain regions? For example, is the Utility sector more of a pure play in Asia as compared to Europe and the US, and should this be considered in the classification?





GICS Consultation 2005

CLIENT FEEDBACK

To participate in this consultation please contact one of these GICS email addresses: <u>mscigics@msci.com</u> or <u>spgics@standardandpoors.com</u>. Or contact your MSCI or Standard & Poor's Account Manager or Representative.

NOTICE AND DISCLAIMER

The GICS Consultation Paper 2005 has been prepared by Standard & Poor's ("S&P") and Morgan Stanley Capital International Inc. ("MSCI") solely for informational purposes. The Consultation Paper is not a recommendation to participate in any particular trading strategy and may not be relied on as such. The user of the information contained in the Consultation Paper assumes the entire risk of any use made of the information provided herein. None of the proposals or alternatives set forth in the Consultation Paper has been adopted by MSCI or S&P, and there is no assurance that they will be considered or adopted, in whole or in part, by MSCI and S&P.

Neither MSCI, S&P, nor their affiliates makes any express or implied warranties or representations with respect to the information contained in the Consultation Paper (or the results to be obtained by the use thereof), and MSCI, S&P and their affiliates each hereby expressly disclaim all warranties of accuracy, completeness, merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose with respect to any of this information. Without limiting any of the foregoing, in no event shall MSCI, S&P, or any of their affiliates have any liabilities for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential or any other damages (including lost profits) even if notified of the possibility of such damages.

The Consultation Paper is proprietary to MSCI and S&P and may not be reproduced or re-disseminated in any form without prior written permission from MSCI and S&P. You may not use or permit the use of any of the information in the Consultation Paper to verify or correct data in any compilation of data or index. Also, you may not use or permit anyone else to use the information in the Consultation Paper in connection with the writing, trading, marketing or promotion of any financial instruments or to create any indices or securities classifications systems or methodologies.

About MSCI Barra. MSCI (www.msci.com) develops and maintains equity, REIT, fixed income, multi-asset class and hedge fund indices that serve as the benchmark for an estimated USD 3 trillion on a worldwide basis and owns Barra, Inc. ("Barra"). Barra analytics (www.barra.com) help the world's largest investors analyze, measure and manage portfolio and firm-wide investment risk. MSCI Barra is headquartered in New York, with research and commercial offices around the world. Morgan Stanley, a global financial services firm and a market leader in securities, asset management, and credit services, is the majority shareholder of MSCI, and Capital International Inc., part of the global investment management group of The Capital Group Companies, Inc., is the minority shareholder. MSCI Barra is a service mark of Morgan Stanley Capital International Inc. ("MSCI" or "MSCI Barra").

Standard & Poor's, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies (NYSE:MHP), is the world's foremost provider of independent credit ratings, indices, risk evaluation, investment research, data and valuations. With approximately 6,500 employees located in 22 countries, Standard & Poor's is an essential part of the world's financial infrastructure and has played a leading role for more than 140 years in providing investors with the independent benchmarks they need to feel more confident about their investment and financial decisions. For more information, visit www.standardandpoors.com.

The Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS) was developed by and is the exclusive property of MSCI and Standard & Poor's. "Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS)", "GICS" and "GICS Direct" are service marks of MSCI and Standard & Poor's. "GICS" is a trademark of MSCI and S&P.