

Tonight We're Gonna Invest Like It's 1999?

Featuring: **Chenlu Zhou**, Executive Director, MSCI Research

Adam Bass ([00:04](#)):

This is MSCI Perspectives, your source for weekly research insights, as investors respond to the COVID-19 pandemic. I'm your host, Adam Bass, and today is October 22nd, 2020.

Adam Bass ([00:18](#)):

This week, you might be forgiven for looking at US equity performance since March and assuming investors had no worries. But some are wondering whether valuations are... well, whether they're just too darn high. Fortunately for us, Chenlu Zhou, executive director on the MSCI multi-asset class research team, has looked into it. We asked her to come on and share her insights.

Adam Bass ([00:43](#)):

Chenlu, thank you so much for coming back to the program.

Chenlu Zhou ([00:47](#)):

Thank you, Adam, for having me back.

Adam Bass ([00:49](#)):

When we spoke to you back in early September, toward the end of our conversation, we talked about what was the seeming disconnect between economic data, how the economy is doing, and the performance of the stock market. I recall at the time you talked about how your research pointed to basically two reasons for this. The first was very low interest rates. And second, interestingly, was what you called a lack of fear on the part of investors. Not that they weren't scared about the virus or what would happen to the economy, but there was a confidence you pointed to that the stock market

would be supported. And it doesn't seem as if that fear factor has returned, even as we start hearing about second waves in Europe, falling economic numbers in many places around the globe, China a noted exclusion. So I guess my question here, buried in all of that, is, how did we get here? Can you, I guess, walk us through this market rebound over the last seven months?

Chenlu Zhou ([01:59](#)):

Yeah, absolutely. Earlier this year, in February, March, the stock market has had a really historic crash. So it tanked over 30% in a matter of couple of weeks. And in the middle of that crash, we here at research team did a study trying to understand how we could explain that crash. So roughly speaking, the idea is that market crash can be attributed to either a really bad economic outlook or some panic in the market. So we developed a range of scenarios that at one end of the spectrum, all the crash is attributed to the bad economic outlook, and at the end of the spectrum, it's completely due to panic.

Chenlu Zhou ([02:45](#)):

And in one of the scenarios, which kind of resembles the consensus economic forecast at the time in March, which is a recession quite similar to that we had in the financial crisis. And under that scenario, we believed that the market had a chance to bounce back, if the investors were to become less panicked. Seven months later, that seems like the scenario that has happened. So that's kind of the background.

Chenlu Zhou ([03:17](#)):

And that said, according to some traditional valuation metrics, the valuation right now seems really high. And we haven't seen such levels since the late 1990s. Adding onto that, a lot of recovery in the market price has been driven by tech stocks, and that makes a lot of investors quite nervous.

Adam Bass ([03:39](#)):

Absolutely. I mean, high price to earnings ratios, tech stocks dominating the market, definitely starting to get some late '90s deja vu here. I'm wondering, should I dust off that Backstreet Boys CD, or is something different going on here?

Chenlu Zhou ([03:55](#)):

Right, right. So let's take the example of one of the popular valuation metrics, called the CAPE, as an example. So that's evaluation measure developed by this economist called Robert Schiller, which basically tells us how many multiples is the price compared to a rolling average of the stock earnings.

So the CAPE is at about 30 right now, and that's a level we have briefly seen right before the pandemic. But the last time the market has sustained such a high level of CAPE was actually back in late '90s and early 2000s, particularly right before dot-com bubble burst, that CAPE value has topped 40, so even higher than it is now. Back then in the late '90s, we were in a booming economy, and even then the Federal Reserve chairman Greenspan called it an irrational exuberance, and that bubble eventually burst. Whereas right now, given that the economic outlook seems much more dire, it is very reasonable that people are skeptical about such high valuations.

Adam Bass ([05:09](#)):

Right. And that's really the question that you came out with, with this latest round of research. Is this rally sustainable? Now, I know you don't have a crystal ball, but you do have some very intricate tools at your disposal, models, as you mentioned earlier, that can look at different scenarios and estimate different outcomes. So let's spend some time talking about the model you used this time around and how it works, on a high level of course, to help you uncover some possible explanations for what's going on right now.

Chenlu Zhou ([05:46](#)):

Sure, yeah. We use a model that connects the equity prices with macroeconomic variables through what we call a discounted cashflow framework. So the idea is that the equity prices are determined by two broad categories of components. One is the cashflow, and then the other is discounting. So cash flows are closely related to the stock earnings and just the overall size of the economy, and discounting, on the other hand, is tied to risk-free interest rate and an equity risk premium.

Adam Bass ([06:27](#)):

Okay. Let's take that down just a level, if you could. Maybe Econ 101, stock market 101 type of explanation. The cashflow part you mentioned seems very straightforward, earnings, overall economy. But I'd like you to spend a little more time on the discounting portion. Can you break down the risk-free rates, equity risk premium part of the framework?

Chenlu Zhou ([06:52](#)):

Yeah, absolutely. So the way we can think about the discounting part is how much of return is required by the investors when they invest in equity. And the reason why people typically break it down to risk-free rates and equity risk premium is because risk-free rate is what you can gain, almost, by the name of it, risk-free. You can buy a government bond and gain such yield. And then on top of that, an equity risk premium is what the equity investors would require in order to take on more risk by buying equity.

Adam Bass ([07:31](#)):

Okay. Thank you. That's clear. Now, let's get back to the model.

Chenlu Zhou ([07:35](#)):

Yeah. So for a stock market 101 type of explanation, as you mentioned, we could probably borrow some intuition from the more well-known Gordon Growth Model, or any dividend discount model, where the return on equity minus the growth rate of dividend is equal to the dividend yield. And to take that a step further, as we briefly mentioned earlier, we can separate the return on equity to risk-free rates, plus the risk premium for equity. Now, our model generalizes the Gordon model in several ways, but the basic idea is very similar. So we can back out the implied equity risk premium from the market prices based on our model expectation for future risk-free rate and the growth rate assumption.

Adam Bass ([08:34](#)):

Okay. So in either case, trying to measure the equity risk premium going forward. What did the model show?

Chenlu Zhou ([08:43](#)):

Right. So our model suggests that even though the traditional price to earnings ratios are quite high, the implied equity risk premium, or ERP, is quite similar to what it had been for the last 10 years. This is actually partly due to that the real interest rate is at a historical low level, and right now that's about 1%. And also according to the Federal Reserve, that is a level that is expected to sustain for the foreseeable future. And that is a key difference between where we are today and in the midst of the dot-com bubble, when the real interest rate were about 5% higher than they are now.

Adam Bass ([09:33](#)):

Okay. So if I'm following you correctly, even though valuations, as measured by PE ratios, are definitely high, implying large amounts of risk, the risk premium, the amount that investors are being paid to take on that risk or demanding, in another sense, to take on that risk, that hasn't really shifted. Is that correct?

Chenlu Zhou ([09:56](#)):

Yes.

Adam Bass ([09:57](#)):

And that seems like a lot less pessimistic a view than we've seen from some other analyses of the market. It's almost politically correct, in a sense, to be pessimistic. So Chenlu, how would you answer someone who said that the outlook described by MSCI, well, it's just too rosy.

Chenlu Zhou ([10:21](#)):

Yeah, I think that's definitely a healthy debate that we have out there. And I have two thoughts on that. One is, we want to recognize that we are in an unprecedented era of very low interest rates. So even though the PE ratio is indeed very high, we shouldn't lose sight of how much of that low yield environment has done to prop up the prices. So with that said, I would stand by our model, that this valuation level isn't that crazy, given how low the yield is.

Chenlu Zhou ([10:58](#)):

On the other hand, the equity risk premium is only one part of what constitutes the total expected return. At the end of the day, investors care about the total returns, which also include the risk-free rate part. So if the interest rate were to stay low, as what people seem to be expecting now, then that total expected return implied by our model are still going to be much lower than what we have experienced for the last decade.

Adam Bass ([11:31](#)):

So still rosier, but you are seeing some possibilities of darker skies.

Chenlu Zhou ([11:40](#)):

I think that's a good way to say it, yeah.

Adam Bass ([11:42](#)):

Chenlu, we appreciate you coming on to offer your insights. And as this continues to play out, we would love it if you would join us again and offer your views.

Chenlu Zhou ([11:53](#)):

Absolutely. I look forward to be back.

Adam Bass ([11:56](#)):

That's all for this week. Our thanks to Chenlu and to all of you for joining us. Remember, it takes just a moment to subscribe to the podcast, leave a comment, or share with a friend. Until next week, I'm your host, Adam Bass, and this is MSCI Perspectives. Stay safe, everyone.

About MSCI

MSCI is a leading provider of critical decision support tools and services for the global investment community. With over 45 years of expertise in research, data and technology, we power better investment decisions by enabling clients to understand and analyze key drivers of risk and return and confidently build more effective portfolios. We create industry-leading research-enhanced solutions that clients use to gain insight into and improve transparency across the investment process. To learn more, please visit www.msci.com.

This document and all of the information contained in it, including without limitation all text, data, graphs, charts (collectively, the "Information") is the property of MSCI Inc. or its subsidiaries (collectively, "MSCI"), or MSCI's licensors, direct or indirect suppliers or any third party involved in making or compiling any Information (collectively, with MSCI, the "Information Providers") and is provided for informational purposes only. The Information may not be modified, reverse-engineered, reproduced or disseminated in whole or in part without prior written permission from MSCI.

The Information may not be used to create derivative works or to verify or correct other data or information. For example (but without limitation), the Information may not be used to create indexes, databases, risk models, analytics, software, or in connection with the issuing, offering, sponsoring, managing or marketing of any securities, portfolios, financial products or other investment vehicles utilizing or based on, linked to, tracking or otherwise derived from the Information or any other MSCI data, information, products or services.

The user of the Information assumes the entire risk of any use it may make or permit to be made of the Information. NONE OF THE INFORMATION PROVIDERS MAKES ANY EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES OR REPRESENTATIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE INFORMATION (OR THE RESULTS TO BE OBTAINED BY THE USE THEREOF), AND TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT PERMITTED BY APPLICABLE LAW, EACH INFORMATION PROVIDER EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF ORIGINALITY, ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, NON-INFRINGEMENT, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE) WITH RESPECT TO ANY OF THE INFORMATION.

Without limiting any of the foregoing and to the maximum extent permitted by applicable law, in no event shall any Information Provider have any liability regarding any of the Information for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential (including lost profits) or any other damages even if notified of the possibility of such damages. The foregoing shall not exclude or limit any liability that may not be applicable law be excluded or limited, including without limitation (as applicable), any liability for death or personal injury to the extent that such injury results from the negligence or willful default of itself, its servants, agents or sub-contractors.

Information containing any historical information, data or analysis should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of any future performance, analysis, forecast or prediction. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

The Information should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. All Information is impersonal and not tailored to the needs of any person, entity or group of persons.

None of the Information constitutes an offer to sell (or a solicitation of an offer to buy), any security, financial product or other investment vehicle or any trading strategy.

It is not possible to invest directly in an index. Exposure to an asset class or trading strategy or other category represented by an index is only available through third party investable instruments (if any) based on that index. MSCI does not issue, sponsor, endorse, market, offer, review or otherwise express any opinion regarding any fund, ETF, derivative or other security, investment, financial product or trading strategy that is based on, linked to or seeks to provide an investment return related to the performance of any MSCI index (collectively, "Index Linked Investments"). MSCI makes no assurance that any Index Linked Investments will accurately track index performance or provide positive investment returns. MSCI Inc. is not an investment adviser or fiduciary and MSCI makes no representation regarding the advisability of investing in any Index Linked Investments.

Index returns do not represent the results of actual trading of investible assets/securities. MSCI maintains and calculates indexes, but does not manage actual assets. Index returns do not reflect payment of any sales charges or fees an investor may pay to purchase the securities underlying the index or Index Linked Investments. The imposition of these fees and charges would cause the performance of an Index Linked Investment to be different than the MSCI index performance.

The Information may contain back tested data. Back-tested performance is not actual performance, but is hypothetical. There are frequently material differences between back tested performance results and actual results subsequently achieved by any investment strategy.

Constituents of MSCI equity indexes are listed companies, which are included in or excluded from the indexes according to the application of the relevant index methodologies. Accordingly, constituents in MSCI equity indexes may include MSCI Inc., clients of MSCI or suppliers to MSCI. Inclusion of a security within an MSCI index is not a recommendation by MSCI to buy, sell, or hold such security, nor is it considered to be investment advice.

Data and information produced by various affiliates of MSCI Inc., including MSCI ESG Research LLC and Barra LLC, may be used in calculating certain MSCI indexes. More information can be found in the relevant index methodologies on www.msci.com.

MSCI receives compensation in connection with licensing its indexes to third parties. MSCI Inc.'s revenue includes fees based on assets in Index Linked Investments. Information can be found in MSCI Inc.'s company filings on the Investor Relations section of www.msci.com.

MSCI ESG Research LLC is a Registered Investment Adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and a subsidiary of MSCI Inc. Except with respect to any applicable products or services from MSCI ESG Research, neither MSCI nor any of its products or services recommends, endorses, approves or otherwise expresses any opinion regarding any issuer, securities, financial products or instruments or trading strategies and MSCI's products or services are not intended to constitute investment advice or a recommendation to make (or refrain from making) any kind of investment decision and may not be relied on as such. Issuers mentioned or included in any MSCI ESG Research materials may include MSCI Inc., clients of MSCI or suppliers to MSCI, and may also purchase research or other products or services from MSCI ESG Research. MSCI ESG Research materials, including materials utilized in any MSCI ESG Indexes or other products, have not been submitted to, nor received approval from, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission or any other regulatory body.

Any use of or access to products, services or information of MSCI requires a license from MSCI. MSCI, Barra, RiskMetrics, IPD and other MSCI brands and product names are the trademarks, service marks, or registered trademarks of MSCI or its subsidiaries in the United States and other jurisdictions. The Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS) was developed by and is the exclusive property of MSCI and Standard & Poor's. "Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS)" is a service mark of MSCI and Standard & Poor's.

MIFID2/MIFIR notice: MSCI ESG Research LLC does not distribute or act as an intermediary for financial instruments or structured deposits, nor does it deal on its own account, provide execution services for others or manage client accounts. No MSCI ESG Research product or service supports, promotes or is intended to support or promote any such activity. MSCI ESG Research is an independent provider of ESG data, reports and ratings based on published methodologies and available to clients on a subscription basis. We do not provide custom or one-off ratings or recommendations of securities or other financial instruments upon request.

Privacy notice: For information about how MSCI ESG Research LLC collects and uses personal data concerning officers and directors, please refer to our Privacy Notice at <https://www.msci.com/privacy-pledge>.