

No Place Like Home? Revisiting US Portfolios

Featuring: **Raina Oberoi**, Head of Index Solutions Research for the Americas

Adam Bass ([00:03](#)):

This is MSCI Perspectives, your source for weekly research insights as investors respond to the COVID-19 pandemic. I'm your host, Adam Bass and today is December 3rd, 2020. This week, it's true what they say, there is no place like home. When it comes to investing though, a home bias can leave a world of opportunity out of investors' portfolios, literally. Raina Oberoi, head of index solutions research for the Americas explains.

Adam Bass ([00:33](#)):

Raina, welcome back to the program.

Raina Oberoi ([00:35](#)):

Thanks Adam.

Adam Bass ([00:37](#)):

You were actually one of the first guests that we had on Perspectives back when we weren't at all sure how long any of this was going to last. It was still very early on in the pandemic, New York City where you and I both live and many other cities for that matter we're locked down. As we speak, unfortunately, cases have picked up again around the world. And in fact, as we were talking before we started recording, New York City public schools have been shuttered again. But I have to say, to me it doesn't feel good, but it feels different nine months later. If you're lucky enough to be healthy, employed, have a roof over your head, you adapt. I was curious if you're feeling that way as well and how you and your family have somehow adapted or even changed your expectations for what normal means.

Raina Oberoi ([01:29](#)):

Yeah, Adam. I clearly recall when I was on this episode a while ago, I think it was in March and I remember at that time, my biggest concern was getting to a hair salon. But today it's obviously

different and you're right. We're all creatures of habit. And it's funny that you actually mentioned the New York City public schools shuttering because my son actually is a second grader at a New York City public school and I surprisingly reacted to the news of schools closing a lot more calmly this time than I did back in March. I do think that we all evolved and adapted and what's normal continues to evolve for me and my family.

Adam Bass ([02:10](#)):

When it comes to investing though, during the pandemic and surprises there, you were on a panel recently and I heard you talking about the out performance of global companies versus a purely domestic focused company here in the US. What specifically did you see there?

Raina Oberoi ([02:30](#)):

Sure. Adam, I think the first we need to iron out is really the definition. What we know what we may classify as a US company just based on its location, actually may not be a US company and actually becomes a global company if it generates its revenues from multiple countries and regions. And I think this bifurcation is important for investors, who may be thinking that they are actually investing in US companies only, but that may not be entirely true. Now, there are a couple of examples where you can see this play out and based on this, we can say that in many cases, global companies have outperformed the more domestic oriented companies.

Raina Oberoi ([03:13](#)):

Firstly, if you actually look at companies with majority of their revenues coming internationally or outside their domestic market, and by majority I say in excess of 25%, these group of companies actually have outperformed companies where most of the revenues are coming from their domestic market. And we saw this in particular through the crisis in the first quarter of 2020. And so the pandemic actually has been a good test to see whether these global companies tend to be more resilient because their revenue streams are more diversified globally.

Raina Oberoi ([03:47](#)):

Now let's say you are an investor and you want to invest only in US companies and do not want to take an international view. The reality is that you really are exposed to the world beyond the US. Now, if you take, for example, the MSCI USA index and screen for companies that get majority of their revenue from the US and then take that same index and screen for companies that get majority of their revenue from emerging markets, you will see that the ones that are more exposed to emerging markets are getting their revenue from emerging markets have actually outperformed for the last couple of years. Again, the underlying universe here in both cases is the US but it's really not binary, whether you want US exposure or non-US exposure.

Adam Bass ([04:32](#)):

It's very interesting, especially considering the theme. And this leads to that, that you and your team had been looking at around the idea of the US investor and home bias. Buying investments that are or at least to the point that you just made, they believe are exposing them to companies and revenues in the US exclusively.

Raina Oberoi ([04:55](#)):

Sure. firstly, I think just broadly speaking, everyone has touted the benefit of international investing as diversification. But the question really is if you are a US investor and we've seen that the US outperformed global equities the last few years, why should you look outside? I think it's a way of thinking that my home market or my domestic market has given me the returns I want. The MSCI USA index has about give or take 600 securities. It's a fairly diversified pool so it's not like you're in a concentrated market and you've gotten the returns that you want so what's the reason to look outside? I think if you look one level deeper at the top 10 assets of the MSCI USA index, they account for 26% of that index and that is the highest it's been in about two decades.

Raina Oberoi ([05:46](#)):

Now, if you take that same measure and look outside the US and look at the MSCI World ex US index, you will see that the top 10 assets actually account for about 11% of that index. You can see that there is a more balanced pool of securities outside the US and that index, as opposed to in the US where just a few names are really driving the market as opposed to the broader market. Again, the idea is that if these pool of securities do not continue their run, does that actually put the entire US market in jeopardy?

Raina Oberoi ([06:20](#)):

The second reason I would say is something that we are seeing more and more is, and you may have heard this term a lot is thematic. What some people call mega trends. Now, as you're aware, MSCI does have thematic indexes and really what these indexes are, are designed to provide investors opportunities to benefit from long term structural trends. And I think the important part here is, everyone may define a certain theme or think one theme is important than the other. The crucial part is that thematic investing is not sector investing. It's not ESG investing, it's not factor investing. It's its own unique, crucial lens, which can give investors insights into how companies are going to change their business models and value chain and how can investors capture these longer term structural growth opportunities?

Adam Bass ([07:11](#)):

Can you give us an example?

Raina Oberoi ([07:14](#)):

Let's say you just want to invest in the US and US is obviously a very innovative country. There's a lot of innovation happening here. Some of the themes that you would get exposed to primarily are internet innovation, cybersecurity, genomics, et cetera. What you would not get exposed to as much would be themes like efficient energy or smart cities or future mobility, which are themes that are more prominent outside the US.

Raina Oberoi ([07:40](#)):

And then the last point really is sustainability. And before we got into this crisis, everyone still knew about environmental, social and governance characteristics in investment portfolios, but the general understanding was that ESG strategies or ESG characteristics can help mitigate some element of risk. And it was really that. I think what the crisis has shown us that ESG strategies, not only in one particular market, but globally, have in general suffered less drawdowns compared to the underlying markets. The crisis actually has been a good test for such strategies.

Adam Bass ([08:18](#)):

It's interesting on a few of those points, when you talk about the top 10 names in the MSCI USA index, one of the issues is that you're more exposed to maybe even just a handful of companies in the US when that's not your intent. And there've been many stories about a handful of stocks, technology stocks, the FAANG stocks or sometimes the FAAMNG stocks, not sure how to pronounce that when Microsoft is in the mix, driving a lot of this rally, is that some of what you're seeing as well?

Raina Oberoi ([08:49](#)):

Yeah, absolutely. The usual suspects, Apple, Microsoft, Amazon, Facebook, Alphabet. Whether you call them technology or communication services, all these stocks are really the ones that are driving a lot of the returns in the US market.

Adam Bass ([09:06](#)):

And for all of these aspects that you mentioned, whether thematics, sustainability or index concentration, is it even valid to still look at the world as US and non-US or as you alluded to, has the

scope of opportunity and perhaps more importantly, how investors construct their portfolios, has that evolved beyond that way of thinking?

Raina Oberoi ([09:29](#)):

Yeah. I think it's still relevant that US investors in general do tend to over allocate to their US piece of the pie, as I would say. And I think for that, I always say that if history is any lesson for us, there have been times where obviously the US has outperformed, like we've seen any market as outperformed, but there've been times for long periods where the US has trailed international markets. And something that, again, puts things in perspective is that given the US rally and its stocks have obviously had a good run. If you look at the weight of North America or North American companies in global equity in terms of market cap, that 60% of the MSCI All Country World Index, the ACWI index. You would say, "Look, I'm just investing in 60% of the world already." But if you actually take that same logic and apply in terms of revenue, those same companies actually only produce 30% of the revenues generated by all companies in the ACWI index.

Adam Bass ([10:37](#)):

You'd be missing out on these sources of revenue, which makes total sense. I'm wondering, do you see the same kind of home bias from investors in other countries? Or is this a uniquely US way of looking at the world?

Raina Oberoi ([10:52](#)):

Yeah, we don't see as much of a home bias. I think it's particularly pronounced in the US and it's a lot to do with what we spoke about earlier. US has had a great run. It is a fairly big pool of securities to pick from. There's less reason for US investors to really look outside.

Adam Bass ([11:14](#)):

And keeping our focus there around the world, it's kind of tough to talk about the idea of globalization, investing globally, without talking about the de-globalization movement, whether it's the election of so-called populist leaders or protests outside gatherings, such as the World Economic Forum, there's some sense of a dissatisfaction with the idea of globalization itself. Any thoughts there?

Raina Oberoi ([11:42](#)):

I definitely think that de-globalization, as we call it, is detrimental for many reasons as we discussed and will hurt growth if we work in silos, but there are two camps here. Some believe that this crisis has

actually accelerated de-globalization. The idea is that there will probably be companies that will want to be more self reliant, will try to rely on production at home as opposed to internationally. There will be governments who will impose restrictions on flow of goods and information. And maybe there are investors who will be convinced or asked to reduce their international portfolio allocation. All of that could very well happen, but there's another side to the story as well, which I actually strongly believe in is that this pandemic can actually be a strong case for globalization.

Raina Oberoi ([12:37](#)):

Now we're obviously all fighting the virus together for a long time and we'll be fighting it in the near future at least if not in the long term, but that's not possible for one country to do. Similarly, if you think about yet another pandemic, which is climate change, that's impossible for one country to tackle. It has to be a coordinated response across countries, across geographies, across governments and this collective action is the only way we could fight a virus or we could fight climate change. That to me is actually a very profound, structural way of looking at why globalization actually will strengthen and the case for that will continue to convince people that this is the way we want to go.

Adam Bass ([13:25](#)):

And as you mentioned earlier, whether we want to be, we are at this point, all connected, whether it's in terms of fighting a disease or in terms of how companies make their money across the world.

Raina Oberoi ([13:40](#)):

Yeah, absolutely.

Adam Bass ([13:42](#)):

Well, Raina, thank you so much for joining us. It's always a pleasure.

Raina Oberoi ([13:46](#)):

Thanks, Adam.

Adam Bass ([13:48](#)):

That's all for this week. Our thanks to Raina and to all of you for joining us. Be sure to join us next week when we'll continue our global focus. We talk Chinese corporate bonds with friend of the program, Andy Sparks. Remember, it takes just a moment to subscribe to the podcast, leave a comment or share with a friend. Until next week, I'm your host, Adam Bass and this is MSCI Perspectives. Stay safe, everyone.

About MSCI

MSCI is a leading provider of critical decision support tools and services for the global investment community. With over 45 years of expertise in research, data and technology, we power better investment decisions by enabling clients to understand and analyze key drivers of risk and return and confidently build more effective portfolios. We create industry-leading research-enhanced solutions that clients use to gain insight into and improve transparency across the investment process. To learn more, please visit www.msci.com.

This document and all of the information contained in it, including without limitation all text, data, graphs, charts (collectively, the "Information") is the property of MSCI Inc. or its subsidiaries (collectively, "MSCI"), or MSCI's licensors, direct or indirect suppliers or any third party involved in making or compiling any Information (collectively, with MSCI, the "Information Providers") and is provided for informational purposes only. The Information may not be modified, reverse-engineered, reproduced or disseminated in whole or in part without prior written permission from MSCI.

The Information may not be used to create derivative works or to verify or correct other data or information. For example (but without limitation), the Information may not be used to create indexes, databases, risk models, analytics, software, or in connection with the issuing, offering, sponsoring, managing or marketing of any securities, portfolios, financial products or other investment vehicles utilizing or based on, linked to, tracking or otherwise derived from the Information or any other MSCI data, information, products or services.

The user of the Information assumes the entire risk of any use it may make or permit to be made of the Information. NONE OF THE INFORMATION PROVIDERS MAKES ANY EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES OR REPRESENTATIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE INFORMATION (OR THE RESULTS TO BE OBTAINED BY THE USE THEREOF), AND TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT PERMITTED BY APPLICABLE LAW, EACH INFORMATION PROVIDER EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF ORIGINALITY, ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, NON-INFRINGEMENT, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE) WITH RESPECT TO ANY OF THE INFORMATION.

Without limiting any of the foregoing and to the maximum extent permitted by applicable law, in no event shall any Information Provider have any liability regarding any of the Information for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential (including lost profits) or any other damages even if notified of the possibility of such damages. The foregoing shall not exclude or limit any liability that may not be by applicable law be excluded or limited, including without limitation (as applicable), any liability for death or personal injury to the extent that such injury results from the negligence or willful default of itself, its servants, agents or sub-contractors.

Information containing any historical information, data or analysis should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of any future performance, analysis, forecast or prediction. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

The Information should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. All Information is impersonal and not tailored to the needs of any person, entity or group of persons.

None of the Information constitutes an offer to sell (or a solicitation of an offer to buy), any security, financial product or other investment vehicle or any trading strategy.

It is not possible to invest directly in an index. Exposure to an asset class or trading strategy or other category represented by an index is only available through third party investable instruments (if any) based on that index. MSCI does not issue, sponsor, endorse, market, offer, review or otherwise express any opinion regarding any fund, ETF, derivative or other security, investment, financial product or trading strategy that is based on, linked to or seeks to provide an investment return related to the performance of any MSCI index (collectively, "Index Linked Investments"). MSCI makes no assurance that any Index Linked Investments will accurately track index performance or provide positive investment returns. MSCI Inc. is not an investment adviser or fiduciary and MSCI makes no representation regarding the advisability of investing in any Index Linked Investments.

Index returns do not represent the results of actual trading of investible assets/securities. MSCI maintains and calculates indexes, but does not manage actual assets. Index returns do not reflect payment of any sales charges or fees an investor may pay to purchase the securities underlying the index or Index Linked Investments. The imposition of these fees and charges would cause the performance of an Index Linked Investment to be different than the MSCI index performance.

The Information may contain back tested data. Back-tested performance is not actual performance, but is hypothetical. There are frequently material differences between back tested performance results and actual results subsequently achieved by any investment strategy.

Constituents of MSCI equity indexes are listed companies, which are included in or excluded from the indexes according to the application of the relevant index methodologies. Accordingly, constituents in MSCI equity indexes may include MSCI Inc., clients of MSCI or suppliers to MSCI. Inclusion of a security within an MSCI index is not a recommendation by MSCI to buy, sell, or hold such security, nor is it considered to be investment advice.

Data and information produced by various affiliates of MSCI Inc., including MSCI ESG Research LLC and Barra LLC, may be used in calculating certain MSCI indexes. More information can be found in the relevant index methodologies on www.msci.com.

MSCI receives compensation in connection with licensing its indexes to third parties. MSCI Inc.'s revenue includes fees based on assets in Index Linked Investments. Information can be found in MSCI Inc.'s company filings on the Investor Relations section of www.msci.com.

MSCI ESG Research LLC is a Registered Investment Adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and a subsidiary of MSCI Inc. Except with respect to any applicable products or services from MSCI ESG Research, neither MSCI nor any of its products or services recommends, endorses, approves or otherwise expresses any opinion regarding any issuer, securities, financial products or instruments or trading strategies and MSCI's products or services are not intended to constitute investment advice or a recommendation to make (or refrain from making) any kind of investment decision and may not be relied on as such. Issuers mentioned or included in any MSCI ESG Research materials may include MSCI Inc., clients of MSCI or suppliers to MSCI, and may also purchase research or other products or services from MSCI ESG Research. MSCI ESG Research materials, including materials utilized in any MSCI ESG Indexes or other products, have not been submitted to, nor received approval from, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission or any other regulatory body.

Any use of or access to products, services or information of MSCI requires a license from MSCI. MSCI, Barra, RiskMetrics, IPD and other MSCI brands and product names are the trademarks, service marks, or registered trademarks of MSCI or its subsidiaries in the United States and other jurisdictions. The Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS) was developed by and is the exclusive property of MSCI and Standard & Poor's. "Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS)" is a service mark of MSCI and Standard & Poor's.

MIFID2/MIFIR notice: MSCI ESG Research LLC does not distribute or act as an intermediary for financial instruments or structured deposits, nor does it deal on its own account, provide execution services for others or manage client accounts. No MSCI ESG Research product or service supports, promotes or is intended to support or promote any such activity. MSCI ESG Research is an independent provider of ESG data, reports and ratings based on published methodologies and available to clients on a subscription basis. We do not provide custom or one-off ratings or recommendations of securities or other financial instruments upon request.

Privacy notice: For information about how MSCI ESG Research LLC collects and uses personal data concerning officers and directors, please refer to our Privacy Notice at <https://www.msci.com/privacy-pledge>.