

# Credit Markets Snapshot: Apocalypse Not Quite

## Now

Featuring: **Hamed Faquiryan**, Vice President, MSCI Research

**Adam Bass** ([00:03](#)):

This is MSCI Perspectives, your source for weekly research insights as investors respond to the COVID-19 pandemic. I'm your host, Adam Bass, and today is August 27, 2020. This week, credit markets then and now. While we haven't returned to pre-COVID conditions, the difference between markets today and how their condition less than six months ago is as stark as, well, as stark as things looked back then. To get the full story, we spoke with Hamed Faquiryan, Vice President on MSCI's multi-asset class research team.

**Adam Bass** ([00:42](#)):

Hamed, Thank you so much for joining us.

**Hamed Faquiryan** ([00:44](#)):

Sure. It's a pleasure to be here.

**Adam Bass** ([00:47](#)):

Usually when someone doesn't know where to start a story, the advice is often start at the beginning. Today, I'd like to go against that advice and start at the end of our story so, in broad strokes, can you describe what the credit markets look like today?

**Hamed Faquiryan** ([01:05](#)):

Sure. Credit markets have pulled back from the brink. I think we were really staring into an abyss there in late March and early April. Today, what we see is very, very different from that, where what we're

seeing is what classically looks like the beginning of a new credit cycle as opposed to the end of one or the precipice of one ending. We see elevated risk premia in certain credit markets where the very real shock that we experienced from the COVID crisis is going to have long-lasting effects.

**Hamed Faquiryan (01:43):**

Think airlines, any live events company and stuff like that where there is going to be a reallocation of risk premia based on the reality on the ground. Defaults are elevated and we don't see a sign of them really pulling back in terms of real bankruptcies in the real economy. What we do see is a rather placid credit market where things are priced at elevated spreads relative to the pre-COVID reality, but things aren't falling apart in the manner of free-fall that we were witnessing in March and April. That's a very different story than a full on financial panic.

**Adam Bass (02:23):**

Exactly. That's why it's so important and why I wanted to start where we are now because, as you mentioned, when coronavirus hit the world it hit the markets really hard and nobody knew where it was going to stop. At this point, I'd like to plot our path back to today starting from last spring, which I don't know about you but feels like it was so long ago to me, back to March. What did we see from the markets then? What were investors concerned about?

**Hamed Faquiryan (02:55):**

I agree. I feel like this year has been a decade long so I fully agree with that. I think it's actually instructive to give the context of where we were in March, which is all time highs for the stock market, really compressed spreads in the credit markets, meaning that credit was expensive. There was a sense of everything is so expensive any disturbance might be amplified in a way that would be really surprising to the market, and I think that's exactly what happened basically.

**Hamed Faquiryan (03:24):**

Then the shock, I think, ended up being much, much larger than anyone really anticipated. Because everyone had paid a premium to be in the position they were and had no real conception of what the post-COVID crisis would look like, I think there was a dash to liquidity. To be honest, what we saw in the investment grade market was such extreme selling pressure to the extent that I don't think anything in the data actually comes even close to what we saw in terms of the spread blow-outs that we saw in March and April, and that's including the 2008 financial crisis. The speed of this thing is unprecedented and the speed of the subsequent reversal is also unprecedented.

**Adam Bass** ([04:07](#)):

I'm curious. Did it need to be an external shock or was it truly end of cycle behavior where the model is showing some type of negative reaction if COVID or some other shock didn't happen?

**Hamed Faquiryan** ([04:25](#)):

Yeah. I think that was probably the mean forecast, was that we'd have maybe defaults in a particular market. One thing that happened in the energy markets that maybe antedated the COVID crisis by just a little bit was the plunge in oil prices and oil price war between Russia and Saudi Arabia, which had broad implications. I think that is something that was more forecastable in the sense of there'll be a shock and maybe a bunch of defaults in a particular part of the credit markets, and then this would mean a little bit of elevation in spreads. I don't think that was thought of as something that could affect double A, IG spreads for a completely unexposed sector to the energy markets.

**Adam Bass** ([05:11](#)):

Exactly, exactly. Picking up the story there, when you were looking ahead through the models in March, what story were they telling?

**Hamed Faquiryan** ([05:22](#)):

Okay, so the amount of issuance in the corporate debt markets was really on a tear for years and years. Folks were keeping an eye on, throughout 17, 18, into 20, was that the riskiest part of the investment grades credit band was expanding at a much faster rate than everything else. If there was a recession, maybe you'd see a lot of downgrades within the ... This is the triple B segment, and if you have a lot of downgrades in that segment that means all that paper gets dumped onto the high yield market.

**Hamed Faquiryan** ([05:58](#)):

There were questions about what happens in that scenario where there might be a bunch of managers who need to dump that paper because their mandates say investment grade only or their benchmarks are to investment grade bonds only. The questions arose, if there is a coordinated selling event within the investment grade market, can the high yield market absorb the sheer quantity of paper that would be dumped onto it and would investment grade managers suffer a coordinated loss because everyone's selling at the same time?

**Adam Bass** ([06:29](#)):

Then like the cavalry coming up over the hill, the Central Banks step in.

**Hamed Faquiryan (06:34):**

Yeah. Okay, so I was an intern at Merrill Lynch in 2008. The 2008 financial crisis in a lot of ways was an inflection point in Central Bank policymaking. Reflecting on what happened in 2008 and the alternative monetary policy tools that were unveiled then, that stuff took years and years to think hard about and then actually implement. When I compare what happened in March, you can basically take all the lessons of the global financial crisis and all the policies that either organically or externally were thought of and created, all that stuff took years and years to implement over the global financial crisis and all of it was implemented within weeks, in March.

**Hamed Faquiryan (07:27):**

I think the evidence is fairly clear that risk premia in the credit markets were extraordinarily elevated and the credibility of the Central Bank to backstop all of its debt really pulled us back from the brink.

**Adam Bass (07:43):**

Which brings us right back to today. What are investors concerned about today and what stressors are you seeing on the markets that investors should probably keep an eye on?

**Hamed Faquiryan (07:55):**

I think there is a multiplicity of shapes being bandied about in terms of what the recovery will look like. You have the V-shaped, the U-shaped, [inaudible 00:08:06] shaped. I think what we're seeing is something akin to a K-shape. What we're seeing today is elevated risk premia relative to the pre-COVID times, but a dramatic recovery along the axis of companies which aren't exposed to the most deleterious effects of the crisis, and then a halting of recovery in those sectors which are.

**Hamed Faquiryan (08:31):**

I think what the credit markets right now are pricing in is that the industries that aren't exposed to the virus are as safe as they were pre-crisis, more or less. At the same time, we're seeing record issuance by companies which are perceived as super safe. Your Googles and your Microsofts might be able to issue more debt than they've ever been able to issue and investors are clamoring for it because it's companies which are extremely safe.

**Hamed Faquiryan (08:59):**

Whereas in, I think if you're an airline company or maybe a tourism company, I think there your fortunes are still pretty dire. The question becomes, can those companies which are heavily exposed ride out the wave until something approximating normalcy returns?

**Adam Bass** ([09:20](#)):

It sounds like, unlike in March, the market is functioning pretty much like it should?

**Hamed Faquiryan** ([09:28](#)):

More or less, yeah, I think so.

**Adam Bass** ([09:30](#)):

Now throughout this interview you've been speaking, Hamed, with the perspective of a seasoned professional, but you mentioned being an intern at Merrill Lynch back in 2008. I'm curious what were you thinking coming into the industry at that time?

**Hamed Faquiryan** ([09:46](#)):

Okay. My first day at Merrill Lynch was the day that Lehman declared bankruptcy and the night before at midnight Merrill Lynch was sold to Bank of America. My first day was you go upstairs, say hello, and then you call to an all hands. The all hands is basically about whether or not everyone in that room will be fired. I'm an intern so I have the disadvantage of being useless but the advantage of being costless so I was just a witness to this world historical event.

**Hamed Faquiryan** ([10:20](#)):

The anxiety and excitement that was in the air at that time was fascinating because the stakes for me were extremely low. Now that I am an adult attached to the industry with all of the obligations and responsibilities that adulthood comes, you might have a bead of sweat running down your forehead.

**Adam Bass** ([10:39](#)):

Well, thank you so much again for joining us, Hamed. This was a great conversation and we'd love to have you back again to see where we are perhaps six months from now.

**Hamed Faquiryan** ([10:49](#)):

Thanks a lot, Adam, I appreciate it.

Adam Bass ([10:52](#)):

That's all for this week. Thanks to Hamed and all of you for joining us. Remember, it takes just a moment to subscribe to the podcast, leave a comment or share with a friend. Until next week, I'm your host, Adam Bass, and this is MSCI Perspectives. Stay safe everyone.

## About MSCI

MSCI is a leading provider of critical decision support tools and services for the global investment community. With over 45 years of expertise in research, data and technology, we power better investment decisions by enabling clients to understand and analyze key drivers of risk and return and confidently build more effective portfolios. We create industry-leading research-enhanced solutions that clients use to gain insight into and improve transparency across the investment process. To learn more, please visit [www.msci.com](http://www.msci.com).

This document and all of the information contained in it, including without limitation all text, data, graphs, charts (collectively, the "Information") is the property of MSCI Inc. or its subsidiaries (collectively, "MSCI"), or MSCI's licensors, direct or indirect suppliers or any third party involved in making or compiling any Information (collectively, with MSCI, the "Information Providers") and is provided for informational purposes only. The Information may not be modified, reverse-engineered, reproduced or redisseminated in whole or in part without prior written permission from MSCI.

The Information may not be used to create derivative works or to verify or correct other data or information. For example (but without limitation), the Information may not be used to create indexes, databases, risk models, analytics, software, or in connection with the issuing, offering, sponsoring, managing or marketing of any securities, portfolios, financial products or other investment vehicles utilizing or based on, linked to, tracking or otherwise derived from the Information or any other MSCI data, information, products or services.

The user of the Information assumes the entire risk of any use it may make or permit to be made of the Information. NONE OF THE INFORMATION PROVIDERS MAKES ANY EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES OR REPRESENTATIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE INFORMATION (OR THE RESULTS TO BE OBTAINED BY THE USE THEREOF), AND TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT PERMITTED BY APPLICABLE LAW, EACH INFORMATION PROVIDER EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF ORIGINALITY, ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, NON-INFRINGEMENT, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE) WITH RESPECT TO ANY OF THE INFORMATION.

Without limiting any of the foregoing and to the maximum extent permitted by applicable law, in no event shall any Information Provider have any liability regarding any of the Information for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential (including lost profits) or any other damages even if notified of the possibility of such damages. The foregoing shall not exclude or limit any liability that may not by applicable law be excluded or limited, including without limitation (as applicable), any liability for death or personal injury to the extent that such injury results from the negligence or willful default of itself, its servants, agents or sub-contractors.

Information containing any historical information, data or analysis should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of any future performance, analysis, forecast or prediction. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

The Information should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. All Information is impersonal and not tailored to the needs of any person, entity or group of persons.

None of the Information constitutes an offer to sell (or a solicitation of an offer to buy), any security, financial product or other investment vehicle or any trading strategy.

It is not possible to invest directly in an index. Exposure to an asset class or trading strategy or other category represented by an index is only available through third party investable instruments (if any) based on that index. MSCI does not issue, sponsor, endorse, market, offer, review or otherwise express any opinion regarding any fund, ETF, derivative or other security, investment, financial product or trading strategy that is based on, linked to or seeks to provide an investment return related to the performance of any MSCI index (collectively, "Index Linked Investments"). MSCI makes no assurance that any Index Linked Investments will accurately track index performance or provide positive investment returns. MSCI Inc. is not an investment adviser or fiduciary and MSCI makes no representation regarding the advisability of investing in any Index Linked Investments.

Index returns do not represent the results of actual trading of investible assets/securities. MSCI maintains and calculates indexes, but does not manage actual assets. Index returns do not reflect payment of any sales charges or fees an investor may pay to purchase the securities underlying the index or Index Linked Investments. The imposition of these fees and charges would cause the performance of an Index Linked Investment to be different than the MSCI index performance.

The Information may contain back tested data. Back-tested performance is not actual performance, but is hypothetical. There are frequently material differences between back tested performance results and actual results subsequently achieved by any investment strategy.

Constituents of MSCI equity indexes are listed companies, which are included in or excluded from the indexes according to the application of the relevant index methodologies. Accordingly, constituents in MSCI equity indexes may include MSCI Inc., clients of MSCI or suppliers to MSCI. Inclusion of a security within an MSCI index is not a recommendation by MSCI to buy, sell, or hold such security, nor is it considered to be investment advice.

Data and information produced by various affiliates of MSCI Inc., including MSCI ESG Research LLC and Barra LLC, may be used in calculating certain MSCI indexes. More information can be found in the relevant index methodologies on [www.msci.com](http://www.msci.com).

MSCI receives compensation in connection with licensing its indexes to third parties. MSCI Inc.'s revenue includes fees based on assets in Index Linked Investments. Information can be found in MSCI Inc.'s company filings on the Investor Relations section of [www.msci.com](http://www.msci.com).

MSCI ESG Research LLC is a Registered Investment Adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and a subsidiary of MSCI Inc. Except with respect to any applicable products or services from MSCI ESG Research, neither MSCI nor any of its products or services recommends, endorses, approves or otherwise expresses any opinion regarding any issuer, securities, financial products or instruments or trading strategies and MSCI's products or services are not intended to constitute investment advice or a recommendation to make (or refrain from making) any kind of investment decision and may not be relied on as such. Issuers mentioned or included in any MSCI ESG Research materials may include MSCI Inc., clients of MSCI or suppliers to MSCI, and may also purchase research or other products or services from MSCI ESG Research. MSCI ESG Research materials, including materials utilized in any MSCI ESG Indexes or other products, have not been submitted to, nor received approval from, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission or any other regulatory body.

Any use of or access to products, services or information of MSCI requires a license from MSCI. MSCI, Barra, RiskMetrics, IPD and other MSCI brands and product names are the trademarks, service marks, or registered trademarks of MSCI or its subsidiaries in the United States and other jurisdictions. The Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS) was developed by and is the exclusive property of MSCI and Standard & Poor's. "Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS)" is a service mark of MSCI and Standard & Poor's.

MIFID2/MIFIR notice: MSCI ESG Research LLC does not distribute or act as an intermediary for financial instruments or structured deposits, nor does it deal on its own account, provide execution services for others or manage client accounts. No MSCI ESG Research product or service supports, promotes or is intended to support or promote any such activity. MSCI ESG Research is an independent provider of ESG data, reports and ratings based on published methodologies and available to clients on a subscription basis. We do not provide custom or one-off ratings or recommendations of securities or other financial instruments upon request.

Privacy notice: For information about how MSCI ESG Research LLC collects and uses personal data concerning officers and directors, please refer to our Privacy Notice at <https://www.msci.com/privacy-pledge>.