

# Threading the Needle Between Growth and Inflation

## *Featuring:*

*Andy Sparks, Managing Director, MSCI Research*

*Oleg Ruban, Head of Analytics Applied Research for Asia Pacific*

Adam Bass (00:03):

This is MSCI Perspectives, your source for insights for global investors and access to research and expertise from across the investment industry. I'm your host, Adam Bass, and today is March 24th, 2022. We're now a month into the war with Ukraine. It is, without doubt, a humanitarian tragedy, and while it hasn't yet turned into an economic tragedy for global markets, it is certainly adding to the uncertainty as well as the volatility that investors continue to face, things like ...

Oleg Ruban (00:40):

Expected of rising interest rates, rising commodity prices selloff on U.S. treasuries that has sent 10-year government bond yields to the highest level in 33 months. Europe is dealing with large influx of refugees. We see the return of coronavirus to China, which once again threatens global supply chains, which may amplify pressure on prices and apply downward pressure on output.

Adam Bass (01:02):

Not to mention longstanding concerns about inflation and growth. This week on Perspectives, we'll talk about how central banks around the world are attempting to fight inflation without hampering growth. Let's meet our first guest.

Andy Sparks (01:19):

My name is Andy Sparks. I work in MSCI's Solutions Research effort.

Adam Bass (01:24):

And the owner of the voice you heard just a moment ago.

Oleg Ruban (01:28):

My name is Oleg Ruban. I look after solutions research for Asia Pacific.

Adam Bass (01:34):

Last week, the Federal Reserve in the U.S. announced a quarter point rise in the federal funds rate, its first increase in more than three years, its move designed to combat inflation that has ballooned in the United States to levels we have not seen in decades, but according to Andy, MSCI's long-term observer of the Fed, the rate hike was a non-event.

Andy Sparks (01:59):

That's because Chairman Powell had effectively pre-announced this move when he testified to Congress earlier in the month, and I think instead, that the market's primary focus at this meeting was the commentary around the state of the economy and its expectation of future policy moves, and in fact, the Feds signaled a very positive outlook on the economy as well as the Fed's ability to lower inflation. At the press conference, Chairman Powell emphasized the remarkable rebound in the U.S. labor market, which cuts across demographic and socioeconomic groups. He also highlighted the strong growth in wages. He came out clearly on the side of the Fed fighting today's 40-year high in inflation, and he did not think it would lead to a material deterioration in labor market conditions.

Adam Bass (02:53):

So, the decision to raise interest rates was, in part, a reflection of the Fed's belief that the labor market and job growth in the United States is strong, strong enough to support six more rate increases this year, but is a quarter point rise now enough?

Andy Sparks (03:11):

There was a dissenting voice. It's a very well-known voice. This was the voice of James Bullard, who is the president of this St. Louis Fed. Rather than a quarter of a point increase, he wanted a full half a point on Friday of the same week. He actually released a statement saying that he'd like to see the fed funds rate pushed above 3% by the end of the year. By the way, in contrast, as was announced at this meeting, the median Fed forecast for the fed funds rate by year end is 1.9. So, James Bullard feels the Fed needs to be much, much quicker in raising rates.

Andy Sparks (03:54):

The arguments for raising rates more aggressively earlier on are that if you don't do it aggressively, inflation could get out of control, and so James Bullard, I think his main concern is that the Fed may lose credibility because its current inflation target is 2%. Actual inflation is much above it, so he feels

that if inflation continues to be very high and much higher than the target, I think he's just concerned that inflation can become more of a permanent long-term phenomenon rather than making it a short-term phenomenon.

Adam Bass (04:33):

James Bullard. He's basically worried about the Fed losing control of inflation and losing its own credibility if it raises rates too slowly, but honestly, if we're looking at up to six more rate hikes this year anyway, what's the harm in raising them more aggressively now?

Andy Sparks (04:53):

If you go too fast too early, you could, under some views of the economy, you could cut off economic growth. So, we have a lot of momentum in the labor market, but if you're too aggressive at raising rates, you run the risk that recovery in the labor market may be reduced. It may be impeded.

Adam Bass (05:16):

But what if James Bullard is right?

Andy Sparks (05:20):

So, that is a real concern that many market participants have. That's a very important question. The Fed's median inflation forecast for 2022 is noticeably more optimistic than the market's implied inflation forecast. Those implied inflation forecasts are taken from prices of traded U.S. Treasury securities. So, if the market proves to be correct and inflation is higher than the Fed, then the Fed's will likely need to tighten monetary policy more than previously thought. This could include more aggressive increases in the fed funds rate as well as accelerated tapering of the Fed's balance sheet,

Adam Bass (06:04):

Which is bad because?

Andy Sparks (06:06):

The markets do not like abrupt changes in monetary policy, so in this case, equity markets could sell off. Short maturity treasury yields could come under significant pressure resulting in a flatter yield curve, and in addition to increased market volatility, I mean, very importantly, the Fed's credibility in the market would likely suffer. So, therein lies the problem. How do you cool inflation without cooling the labor market? So, you have different scenarios that are possible. There could be the too little, too late.

There could be too much, too early, and then you have, let's call it a soft landing or threading the eye of the needle, something where you just get it right. So, skeptics of the Fed might argue the Fed is way overly optimistic about being able to control inflation while having minimal effects on the labor market.

Adam Bass (07:04):

There you have it, the title of our episode. Oleg, continue the thread.

Oleg Ruban (07:10):

Chair Jay Powell saying that he was acutely aware of the need to return the economy to price stability and was determined to use the toolkit to do exactly that, and those recent statements from him indicate that the Fed may well be prepared to move more aggressively to quell inflation. I think he said in the last few days that there was nothing to prevent the bank moving forward with a half point rate increase in May but of course added that the committee has not made a decision yet on the next policy move.

Oleg Ruban (07:41):

Most central banks, they try to create that balance between inflation and growth. Now, some have been more pro-growth in nature. ECB, for example, due to the historical influence of kind of the Bundesbank philosophy, has been very much an inflation-fighting central bank in nature, but there's always this idea of, okay, if you raise rates too far, too fast, then you are essentially quelling growth, which is not something that ... is something you may be more cautious about doing, given that there are significant risks to growth as we mentioned earlier. So, basically, it's a very delicate balance that some developed market central banks need to tread right now.

Adam Bass (08:24):

Another thing investors pay close attention to is the composition of the Fed's portfolio. Andy brought up the fact that the Fed may begin tapering as early as May, and this is significant.

Andy Sparks (08:37):

Just take into account that the Fed currently owns about 25% of the U.S. Treasury market. They also own about 25% of the agency MBS market. So, they are huge, huge market players, and the significant selloff in the treasury market we've seen over the past six months, some of that selloff probably can be attributed to anticipated reductions in the size of the Fed's balance sheet.

Andy Sparks (09:06):

The real questions a lot of investors are asking is the speed at which the Fed may reduce its portfolio and how might the composition change, and so specific to the composition change, for example, if they let the mortgage portfolio shrink faster than the treasury portfolio, that could put upward pressure on mortgage spreads, and in terms of selling treasuries, the Fed owns treasuries along the yield curve. They also own a lot of Treasury Inflation-Protected Securities also known as TIPS, and the TIPS market generally is less liquid than other parts of the treasury market. So, if, for example, the Fed sold a lot of tips. That could put pressure on the prices of TIPS and the inverse of the price on the TIP or the yields, and those are real yields. So, the market pays a lot of attention to real yields, and those real yields are generally being drawn from the TIPS market. So, that's another important parameter to be focused on.

Adam Bass (10:07):

How is the rest of the world handling all of this?

Andy Sparks (10:10):

The ECB is grappling with the same basic issues as the Fed. Inflation was rising in the Euro zone even before the invasion, and now, it is likely to be even higher along with weaker growth in the wake of the invasion. The inflation rate in the Euro zone is still quite a bit lower than in the U.S., and Europe is being more affected by the war than is the U.S. So, the ECB is in the process of tightening monetary policy like the Fed is, but given that the Euro zone economy faces greater risk from the war and given a lower underlying rate of inflation, its tightening of monetary policy will likely be significantly less aggressive than what we will see in the U.S.

Oleg Ruban (11:00):

There were hopes that Europe's economy might grow faster than U.S. in 2022. If you look at the recent months, most central banks would have tapered the seized asset purchases, and many began increasing policy rates, but what we're seeing now is that many policymakers are also kind of looking towards a gloomier outlook than what they have been earlier. In fact, some are talking about how the current military conflict in Europe could be much worse for the European economy than the coronavirus pandemic due to a number of things, supply chain disruptions, energy scarcity, inflation, but there's also a confidence effect. So, put all of those together. This then sets up many central banks to think about a gloomier outlook especially in developed markets.

Adam Bass (11:48):

When monetary policy tightens in developed markets, it's tended to stem flows of capital into emerging ones, which makes sense. I mean, if yields are higher in markets that have tended to be more stable, why take greater risk in markets that have historically been more volatile? I asked Oleg what he thought about this, and here's what he said.

Oleg Ruban (12:10):

In general, a lot of emerging market countries are in better shape today than they would during other periods of Fed tightening. What does that mean? Basically, bigger foreign currency reserves, better budgetary and external balances have helped insulate them to some extent from the risk of capital outflows, but that doesn't mean that a large enough shock still could not penetrate that buffer. There is a possibility that capital flows may revert abruptly, and that could leave both asset markets, both in equity markets as well as currency markets in emerging markets in turmoil. So, while vulnerabilities in countries have decreased to some extent relative to what we saw a number of years ago, let's say during the taper tantrum in 2013, that still doesn't mean that emerging markets are completely insulated from what is happening with respect to Fed rate rises.

Adam Bass (13:07):

In our prep meeting for the interview, Oleg mentioned something interesting. Well, I mean he mentioned a lot of some things interest, but he said that inflation, while it was a huge concern for developed markets in the West, it's not as much of a concern in Asia, for example, at least not in the same way. I asked him why that is and what it means for the global economy.

Oleg Ruban (13:34):

Many countries in Asia have been insulated to a large extent from the surge of inflation, so at least so far. The way we can see that is if we compare core inflation at the end of last year with average inflation over the past 10 years or so. Then, the underlying inflation in most Asian countries remains below its pre-pandemic levels. This is especially so in the middle income Asian economies where outputs remain somewhat depressed, but even in kind of a higher income economy such as Japan, this is also the case.

Oleg Ruban (14:08):

Now, there's some dispersion there as well. So, there have been some countries where the economy has rebounded more strongly after the coronavirus pandemic. So, countries like Taiwan and Korea come to mind, as does Singapore, and here, inflation did rise to above historical average rates more recently. In particular, we've had service prices have a bit of an effect on inflation in these countries, but I think in contrast to what we're seeing in the U.S., in Japan, most market participants still expect the BOJ to leave its policy rate unchanged probably until about 2025 or so.

Adam Bass (14:46):

Can you give any idea about why is the ... Why would the Japanese Central Bank make that decision in the face of higher inflation, as opposed to the Fed, for example? What factors are in play there?

Oleg Ruban (15:02):

Well, I think first of all, there is, in Japan itself, the inflation still remains somewhat subdued, and this has been the case for a while. So, in fact, if we look some years ago, with Abenomics, one kind of aspect of this was how to restart inflation and the idea that restarting inflation might have a positive impact on growth in Japan. So, again, it's that same kind of growth versus inflation trade-off. In Japan, frankly, growth is somewhat of a high priority from probably relative to inflationary pressures that remain rather subdued.

Adam Bass (15:41):

Let's stick with this part of the world but switch over to commodities. Let's talk about Australia. Head south a bit. How have they been affected during this time especially as inflation goes up, generally, commodities do pretty well, right?

Oleg Ruban (16:02):

I think it's kind of the ... It's almost the other way around. Rising commodity prices tend to be reflected in higher inflation rates overall. Until recently, I would say that inflationary pressures in Australia have been somewhat less pronounced compared to other major developed economies. However, what we saw in recent months, the last few months of 2021 and early 2022, is that it looks like headline inflation as well as underlying inflation were higher than expected by the Reserve Bank of Australia. So, there is evidence of inflationary pressures there broadening beyond goods prices and the services prices, and there are also upstream cost pressures in housing constructions and durable goods, which may push underlying inflation higher in the near term.

Oleg Ruban (16:47):

Now, high commodity prices, of course, would increase the cost of producing goods and further fuel the inflationary pressures. There is also a possibility that rising oil prices and rising food prices, which we are seeing and may see more of in the coming months, may dampen the demand for other goods in Australia. So, this then leads to some economists downgrading their expectations for growth in Australia while increasing their expectations for inflation. Yet at the same time, of course, Australia is a commodity exporter, and there are indications that coal exports in particular could increase very significantly in the current month, in the next few months so March through May, for instance, with export earnings correspondingly jumping upwards. That benefits the trade surplus that benefits certain sectors of the Australian economy as well as, of course, the country's budget.

Adam Bass (17:44):

I wondered about China. It's had its own difficulties over the past year. In fact, Evergrande was in the news again just this week with a \$2.1 billion asset seizure, but China is far removed, at least geographically, from both the war in Europe and inflation in the states.

Oleg Ruban (18:03):

At the moment, it seems like the Chinese economy may still be expanding relatively healthily so around its pre-pandemic trend perhaps this year, but there has been a gradual slowing of potential growth, and that's likely to continue in the coming years. Unlike what we saw in the U.S., I would say financial conditions in China are also easing a bit. So, you've had the central bank lowering several of its policy interest rates not so long ago. It also lowered the reserve requirements for banks, but on the other hand, we had some Chinese officials sufficiently worried about the situation to say in recent days that the government would act to boost the economy in the first quarter and introduce policies that are favorable to the market. So, while there is a reasonably benign economic picture in China, there are still some worries about how the current situation might affect what is, as you say, now a very large emerging economy.

Adam Bass (19:04):

It's a truism that we live in uncertain times, but it can also seem that investors are especially attuned to that uncertainty. I wanted to find out from Oleg what indicators investors may be looking for as they plan their next steps and the kinds of questions they might be asking.

Oleg Ruban (19:22):

From a kind of more, let's say, medium to long-term perspective, I think over the recent years, what we have seen is a steady decline in the trend of globalization. That's been a big driver of economic growth for quite a number of decades. Globalization was positive for growth, and it helps suppress inflation. We've seen that take a hit both from the pandemic of the last two years, as well as the current geopolitical crisis that has accelerated this decline in globalization. So, what we are seeing now is that some institutional investors are worried that stagflation, instead of being a risk scenario which it has been for a while, can become the baseline scenario. So, that's the first worry. So, how do you position the portfolio in that kind of environment?

Oleg Ruban (20:12):

The other thing is that given the kind of the decline from globalization, one aspect of this is that there's also likely to be significant dispersion between individual country, economic outcomes be it with respect to growth or with respect to inflation. We've already mentioned some of this, right, with respect to how the Asian economies haven't really had the same inflationary pressures as some others. Also, it's true to say that the track of growth has been quite different between the emerging markets in Asia versus countries like China versus developed markets. So, there has been this decoupling of economic prospects. The right level of granularity is something that probably a lot of allocators are still struggling with. So, they see that there is dispersion, but at what level do you capture that dispersion is an important question that market participants are facing.

Adam Bass (21:11):

That's all for this week. Joe and I would like to thank Oleg and Andy for offering their insights and thank all of you for listening. Next up, it's time for our quarterly check-in with Hitendra Varsani, and a look at the markets over the first quarter of the year. We'll also be joined by MSCI's Mark Carver and our old friend, Anthony Kruger of BlackRock. Until then, I'm your host, Adam Bass, and this is MSCI Perspectives. Stay safe, everyone.

## About MSCI

MSCI is a leading provider of critical decision support tools and services for the global investment community. With over 50 years of expertise in research, data and technology, we power better investment decisions by enabling clients to understand and analyze key drivers of risk and return and confidently build more effective portfolios. We create industry-leading research-enhanced solutions that clients use to gain insight into and improve transparency across the investment process. To learn more, please visit [www.msci.com](http://www.msci.com).

This document and all of the information contained in it, including without limitation all text, data, graphs, charts (collectively, the "Information") is the property of MSCI Inc. or its subsidiaries (collectively, "MSCI"), or MSCI's licensors, direct or indirect suppliers or any third party involved in making or compiling any Information (collectively, with MSCI, the "Information Providers") and is provided for informational purposes only. The Information may not be modified, reverse-engineered, reproduced or disseminated in whole or in part without prior written permission from MSCI.

The Information may not be used to create derivative works or to verify or correct other data or information. For example (but without limitation), the Information may not be used to create indexes, databases, risk models, analytics, software, or in connection with the issuing, offering, sponsoring, managing or marketing of any securities, portfolios, financial products or other investment vehicles utilizing or based on, linked to, tracking or otherwise derived from the Information or any other MSCI data, information, products or services.

The user of the Information assumes the entire risk of any use it may make or permit to be made of the Information. NONE OF THE INFORMATION PROVIDERS MAKES ANY EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES OR REPRESENTATIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE INFORMATION (OR THE RESULTS TO BE OBTAINED BY THE USE THEREOF), AND TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT PERMITTED BY APPLICABLE LAW, EACH INFORMATION PROVIDER EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF ORIGINALITY, ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, NON-INFRINGEMENT, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE) WITH RESPECT TO ANY OF THE INFORMATION.

Without limiting any of the foregoing and to the maximum extent permitted by applicable law, in no event shall any Information Provider have any liability regarding any of the Information for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential (including lost profits) or any other damages even if notified of the possibility of such damages. The foregoing shall not exclude or limit any liability that may not be applicable law be excluded or limited, including without limitation (as applicable), any liability for death or personal injury to the extent that such injury results from the negligence or willful default of itself, its servants, agents or sub-contractors.

Information containing any historical information, data or analysis should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of any future performance, analysis, forecast or prediction. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

The Information should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. All Information is impersonal and not tailored to the needs of any person, entity or group of persons.

None of the Information constitutes an offer to sell (or a solicitation of an offer to buy), any security, financial product or other investment vehicle or any trading strategy.

It is not possible to invest directly in an index. Exposure to an asset class or trading strategy or other category represented by an index is only available through third party investable instruments (if any) based on that index. MSCI does not issue, sponsor, endorse, market, offer, review or otherwise express any opinion regarding any fund, ETF, derivative or other security, investment, financial product or trading strategy that is based on, linked to or seeks to provide an investment return related to the performance of any MSCI index (collectively, "Index Linked Investments"). MSCI makes no assurance that any Index Linked Investments will accurately track index performance or provide positive investment returns. MSCI Inc. is not an investment adviser or fiduciary and MSCI makes no representation regarding the advisability of investing in any Index Linked Investments.

Index returns do not represent the results of actual trading of investible assets/securities. MSCI maintains and calculates indexes, but does not manage actual assets. Index returns do not reflect payment of any sales charges or fees an investor may pay to purchase the securities underlying the index or Index Linked Investments. The imposition of these fees and charges would cause the performance of an Index Linked Investment to be different than the MSCI index performance.

The Information may contain back tested data. Back-tested performance is not actual performance, but is hypothetical. There are frequently material differences between back tested performance results and actual results subsequently achieved by any investment strategy.

Constituents of MSCI equity indexes are listed companies, which are included in or excluded from the indexes according to the application of the relevant index methodologies. Accordingly, constituents in MSCI equity indexes may include MSCI Inc., clients of MSCI or suppliers to MSCI. Inclusion of a security within an MSCI index is not a recommendation by MSCI to buy, sell, or hold such security, nor is it considered to be investment advice.

Data and information produced by various affiliates of MSCI Inc., including MSCI ESG Research LLC and Barra LLC, may be used in calculating certain MSCI indexes. More information can be found in the relevant index methodologies on [www.msci.com](http://www.msci.com).

MSCI receives compensation in connection with licensing its indexes to third parties. MSCI Inc.'s revenue includes fees based on assets in Index Linked Investments. Information can be found in MSCI Inc.'s company filings on the Investor Relations section of [www.msci.com](http://www.msci.com).

MSCI ESG Research LLC is a Registered Investment Adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and a subsidiary of MSCI Inc. Except with respect to any applicable products or services from MSCI ESG Research, neither MSCI nor any of its products or services recommends, endorses, approves or otherwise expresses any opinion regarding any issuer, securities, financial products or instruments or trading strategies and MSCI's products or services are not intended to constitute investment advice or a recommendation to make (or refrain from making) any kind of investment decision and may not be relied on as such. Issuers mentioned or included in any MSCI ESG Research materials may include MSCI Inc., clients of MSCI or suppliers to MSCI, and may also purchase research or other products or services from MSCI ESG Research. MSCI ESG Research materials, including materials utilized in any MSCI ESG Indexes or other products, have not been submitted to, nor received approval from, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission or any other regulatory body.

Any use of or access to products, services or information of MSCI requires a license from MSCI. MSCI, Barra, RiskMetrics, IPD and other MSCI brands and product names are the trademarks, service marks, or registered trademarks of MSCI or its subsidiaries in the United States and other jurisdictions. The Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS) was developed by and is the exclusive property of MSCI and Standard & Poor's. "Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS)" is a service mark of MSCI and Standard & Poor's.

MIFID2/MIFIR notice: MSCI ESG Research LLC does not distribute or act as an intermediary for financial instruments or structured deposits, nor does it deal on its own account, provide execution services for others or manage client accounts. No MSCI ESG Research product or service supports, promotes or is intended to support or promote any such activity. MSCI ESG Research is an independent provider of ESG data, reports and ratings based on published methodologies and available to clients on a subscription basis. We do not provide custom or one-off ratings or recommendations of securities or other financial instruments upon request.

Privacy notice: For information about how MSCI ESG Research LLC collects and uses personal data concerning officers and directors, please refer to our Privacy Notice at <https://www.msci.com/privacy-pledge>.