

ESG Now Podcast

"Tilting at Wind Turbines"

Transcript, 29 September, 2023

Margarita Grabert

Hello and welcome to the weekly edition of ESG Now, the show that explores how the environment, our society, and corporate governance affects and are affected by our economy. I'm Margarita Grabert. I'm one of the show's brand-new co-hosts. I'm technically based in MSCI's Frankfurt office, but like any good modern podcaster, I'm recording this in my lounge, buried underneath a complex arrangement of sofa pillows and blankets. Mike and Bentley are somewhere pretending to be doing something important, but you'll hear from one of them again next week, so don't start getting too sentimental.

On today's episode, we are going to be getting into renewable energy and specifically the market for renewable energy. Because despite what seems like unending enthusiasm for renewable power and climate action, one of the market's biggest companies, wind energy giant Ørsted saw a fairly sizable drop in its share price just a few weeks ago. As we dig into the story, we'll take a look at what this means for Ørsted, why this turbulence is playing out, and whether it'll have any long-term ramifications for global net zero ambitions. So thanks for joining me today. Let's see where this story takes us.

So just a few days ago, New York was awash with policymakers, regulators, and investors as Climate Week descended on the city. It's a week that is filled with hustle and bustle and lots of panels and speeches from both UN representatives, but also policymakers, NGOs, and investors. It's an event that captures the urgency and intent of many different stakeholders to do something about climate change. In this year's Climate Week, the UN's Climate Champions highlighted the importance of nongovernmental stakeholders in driving a transition to net zero. And a large part of this will include the clean energy transition and how innovative projects could accelerate both the development and integration of clean energy, all with the aim of tripling renewable energy capacity by 2030. And although the challenge of mitigating climate change is getting bigger, there are some developments that are making concrete action easier, and it's showing.

In the first half of this year, the EU hit a record low for fossil fuel demand, and at the same time, 17 EU countries hit record highs for renewable generation. And this trend might only be strengthened by key new policies like the US Inflation Reduction Act and the EU Green Deal Industrial Plan. And while on paper this might make it sound like everything is rosy for the renewable sector, it really hasn't been. Renewable energy companies have been dealing with some pretty big bumps in the road towards net zero. And maybe one of the bigger companies dealing with one of the bigger bumps is Ørsted is one of the largest global developers of offshore wind and has an installed capacity of around 8.2



gigawatts. To put this into perspective, one gigawatt can provide energy to power around 750,000 homes.

And in late August, Ørsted saw its share price drop by 25%, the biggest plunge since the company's IPO in 2016. Something that would definitely have caught the attention and nerves of investors and something that seems diametrically opposed to the idea of rising demand for renewable energy and policy support. So, to try and square this circle to try and figure out if Ørsted is a canary in the coal mine, I wanted to dig a bit deeper, both into energy markets and Ørsted itself. To do that, I managed to snag Elchin Mammadov out of MSCI's London office. Elchin started off by telling me about the role that renewables are playing in the broader energy market.

Elchin Mammadov

If we take renewables demand, the demand is growing and will continue to grow in the next few years for sure, and the demand growth is likely to accelerate in our view. If we take Europe as an example, the demand for renewables, both wind and solar, has grown quite strongly this year following the trends of the previous years. And what's renewables are doing are displacing coal and partly gas. The coal use have declined 21% in first half, compared to a year earlier, and the gas use continues to decline since Russia's invasion of Ukraine began, and we've seen a permanent displacement of gas demand among industrial consumers. We've also seen electrification boosting the demand for electricity and by extension for renewables, so good days for renewables demand and maybe not so good for fossil fuels, at least in the medium term.

Now, when it comes to profitability, it's a completely different picture. We've seen the profitability of wind and solar particularly come down, and there are many reasons for it, and we've seen that in the share prices of wind and solar companies that are in the sector. Most of those stocks are down both year-to-date and over one-year horizon. By contrast, oil and gas producers and even some coal producers, their shares are up both a year to date and over one one-year period. This is largely due to an increase in commodity prices, particularly oil prices, which is trading above \$90 per barrel, so not so good times for renewable shares, and maybe the opposite for fossil fuels at the moment.

Margarita Grabert



So as Elchin tells it, renewables are taking up a bigger slice of the energy market and helping countries shift away from fossil fuels. In the first half of 2023, we saw the EU using 17% less fossil fuels to generate its electricity. And this is paired with data from the International Energy Agency, which found that renewable energy capacity additions rose by 13% in 2022, or nearly 340 gigawatts. But many investors will know that these top-line numbers are not telling the whole story. Sure, solar PV technology did break a deployment record last year with net new additions of nearly 220 gigawatts, that's a 35% increase from 2021. But for wind energy, the story has been a little different. It's been a little more huffing and puffing to try and keep up with the pack. Between 2021 and 2022, annual wind capacity additions actually fell by 21%, making it the second year in a row that it's been falling after record expansion in 2020.

And if eyebrows were starting to rise because of these numbers, Ørsted's no good, very bad August would only have nudged them higher. And to throw in a little history here, Ørsted itself has maybe felt like a bit of a long shot from the outset. Originally, an oil and gas company and one of Denmark's largest suppliers, the company flipped the script in 2009 and made a hard pivot to renewables, heavily focused on offshore wind. At the time, the cost of producing wind energy was high, and big questions were raised around the profitability of this bold shift. But the company persevered, and by last year had 85% of its total capacity coming from renewable sources with energy costs that were comparable to that of thermal coal generation, leaving Ørsted as one of the biggest global suppliers of offshore wind. But instead of riding happily ever after into the sunset, the company is navigating a big price drop. So I asked Elchin to take me through why this drop happened and whether this risk might be affecting other wind energy producers as well.

Elchin Mammadov

This is definitely not Ørsted specific issue, but the issue for the whole offshore wind industry, Ørsted is a leading play in that sector, so that's why there's so much attention to this profit warning. But yeah, the issue is industry-wide, and it's due to the fact that the cost both of borrowing and of equipment and of labor are going up because of rising inflation and interest rates. At the same time, there's been supply chain issues, which led to delay in getting the equipment in place like particular turbines and foundations in place. And because all of that, it's delaying the time when this Ørsted and other offshore wind developers start earning their revenue. So the cost went up more than 40% for some projects. The start of the project has been delayed because of the supply chain issues and shortage of components, right? At the same time, the price for the power that those projects were going to generate has been fixed during the auctions that happened a while ago. So that led to a lot of these projects suddenly becoming uneconomical.

We've seen Iberdrola in the US and Vattenfall in the UK cancel some of their offshore wind projects already. And a bunch of offshore wind developers, including BP, Equinor, Total, Shell, and others, they are trying to renegotiate their contracts because they say that the cost went up and we're facing the



delays. So it's up to the regulators and policymakers to decide whether they're happy to accept this higher cost, which will eventually be paid by the consumers, or push back and risk some of these projects not materializing. The Biden Administration has a target of 50 gigawatts, I think, of offshore wind by 2030.

UK has a target of 50 gigawatts. There are talks that these targets might not be met because of these headwinds. But let's put it into perspective, right? So Ørsted, after profit warning, the share price over the past month is down 30%, yes, but the valuation of Ørsted, it's still more than double on a P/E or on an EV-to-EBITDA basis compared to oil and gas majors. So, the market still puts higher value on pure-play renewable names of which Ørsted is one. So that probably signals that the outlook for the sector over the long term is quite bright despite the current hiccups.

Margarita Grabert

Okay. So overall, Ørsted is trying to shuffle against a few different headwinds, supply chain delays, rising interest rates, and fixed price contracts, each playing their part and all of them are making it more challenging to deliver on the company's offshore wind projects. And as Elchin mentions, this is not a unique scenario for Ørsted. One of the company's largest competitors Vestas Wind Systems has also seen some sustained downward pressure on its stock price. And this difficulty is rippling through the broader value chain. Suppliers of wind turbines are also facing operational and supply chain challenges that reinforce those of power producers. Towards the end of June, Siemens Energy, a major supplier of renewable technology and equipment had an overnight price drop and valuation loss of around \$8.1 billion.

And to be fair, this is not only a challenge for wind energy producers, as Elchin stressed, even for a diversified renewables company like Enel, which has a portfolio across solar, hydro, and wind, challenges like inflation and rising interest rates, higher labor costs, and supply chain headaches are making life a little difficult. And all of this news might be detracting from the idea of a booming renewable energy market, especially as we hear news about oil and gas companies looking to exploit new sources of fossil fuel and increasing anxiety about whether the world can ever meet a 1.5-degree Warming target. So for my last question, I asked Elchin how the current headwinds for renewable companies and those focusing on wind in particular might play out in the context of rising demand and increasing pressure to align with net zero ambitions.



Historically, Abner estimated the growth of renewables, and I'm optimist. So I do think that the renewable growth is going to remain strong in the coming years and decade, and we're thinking in decades when it comes to energy transition. There might be some volatility. However, I do think that these issues will be ironed out. The cost of renewables have come down significantly over the past decade between 55 and 75% for wind and solar, and we do expect a further decline in cost over the coming decade. Yes, we've seen a temporary increase in this cost, but even with that increase, if we take offshore wind as an example, now the cost of offshore wind new capacity development is on par with coal.

So you might as well just build an offshore wind farm rather than new coal-fired power to avoid all this uncertainty over carbon prices and whatnot. So the technology improvements, economies of scale and eventual normalization of inflation and borrowing costs will help further drive the decline in the cost of renewables. We do see some experts questioning the 2030 targets for particular offshore wind capacity additions in the US and UK that might come true. But yeah, I'm an optimist, I do think that the marketplace will figure it out, and there would be an acceleration of deployments in the second part of this decade, so yeah.

Margarita Grabert

So, Elchin is an optimist by his own admission. Yes, there are certainly genuine difficulties in the intermediate term, but as he sees it, these are small variations that are buried in a bigger trend, one that spans decades where renewable deployment accelerates, a trend driven by a combination of rising demand for clean energy price parody with some fossil fuel sources, and more uncertainty about how and if carbon pricing will affect non-renewable generation. A lot has been achieved from the early nineties where one small wind farm could eke out five megawatts compared with the 12 to 14 megawatts that can now be generated by a single turbine. Ørsted's Hornsea One facility off the coast of Yorkshire in the North Sea is massive and successfully developing a second facility in the form of Hornsea Two, would essentially mean a combined capacity that would be enough to power more than 2.3 million homes. But for investors, the key phrase here is successfully developing because even with a decades-long trend that looks poised to be a boon to all renewable energy producers, downside risks haven't suddenly disappeared.

We talk about high inflation and rising interest rates and supply chain difficulties, but the truth of it is, building wind farms carries its own ESG-related challenges, including its impact on the environment and surrounding communities. And the question of what happens to these massive turbine blades that have exceeded their useful lifespan. Questions that we can maybe tackle in a future show. But as we have seen on this episode and many others before it, capitalizing on a specific environmentally related



opportunity like demand for renewable energy says nothing about how effectively a company is managing other environmental, social, and governance risks or indeed financial ones.

And that's it for this week. A massive thanks to Elchin for his take on the news with an ESG twist. I had a great time hosting my first show. The ESG Now team is growing quite happily, and one of the salty veterans will be back with you again next week. Hope that you enjoyed the content. Give us a like, or some stars, or even subscribe on your favorite podcast platform to make sure these episodes find you all by themselves. Thanks again and talk to you soon.

The MSCI Research podcast is provided by MSCI Research LLC, a registered investment advisor under the Investment Advisors Act of 1940, and a subsidiary of MSCI Inc. Except with respect to any applicable products or services from MSCI ESG Research. Neither MSCI nor any of its products or services recommends endorses, approves or otherwise expresses any opinion regarding any issuer, securities, financial products, or instruments, or trading strategies. And MSCI's products or services are not intended to constitute investment advice or recommendation to make or refrain from making any kind of investment decision and may not be relied on as such. The analysis discussed should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of any future performance, analysis, forecast, or prediction.

The information contained in this recording is not for reproduction in whole or in part without prior written permission from MSCI ESG Research. Issuers mentioned or included in any MSCI ESG Research materials may include MSCI Inc, clients of MSCI, or suppliers to MSCI and may also purchase research or other products or services from MSCI ESG Research. MSCI ESG Research materials, including materials utilized in any MSCI indexes or other products have not been submitted to nor received approval from the United States Securities and Exchange Commission or any other regulatory body. The information provided here is as is, and the user of the information assumes the entire risk of any use it may make or permit to be made of the information. Thank you.



About MSCI

MSCI is a leading provider of critical decision support tools and services for the global investment community. With over 50 years of expertise in research, data and technology, we power better investment decisions by enabling clients to understand and analyze key drivers of risk and return and confidently build more effective portfolios. We create industry-leading research-enhanced solutions that clients use to gain insight into and improve transparency across the investment process. To learn more, please visit www.msci.com.

This document and all of the information contained in it, including without limitation all text, data, graphs, charts (collectively, the "Information") is the property of MSCI Inc. or its subsidiaries (collectively, "MSCI"), or MSCI"), or MSCI'S licensors, direct or indirect suppliers or any third party involved in making or compiling any Information (collectively, with MSCI, the "Information Providers") and is provided for informational purposes only. The Information may not be modified, reverse-engineered, reproduced or redisseminated in whole or in part without prior written permission from MSCI. All rights in the Information are reserved by MSCI and/or its Information Providers.

The Information may not be used to create derivative works or to verify or correct other data or information. For example (but without limitation), the Information may not be used to create indexes, databases, risk models, analytics, software, or in connection with the issuing, offering, sponsoring, managing or marketing of any securities, portfolios, financial products or other investment vehicles utilizing or based on, linked to, tracking or otherwise derived from the Information or any other MSCI data, information, products or services.

The user of the Information assumes the entire risk of any use it may make or permit to be made of the Information. NONE OF THE INFORMATION PROVIDERS MAKES ANY EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES OR REPRESENTATIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE INFORMATION (OR THE RESULTS TO BE OBTAINED BY THE USE THEREOF), AND TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT PERMITTED BY APPLICABLE LAW, EACH INFORMATION PROVIDER EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF ORIGINALITY, ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, NON-INFRINGEMENT, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE) WITH RESPECT TO ANY OF THE INFORMATION.

Without limiting any of the foregoing and to the maximum extent permitted by applicable law, in no event shall any Information Provider have any liability regarding any of the Information for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential (including lost profits) or any other damages even if notified of the possibility of such damages. The foregoing shall not exclude or limit any liability that may not by applicable law be excluded or limited, including without limitation (as applicable), any liability for death or personal injury to the extent that such injury results from the negligence or willful default of itself, its servants, agents or sub-contractors.

Information containing any historical information, data or analysis should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of any future performance, analysis, forecast or prediction. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

The Information should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. All Information is impersonal and not tailored to the needs of any person, entity or group of persons.

None of the Information constitutes an offer to sell (or a solicitation of an offer to buy), any security, financial product or other investment vehicle or any trading strategy.

It is not possible to invest directly in an index. Exposure to an asset class or trading strategy or other category represented by an index is only available through third party investable instruments (if any) based on that index. MSCI does not issue, sponsor, endorse, market, offer, review or otherwise express any opinion regarding any fund, ETF, derivative or other security, investment, financial product or trading strategy that is based on, linked to or seeks to provide an investment return related to the performance of any MSCI index (collectively, "Index Linked Investments"). MSCI makes no assurance that any Index Linked Investments will accurately track index performance or provide positive investment returns. MSCI Inc. is not an investment adviser or fiduciary and MSCI makes no representation regarding the advisability of investing in any Index Linked Investments.

Index returns do not represent the results of actual trading of investible assets/securities. MSCI maintains and calculates indexes, but does not manage actual assets. The calculation of indexes and index returns may deviate from the stated methodology. Index returns do not reflect payment of any sales charges or fees an investor may pay to purchase the securities underlying the index or Index Linked Investments. The imposition of these fees and charges would cause the performance of an Index Linked Investment to be different than the MSCI index performance.

The Information may contain back tested data. Back-tested performance is not actual performance, but is hypothetical. There are frequently material differences between back tested performance results and actual results subsequently achieved by any investment strategy.

Constituents of MSCI equity indexes are listed companies, which are included in or excluded from the indexes according to the application of the relevant index methodologies. Accordingly, constituents in MSCI equity indexes may include MSCI Inc., clients of MSCI or suppliers to MSCI. Inclusion of a security within an MSCI index is not a recommendation by MSCI to buy, sell, or hold such security, nor is it considered to be investment advice.

Data and information produced by various affiliates of MSCI Inc., including MSCI ESG Research LLC and Barra LLC, may be used in calculating certain MSCI indexes. More information can be found in the relevant index methodologies on www.msci.com.

MSCI receives compensation in connection with licensing its indexes to third parties. MSCI Inc.'s revenue includes fees based on assets in Index Linked Investments. Information can be found in MSCI Inc.'s company filings on the Investor Relations section of msci.com.

MSCI ESG Research LLC is a Registered Investment Adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and a subsidiary of MSCI Inc. Neither MSCI nor any of its products or services recommends, endorses, approves or otherwise expresses any opinion regarding any issuer, securities, financial products or instruments or trading strategies and MSCI's products or services are not a recommendation to make (or refrain from making) any kind of investment decision and may not be relied on as such, provided that applicable products or services from MSCI ESG Research may constitute investment advice. MSCI ESG Research materials, including materials utilized in any MSCI ESG Indexes or other products, have not been submitted to, nor received approval from, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission or any other regulatory body. MSCI ESG and climate ratings, research and data are produced by MSCI ESG Research LLC, a subsidiary of MSCI Inc. MSCI ESG Indexes, Analytics and Real Estate are products of MSCI Inc. that utilize information from MSCI ESG Research LLC. MSCI Indexes are administered by MSCI Limited (UK).

Please note that the issuers mentioned in MSCI ESG Research materials sometimes have commercial relationships with MSCI ESG Research and/or MSCI Inc. (collectively, "MSCI") and that these relationships create potential conflicts of interest. In some cases, the issuers or their affiliates purchase research or other products or services from one or more MSCI affiliates. In other cases, MSCI ESG Research rates financial products such as mutual funds or ETFs that are managed by MSCI's clients or their affiliates, or are based on MSCI Inc. Indexes. In addition, constituents in MSCI Inc. equity indexes include companies that subscribe to MSCI products or services. In some cases, MSCI clients pay fees based in whole or part on the assets they manage. MSCI ESG Research has taken a number of steps to mitigate potential conflicts of interest and safeguard the integrity and independence of its research and ratings. More information about these conflict mitigation measures is available in our Form ADV, available at https://adviserinfo.sec.gov/firm/summary/169222.





Any use of or access to products, services or information of MSCI requires a license from MSCI. MSCI, Barra, RiskMetrics, IPD and other MSCI brands and product names are the trademarks, service marks, or registered trademarks of MSCI or its subsidiaries in the United States and other jurisdictions. The Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS) was developed by and is the exclusive property of MSCI and S&P Global Market Intelligence. "Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS)" is a service mark of MSCI and S&P Global Market Intelligence.

MIFID2/MIFIR notice: MSCI ESG Research LLC does not distribute or act as an intermediary for financial instruments or structured deposits, nor does it deal on its own account, provide execution services for others or manage client accounts. No MSCI ESG Research product or service supports, promotes or is intended to support or promote any such activity. MSCI ESG Research is an independent provider of ESG data.

Privacy notice: For information about how MSCI collects and uses personal data, please refer to our Privacy Notice at https://www.msci.com/privacy-pledge.