MSCI Climate Paris Aligned Indexes Methodology

May 2022

Contents

1 Introduction

The MSCI Climate Paris Aligned Indexes are designed to support investors seeking to reduce their exposure to transition and physical climate risks and who wish to pursue opportunities arising from the transition to a lower-carbon economy while aligning with the Paris Agreement requirements. The MSCI Climate Paris Aligned Indexes incorporate the TCFD recommendations and are designed to exceed the minimum standards of the EU Paris-Aligned Benchmark. The MSCI Climate Paris Aligned Indexes are constructed from their corresponding Parent Indexes following an *optimization-based* approach and aim to:

- Exceed the minimum technical requirements laid out in the draft EU Delegated Act[1]
- Align with the recommendations of the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)[2]
- Align with a 1.5°C climate scenario using the MSCI Climate Value-at-Risk and a "self-decarbonization" rate of 10% year on year
- Reduce the Index's exposure to physical risk arising from extreme weather events by at least 50%
- Shift index weight from "fossil fuels-based" to "green" using the MSCI Low Carbon transition score and by excluding categories of fossil-fuel-linked companies
- Increase the weight of companies which are exposed to climate transition opportunities and reduce the weight of companies which are exposed to climate transition risks
- Reduce the weight of companies assessed as high carbon emitters using scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions

[1] On July 17, 2020, the European Commission has published the draft delegated acts ("draft DAs") (https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/better-regulation/have-your-say/initiatives/12020-Minimum-standards-for-benchmarks-labelled-as-EU-Climate-Transition-and-EU-Paris-aligned-Benchmarks) which contain the minimum technical requirements for the PABs. As of the publication of this methodology (October 26, 2020), the Indexes are based on the draft DAs. Once the final delegated acts and requirements for the PABs are published in the official journal, the Index methodology will be reviewed and updated (if required) with changes to the minimum standards. In case an update to the Index methodology is required, MSCI will issue an announcement prior to implementing the changes in the methodology. MSCI will not conduct a formal consultation for the update.

[2] https://www.fsb-tcfd.org/publications/final-recommendations-report/

- Increase the weight of companies with credible carbon reduction targets through the weighting scheme
- Achieve a modest tracking error compared to the Parent Index and low turnover.

2 MSCI ESG Research

MSCI ESG Research provides in-depth research, ratings and analysis of the environmental, social and governance-related business practices of thousands of companies worldwide. It consists of an integrated suite of tools and products to efficiently manage research, analysis and compliance tasks across the spectrum of ESG factors.

The Index uses company ratings and research provided by MSCI ESG Research. In particular, this index uses the following MSCI ESG Research products: MSCI Climate Change Metrics, MSCI Climate Value-at-Risk, MSCI ESG Sustainable Impact Metrics, MSCI ESG Controversies and MSCI ESG Business Involvement Screening Research.

For details on MSCI ESG Research's full suite of ESG products, please refer to: https://www.msci.com/esg-investing

2.1 MSCI CLIMATE CHANGE METRICS

MSCI Climate Change Metrics is designed to support investors seeking to achieve a range of objectives, including measuring and reporting on climate risk exposure, implementing low carbon and fossil fuel-free strategies, and factoring climate change research into their risk management processes. It provides Carbon Emissions, Fossil Fuel exposure, environmental impact (i.e., clean technology) data and screens, as well as climate-related risk exposure and management assessment on companies such as Low Carbon Transition scores and categories.

For more details on MSCI Climate Change Metrics, please refer to https://www.msci.com/climate-change-solutions

2.2 MSCI CLIMATE VALUE-AT-RISK

Climate Value-at-Risk (Climate VaR) is designed to provide a forward-looking and return-based valuation assessment to measure climate related risks and opportunities in an investment portfolio. The fully quantitative model offers deep insights into how climate change could affect company valuations.

For more details on MSCI Climate Value-At-Risk, please refer to

https://www.msci.com/climate-data-and-metrics

2.3 MSCI ESG SUSTAINABLE IMPACT METRICS

MSCI ESG Research's Sustainable Impact Metrics is designed to identify companies that currently offer products or services that address at least one of the major social and environmental challenges as defined by the UN Sustainable Development Goals. Designed as a positive screen, it is designed to highlight companies that are deriving sales from products or services that may have a positive impact on society and the environment.

For more details on the MSCI ESG Sustainable Impact Metrics, please refer to https://www.msci.com/esg-sustainable-impact-metrics

2.4 MSCI ESG CONTROVERSIES

MSCI ESG Controversies (formerly known as MSCI Impact Monitor) provides assessments of controversies concerning the negative environmental, social, and/or governance impact of company operations, products and services. The evaluation framework used in MSCI ESG Controversies is designed to be consistent with international norms represented by the UN Declaration of Human Rights, the ILO Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work, and the UN Global Compact. MSCI ESG Controversies Score falls on a 0-10 scale, with "0" being the most severe controversy.

For more details on MSCI ESG Controversies, please refer to: https://www.msci.com/documents/10199/acbe7c8a-a4e4-49de-9cf8-5e957245b86b

2.5 MSCI ESG BUSINESS INVOLVEMENT SCREENING RESEARCH

MSCI ESG Business Involvement Screening Research (BISR) aims to enable institutional investors to manage environmental, social and governance (ESG) standards and restrictions reliably and efficiently.

For more details on MSCI ESG Business Involvement Screening Research, please refer to http://www.msci.com/resources/factsheets/MSCI_ESG_BISR.pdf

3 Index Construction Methodology

3.1 APPLICABLE UNIVERSE

The applicable universe includes all the existing constituents of the parent index ("Parent Index"). This approach aims to provide an opportunity set with sufficient liquidity and investment capacity.

3.2 ELIGIBLE UNIVERSE

The Eligible Universe is constructed from the Applicable Universe by excluding securities of companies based on the exclusion criteria below:

1. **Controversial Weapons**: All companies involved in Controversial Weapons as defined by the methodology of the MSCI Ex-Controversial Weapons Indexes.
2. **ESG Controversies**: All companies having faced very severe controversies pertaining to ESG issues – Defined as companies with an ESG Controversy Score of 0.
3. **Tobacco**: All companies with involvement in Tobacco as defined by the methodology of the MSCI Global ex Tobacco Involvement Indexes.
4. **Environmental Harm**: All companies having faced very severe and severe controversies pertaining to Environmental issues – Defined as companies with an Environment Controversy Score of 0 or 1.
5. **Thermal Coal Mining**: All companies deriving 1% or more revenue (either reported or estimated) from the mining of thermal coal (including lignite, bituminous, anthracite and steam coal) and its sale to external parties. It excludes revenue from metallurgical coal, coal mined for internal power generation (e.g. in the case of vertically integrated power producers), intra-company sales of mined thermal coal, and revenue from coal trading (either reported or estimated)
6. **Oil & Gas**: All companies deriving 10% or more revenue from oil and gas related activities, including distribution / retail, equipment and services, extraction and production, petrochemicals, pipelines and transportation and refining but excluding biofuel production and sales and trading activities.

7. **Power Generation**: All companies deriving 50% or more revenue from thermal coal based power generation, liquid fuel based power generation and natural gas based power generation[3].

3.3 OPTIMIZATION CONSTRAINTS

At each Semi-Annual Index Review, the indexes are constructed using an optimization process that aims to achieve replicability and investability as well as minimize ex-ante tracking error relative to the Parent Index subject to the following constraints:

1. Transition and physical risk objectives – constraints detailed in Table 1
2. Transition opportunities objectives – constraints detailed in Table 2
3. Diversification objectives – constraints detailed in Table 3

The definitions of the target metrics for the optimization are detailed in Appendix III.

Table 1: Constraints imposed to meet transition and physical risk objectives

No.	Transition and Physical Risk Objective	MSCI Climate Paris Aligned Indexes
1.	Minimum reduction in Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Intensity (Scope 1+2+3[4]) relative to Parent Index	50%
2.	Minimum average reduction (per annum) in GHG Intensity relative to GHG Intensity at the Base Date[5]	10%
3.	Minimum active weight in High Climate Impact Sector relative to Parent Index as defined in Appendix III	0%

[3] As per https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/ipcc_wg3_ar5_chapter7.pdf, thermal coal based power generation, liquid fuel based power generation and natural gas based power generation have median lifecycle emissions exceeding 100gCO_2/kWh.

[4] Prior to the May 2020 Semi-Annual Index Review (SAIR) of the Indexes, the Weighted Average Carbon Emissions Intensity has been calculated based on Scope 1+2 Emissions.

[5] Prior to the May 2020 Semi-Annual Index Review (SAIR) of the Indexes, the average reduction in WACI has been calculated using Scope 1+2 Emissions since Inception.

4.	Minimum Increase[6] in aggregate weight in companies setting targets relative to the aggregate weight of such companies in the Parent Index. Companies Setting Targets are defined in Appendix III	20%
5.	Minimum reduction in Weighted Average Potential Emissions Intensity relative to Parent Index	50%
6.	Aggregate Climate Value-At-Risk under 1.5 degree scenario[7] Please see more detail on Aggregate Climate Value-At-Risk in Appendix II and Appendix III.	>= Max(0, Aggregate Climate VaR of Parent Index)
7.	Minimum increase in weighted average Low Carbon Transition (LCT) Score relative to Parent Index Please see more detail on LCT Score in Appendix I	10%
8.	Minimum reduction in Weighted Average Extreme Weather Climate Value-At-Risk (Aggressive Scenario) relative to Parent Index	50%[8]

Table 2: Constraints imposed in order to meet transition opportunity objectives

No.	Transition Opportunity Objective	MSCI Climate Paris Aligned Indexes
9.	Minimum increase in weighted average LCT Score relative to Parent Index[9][10]	10%

[6] During the May 2022 Index review for MSCI Canada Climate Paris Aligned Index, constraint 4 mentioned in table 1 was not applied.

[7] For more details on Climate value-At-Risk, please refer to Appendix II. Prior to the May 2020 Semi-Annual Index Review (SAIR) of the Indexes, the Policy Risk Climate VaR using Scope 1 Emissions since Inception.

[8] In case the Parent Index has a positive Weighted Average Extreme Weather Climate VaR, the floor will be applied at the level of the Weighted Average Extreme Weather Climate VaR of the Parent Index

[9] The constraint on increase in LCT Score is designed to underweight companies with a low LCT Score (assessed as companies facing risks from a low carbon transition) and overweight companies with a high LCT Score (assessed as companies which may have opportunities from a low carbon transition). Thus, the constraint has been repeated in Table 2 to illustrate how the constraint meets both the objectives.

[10] For the MSCI USA Climate Paris Aligned Index and the MSCI Japan Climate Paris Aligned Index, the minimum Increase in weighted average LCT Score relative to the Parent Index constraint is applied at 5% instead of 10%.

10.	Minimum ratio of Weighted Average Green Revenue/ Weighted Average Fossil fuels-based Revenue relative to Parent Index	4 times
11.	Minimum increase in Weighted Average Green Revenue relative to the Parent Index	100%

Table 3: Constraints imposed to meet diversification objectives

No.	Diversification Objective	MSCI Climate Paris Aligned Indexes
12.	Constituent Active Weight	+/- 2%
13.	Minimum constituent weight	0.01%
14.	Security Weight as a multiple of its weight in the Parent Index	20x
15.	Active Sector Weights (the Energy GICS Sector is not constrained)	+/-5%
16.	Active Country Weights[11]	+/-5%
17.	One Way Turnover[12]	5%
18.	Common Factor Risk Aversion	0.0075
19.	Specific Risk Aversion	0.075

During the Semi-Annual Index Review, in the event that there is no optimal solution that satisfies all the optimization constraints, the following constraints will be relaxed, until an optimal solution is found:

- Relax the one-way index turnover constraint in steps of 1% upto 20%
- Relax the active sector weight constraint in steps of 1% upto +/-20%
- The one-way index turnover constraint and the active sector weight constraint are alternately relaxed until a feasible solution is achieved.

[11] In case there are countries in the parent index which weigh less than 2.5% in the parent index then for such countries the active country upper bound of +5% is not applicable. When a country weighs less than 2.5% in parent index then the upper bound of country weight in the Index is set at three times of the country's weight in parent index.

[12] The May 2022 Index review for MSCI Canada Climate Paris Aligned Index was conducted by relaxing the one-way turnover constraint to 25%.

In the event that no optimal solution is found after the above constraint relaxations are exhausted, the relevant Index will not be rebalanced for that Semi-Annual Index Review.

3.4 DETERMINING THE OPTIMIZED PORTFOLIO

The Index is constructed using the Barra Open Optimizer[13] in combination with the relevant Barra Equity Model. The optimization uses universe of eligible securities and the specified optimization objectives and constraints to determine the constituents of the Indexes.

[13] Please refer to Appendix V and VI for more details.

4 Maintaining the MSCI Climate Paris Aligned Indexes

4.1 SEMI-ANNUAL INDEX REVIEWS

The Indexes are rebalanced on a semi-annual basis, as of the close of the last business day of May and November, coinciding with the May and November Semi-Annual Index Review of the MSCI Global Investable Market Indexes. The pro forma Indexes are in general announced nine business days before the effective date.

In general, MSCI uses MSCI ESG Research data (including MSCI Climate Change Metrics, MSCI Climate Value-at-Risk, MSCI ESG Sustainable Impact Metrics, MSCI ESG Controversies and MSCI Business Involvement Screening Research) as of the end of the month preceding the Index Reviews for the rebalancing of the Indexes.

4.2 ONGOING EVENT RELATED CHANGES

The general treatment of corporate events in the Indexes aims to minimize turnover outside of Index Reviews. The methodology aims to appropriately represent an investor's participation in an event based on relevant deal terms and pre-event weighting of the index constituents that are involved. Further, changes in index market capitalization that occur as a result of corporate event implementation will be offset by a corresponding change in the Variable Weighting Factor (VWF) of the constituent.

Additionally, if the frequency of Index Reviews in the Parent Index is greater than the frequency of Index Reviews in the Index, the changes made to the Parent Index during intermediate Index Reviews will be neutralized in the Index.

The following section briefly describes the treatment of common corporate events within the Index.

No new securities will be added (except where noted below) to the Index between Index Reviews. Parent Index deletions will be reflected simultaneously.

EVENT TYPE	EVENT DETAILS
New additions to the Parent Index	A new security added to the parent index (such as IPO and other early inclusions) will not be added to the index.

Spin-Offs	All securities created as a result of the spin-off of an existing Index constituent will be added to the Index at the time of event implementation. Reevaluation for continued inclusion in the Index will occur at the subsequent Index Review.
Merger/Acquisition	For Mergers and Acquisitions, the acquirer's post event weight will account for the proportionate amount of shares involved in deal consideration, while cash proceeds will be invested across the Index. If an existing Index constituent is acquired by a non-Index constituent, the existing constituent will be deleted from the Index and the acquiring non-constituent will not be added to the Index.
Changes in Security Characteristics	A security will continue to be an Index constituent if there are changes in characteristics (country, sector, size segment, etc.) Reevaluation for continued inclusion in the Index will occur at the subsequent Index Review.

Further detail and illustration regarding specific treatment of corporate events relevant to this Index can be found in the MSCI Corporate Events Methodology book under the sections detailing the treatment of events in Capped Weighted and Non-Market Capitalization Weighted indexes.

The MSCI Corporate Events methodology book is available at:
https://www.msci.com/index-methodology

Appendix I: MSCI Low Carbon Transition Risk Assessment

MSCI ESG Research's Low Carbon Transition Risk assessment[14] is designed to identify potential leaders and laggards by holistically measuring companies' exposure to and management of risks and opportunities related to the low carbon transition.

The outputs of this assessment are two company-level factors:

(1) **Low Carbon Transition Category**: This factor groups companies in five categories that highlight the predominant risks and opportunities they are most likely to face in the transition (Exhibit 1).

(2) **Low Carbon Transition Score:** This score is based on a multi-dimensional risks and opportunities assessment and considers both predominant and secondary risks a company faces. It is industry agnostic and represents an absolute assessment of a company's position vis-à-vis the transition.

Exhibit 1: Low Carbon Transition Categories and Scores

LOW CARBON TRANSITION SCORE	LOW CARBON TRANSITION CATEGORY		LOW CARBON TRANSITION RISK / OPPORTUNITY	
Score = 0	ASSET STRANDING		Potential to experience "stranding" of physical / natural assets due to regulatory, market, or technological forces arising from low carbon transition.	Coal mining & coal based power generation; Oil sands exploration/production
	TRANSITION	PRODUCT	Reduced demand for carbon-intensive products and services. Leaders and laggards are defined by the ability to shift product portfolio to low-carbon products.	Oil & gas exploration & production; Petrol/diesel based automobile manufacturers, thermal power plant turbine manufacturers etc.
		OPERATIONAL	Increased operational and/or capital cost due to carbon taxes and/or investment in carbon emission mitigation measures leading to lower profitability of the companies.	Fossil fuel based power generation, cement, steel etc.
	NEUTRAL		Limited exposure to low carbon transition carbon risk. Though companies in this category could have exposure to physical risk and/or indirect exposure to low carbon transition risk via lending, investment etc.	Consumer staples, healthcare, etc.
Score = 10	SOLUTIONS		Potential to benefit through the growth of low-carbon products and services.	Renewable electricity, electric vehicles, solar cell manufacturers etc.

[14] For more details on MSCI Climate Change Metrics: https://www.msci.com/climate-change-solutions

Calculation methodology

The Low Carbon Transition Categories and Scores are determined by a combination of each company's current risk exposure and its efforts to manage the risks and opportunities presented by the low carbon transition. The 3-step process followed by MSCI ESG Research is explained below.

Step 1: Measure Low Carbon Transition Risk Exposure

The first step towards measuring the Low Carbon Transition Risk Exposure for a company is the computation of its Carbon Intensity profile – which is informed by its Product Carbon Intensity, Operational Carbon Intensity and Total Carbon Intensity. In the next step, we compute Low Carbon Transition Risk Exposure Category and Score based on Total Carbon Intensity.

Step 2: Assess Low Carbon Transition Risk Management

In the second step, we assess a company's management of risks and opportunities presented by the low carbon transition. This assessment is based on policies and commitments to mitigate transition risk, governance structures, risk management programs and initiatives, targets and performance, and involvement in any controversies.

Step 3: Calculate Low Carbon Transition Category and Score

In the final step, the Low Carbon Transition Risk Exposure Category and Score that was calculated in Step 1 are adjusted for the strength of management efforts. Following this adjustment, Low Carbon Transition Risk Exposure Score of companies with top or second quartile risk management improves and some top and second quartile companies may move up one category.

Appendix II: MSCI Climate Value-At-Risk

The MSCI Climate Value-At-Risk measurement helps investors to assess future costs related to climate change and understand what those future costs could mean in the current valuation of securities. The premise of Climate Value-At-Risk is to aggregate costs related to specific climate risks over the next 15 years and calculate what these costs might signify about financial performance into the foreseeable future.

1.5°C Aggregated Policy Risk Equity Climate VaR (AIM CGE) [%]

An equity's aggregated downside policy risk exposure according to all emission sources (Scope 1, 2, 3), expressed as a percentage of the equity's market value, assuming a global 1.5°C target and using carbon prices from the AIM CGE model. Please refer to the Climate VaR methodology document for further details on scenario options.

1.5°C Technology Opportunity Equity Climate VaR (AIM CGE) [%]

An equity's upside technology opportunity exposure, expressed as a percentage of the equity's market value, assuming a global 1.5°C target and calculated using carbon prices from the AIM CGE model. Please refer to the Climate VaR methodology document for further details on scenario options.

Aggregated Extreme Weather Equity Climate VaR (Aggressive Scenario) [%]

An equity's "worst-case" (95th percentile) downside or upside potential, expressed as a percentage of the equity's market value, assuming trends in extreme cold, extreme heat, extreme precipitation, heavy snowfall, extreme wind, coastal flooding, fluvial flooding and tropical cyclones continue along a Business-As-Usual pathway.

Appendix III: Calculation of Target Metrics

Calculation of GHG Intensity

For Parent Index constituents where the Scope 1+2+3 Emissions Intensity is not available, the average Scope 1+2+3 Emissions Intensity of all the constituents of the MSCI ACWI in the same GICS Industry Group in which the constituent belongs is used.

Security Level GHG Intensity =

$$\frac{Scope\ 1+2+3\ Carbon\ Emissions * \ (1+EVIAF)}{Enterprise\ Value + Cash(in\ M\$)}$$

Enterprise Value Inflation Adjustment Factor (EVIAF) =

$$EVIAF = \left(\frac{Average(Enterprise\ Value + Cash)}{Previous\ (Average(Enterprise\ Value + Cash))}\right) - 1$$

Weighted Average GHG Intensity of Parent Index =

$$\sum(Weight\ in\ Parent\ Index * \ Security\ Level\ GHG\ Intensity)$$

Weighted Average GHG Intensity of Derived Index =

$$\sum(Index\ Weight * \ Security\ Level\ GHG\ Intensity)$$

Calculation of Potential Carbon Emissions Intensity

For newly added companies to the index where data is not available yet, MSCI uses zero fossil fuel reserves.

Security Level Potential Carbon Emissions (PCE) Intensity =

$$\frac{Absolute\ Potential\ Emissions * \ (1+EVIAF)}{Enterprise\ Value + Cash(in\ M\$)}$$

Weighted Average Potential Emissions Intensity of Parent Index =

$$\sum(Weight\ in\ Parent\ Index * \ Security\ Level\ PCE\ Intensity)$$

Weighted Average Potential Emissions Intensity of Derived Index =

$$\sum(Index\ Weight * \ Security\ Level\ PCE\ Intensity)$$

Calculation of Average Decarbonization

On average, the Indexes follow a 10% decarbonization trajectory since the Base Date. The Weighted Average GHG Intensity at the Base Date (W_1) is used to compute the target Weighted Average GHG Intensity at any given Semi-Annual Index Review (W_t) as per the below formula.

$$W_t = W_1 * \ 0.90^{\frac{(t-1)}{2}}$$

Where '*t*' is the number of Semi-Annual Index Reviews since the Base Date.

Thus, for the 3rd Semi-Annual Index Review since the Base Date (t=3), the target Weighted Average GHG Intensity will be W_1*0.90.

Companies Setting Targets

Relative to their corresponding Parent Indexes, the Indexes require a minimum 20% increase in the aggregate weight of companies setting emissions reduction targets

- companies publishing emissions reduction targets
- companies publishing their annual emissions and
- Companies reducing their GHG intensity by 7% over each of the last 3 years

Calculation of Green Revenue to Fossil fuels-based Revenue Multiple

Green Revenue

For each constituent in the Parent Index, the Green Revenue% is calculated as the cumulative revenue (%) from the six Clean Tech themes which are as follows:

- Alternative Energy – products and services that support the transmission, distribution and generation of renewable energy and alternative fuels to reduce carbon and pollutant emissions in supporting affordable and clean energy to combat climate change.

- Energy Efficiency – products, and services that support the maximization of productivity in labor, transportation, power and domestic applications with minimal energy consumption to ensure universal access to affordable, reliable and modern energy services.
- Sustainable Water – products, services, infrastructure projects and technologies that resolve water scarcity and water quality issues, through minimizing and monitoring current water demand, improving the quality and availability of water supply to improve resource management in both domestic and industrial use.
- Green Building – design, construction, redevelopment, retrofitting, or acquisition of green-certified properties to promote mechanisms for raising capacity for effective climate change mitigation and adaptation.
- Pollution Prevention – products, services, infrastructure projects and technologies that reduces volume of waste materials through recycling, minimizes introduction of toxic substances, and offers remediation of existing contaminants such as heavy metals and organic pollutants in various environmental media to significantly address pollution in all levels and its negative effects
- Sustainable Agriculture - revenues from forest and agricultural products that meet environmental and organic certification requirements to address significantly biodiversity loss, pollution, land disturbance, and water overuse

The Weighted Average Green Revenue% is calculated as:

$$= \sum (Weight\ in\ Index * \ Green\ Revenue\%)$$

Fossil fuels-based Revenue

For each constituent in the Parent Index, the Fossil fuels-based Revenue% is calculated as the cumulative revenue (%) from the following sources:

- Revenue% (either reported or estimated) from the mining of thermal coal (including lignite, bituminous, anthracite and steam coal) and its sale to external parties. It excludes revenue from metallurgical coal, coal mined for internal power generation (e.g. in the case of vertically integrated power producers), intra-company sales of mined thermal coal and revenue from coal trading (either reported or estimated)
- Revenue% from the extraction, production and refining of Conventional and Unconventional Oil & Gas. Conventional Oil and Gas includes Arctic onshore/offshore, deep water, shallow water and other onshore/offshore.

Unconventional Oil and Gas includes oil sands, oil shale (kerogen-rich deposits), shale gas, shale oil, coal seam gas, and coal bed methane.

- Revenue% from thermal coal based power generation, liquid fuel based power generation and natural gas based power generation.

The Weighted Average Fossil fuels-based Revenue% is calculated as:

$$= \sum (Weight\ in\ Index * \ Brown\ Revenue\%)$$

The Green Revenues to Fossil fuels-based Revenues multiple for either the Parent Index or the Index is calculated as a ratio of the Weighted Average Green Revenue to the Weighted Average Fossil fuels-based Revenue as per the formula below:

$$= \frac{Weighted\ Average\ Green\ Revenue\%}{Weighted\ Average\ Brown\ Revenue\%}$$

Aggregate Climate Value-at-Risk (VaR)

The Index-level Aggregate Climate Value-at-Risk for any Index is calculated as the sum of the below 3 components:

1. **Policy Risk Climate VaR[15] (1.5 Degrees)**: Weighted average of security level 1.5°C Aggregated Policy Risk Equity Climate VaR (AIM CGE) [%]
2. **Technology Opportunities Climate VaR (1.5 Degrees)**: Weighted average of security level 1.5°C Technology Opportunity Equity Climate VaR (AIM CGE) [%]
3. **Extreme Weather Climate VaR (Aggressive Scenario)**: Weighted average of security level Aggregated Extreme Weather Equity Climate VaR (Aggressive Scenario) [%]

Climate Impact Sectors

NACE[16] is the European Union's classification of economic activities. As per the draft DA, stocks in the NACE Section codes A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, L are classified as "High

---

[15] Starting from the May 2020 Semi-Annual Index Review, the Policy Risk Climate VaR used in the Indexes incorporate Scope 2 and Scope 3 emissions as well. The Policy Risk Climate VaR used in the May 2020 Semi-Annual Index Review of the Indexes is as of September 30, 2020.

[16] For further details regarding NACE, please refer to https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=NACE_background

Climate Impact" sector and other stocks are classified 'Low Climate Impact' sector. The GICS[17] Sub-Industry code for each security is mapped to the corresponding "Climate Impact Sector" using a mapping. This mapping is constructed in the following steps:

1. MSCI has published a mapping[18] between the NACE classes and GICS Sub-Industry.
2. For each GICS Sub-Industry, the number of NACE classes which fall under the High Climate Impact Sector (say the number of classes is N_H) and Low Climate Impact Sector (say the number of classes is N_L) is identified
3. If all the NACE classes for a given GICS Sub-Industry are identified in the High Climate Impact Sector ($N_L = 0$), then the GICS Sub-Industry is mapped to the High Climate Impact Sector. Conversely, if all the NACE classes for a given GICS Sub-Industry are identified in the Low Climate Impact Sector ($N_H = 0$) then the GICS Sub-Industry is mapped to the Low Climate Impact Sector
4. In case a GICS Sub-Industry is mapped to some NACE classes in the High Climate Impact Sector and the others in the Low Climate Impact Sector, the GICS Industry is mapped to the Climate Impact Sector in the following manner:
    - **a.** **$N_H >= N_L$**: If the number of NACE classes in the High Climate Impact Sector is at least equivalent to the number of NACE classes in the Low Climate Impact Sector, the GICS Sub-Industry is mapped to the High Climate Impact Sector
    - **b.** **$N_H < N_L$**: If the number of NACE classes in the High Climate Impact Sector is less than the number of NACE classes in the Low Climate Impact Sector, the GICS Sub-Industry is mapped to the Low Climate Impact Sector
5. Using the GICS Sub-Industry to Climate Impact Sector mapping created in Step 4, and the security-level GICS Sub-Industry, each security in the Parent Index is classified in either High Climate Impact Sector or Low Climate Impact Sector

[17] For further information regarding GICS, please refer to https://www.msci.com/gics

[18] This mapping is available in the Handbook of Climate Transition Benchmarks, Paris-Aligned Benchmark and Benchmarks' ESG Disclosures

Appendix IV: Decarbonization Trajectory of Indexes

The Weighted Average GHG Intensity on the Base Date (W_1) is used to compute the target Weighted Average GHG Intensity at any given Semi-Annual Index Review (W_t) as per the below formula.

$$W_t = W_1 * \ 0.90^{\frac{(t-1)}{2}}$$

Where ‘t’ is the number of Semi-Annual Index Reviews since the Base Date. The table below shows the Weighted Average GHG Intensity on the Base Date(W_1) for each of the regions where the Indexes are constructed:

Index	Parent Index	Base Date	W_1 (tCO2/M$ Enterprise Value + Cash)
MSCI ACWI Climate Paris Aligned Index	MSCI ACWI Index	June 01, 2020	231.29
MSCI World Climate Paris Aligned Index	MSCI World Index	June 01, 2020	227.32
MSCI EM (Emerging Markets) Climate Paris Aligned Index	MSCI EM (Emerging Markets) Index	June 01, 2020	316.75
MSCI Europe Climate Paris Aligned Index	MSCI Europe Index	June 01, 2020	270.23
MSCI EMU Climate Paris Aligned Index	MSCI EMU Index	June 01, 2020	306.37
MSCI USA Climate Paris Aligned Index	MSCI USA Index	June 01, 2020	208.81
MSCI Japan Climate Paris Aligned Index	MSCI Japan Index	June 01, 2020	400.80
MSCI World ex USA Climate Paris Aligned Index	MSCI World ex USA Index	June 01, 2020	299.55

Appendix V: Barra Equity Model Used in The Optimization

The MSCI Climate Paris Aligned Indexes currently use an optimization setup using the MSCI Barra Global Equity Model for Long-Term Investors (GEMLTL).

Appendix VI: New release of Barra® Equity Model or Barra® Optimizer

A major new release of the relevant Barra Equity Model or Barra Optimizer may replace the former version within a suitable timeframe.

The following sections have been updated effective June 01, 2022:

Section 3.3: Added footnotes for the constraints that were relaxed during May 2022 SAIR.

Contact us

clientservice@msci.com

AMERICAS

Americas	1 888 588 4567 *
Atlanta	+ 1 404 551 3212
Boston	+ 1 617 532 0920
Chicago	+ 1 312 675 0545
Monterrey	+ 52 81 1253 4020
New York	+ 1 212 804 3901
San Francisco	+ 1 415 836 8800
São Paulo	+ 55 11 3706 1360
Toronto	+ 1 416 628 1007

EUROPE, MIDDLE EAST & AFRICA

Cape Town	+ 27 21 673 0100
Frankfurt	+ 49 69 133 859 00
Geneva	+ 41 22 817 9777
London	+ 44 20 7618 2222
Milan	+ 39 02 5849 0415
Paris	0800 91 59 17 *

ASIA PACIFIC

China North	10800 852 1032 *
China South	10800 152 1032 *
Hong Kong	+ 852 2844 9333
Mumbai	+ 91 22 6784 9160
Seoul	00798 8521 3392 *
Singapore	800 852 3749 *
Sydney	+ 61 2 9033 9333
Taipei	008 0112 7513 *
Thailand	0018 0015 6207 7181 *
Tokyo	+ 81 3 5290 1555

* = toll free

ABOUT MSCI

MSCI is a leader provider of critical decision support tools and services for the global investment community. With over 45 years of expertise in research, data and technology, we power better investment decisions by enabling clients to understand and analyze key drivers of risk and return and confidently build more effective portfolios. We create industry-leading research-enhanced solutions that clients use to gain insight into and improve transparency across the investment process.

The process for submitting a formal index complaint can be found on the index regulation page of MSCI's website at: https://www.msci.com/index-regulation.

To learn more, please visit www.msci.com.

Notice and disclaimer