

Mines Need Biodiversity and HSBC's Legal Carbon Reduction Requirement

Featuring:

Samuel Block, ESG Research, MSCI Gillian Mollod, ESG Research, Model R&D, MSCI

Aura Toader, Corporate Governance Analyst, MSCI

Mike Disabato:

What's up, everyone? Welcome to the weekly edition of ESG Now, where we cover how the environment, our society and corporate governance affects and are affected by our economy. I'm your host, Mike Disabato. This week we have two stories for you. First, we discuss how some mining companies' operations are complicated by the biodiversity hotspots and pristine environments they operate in. Then we give an update on the biggest move so far of this proxy season, including a legally binding climate resolution at the second largest bank in Europe, HSBC. Thanks as always for joining us. Stay tuned.

Nevada, in the U.S. is a state known for its largest city, Las Vegas. But the state is also full of national parks, with around 81% of it being owned by the federal government. There are ample majestic views and dry land forests made up of brush and spruce and fir trees and desert plants and flowers. Those parks also sit aside some of the largest mining programs in the U.S. Recently these two things came into conflict after the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service proposed protecting thyme buckwheat, which is a wild flower that grows in Nevada. They want to put it under the Endangered Species Act, which could hinder the progress of a lithium mine, lithium being a key electric car battery material being developed by Australian company loneer. This dispute is a paradigm of the problem we face in 2021 with mines and biodiversity loss.

Mines are industrial feats of humanity. The minerals they provide are vital for both modern society and the desire to decarbonize our world. Without metals, we would basically be a hunter and gatherer society. And without lithium, companies like Ford, Tesla and General Motors wouldn't be able to produce fleets of electric vehicles. But mines are often located in areas of invaluable ecological importance and their operations disrupt the healthy functioning of our environment. And thus, they threaten the survival of our species. There's a belief that the major environmental impacts associated with mining, such as soil and water pollution, air quality issues and erosion, can be addressed if mining is done properly and sustainably. That



may be true, but it becomes very tricky when the mines are in remote and undeveloped areas, places of high biodiversity and even places that are what we call pristine or undisturbed ecosystems.

But these challenges aren't stopping companies and investors in mines. But the problem is communities and regulators and some investors are now pushing back and mines are being pitted against fragile and pristine environments, which increase the risks of operational roadblocks, stakeholder resistance and general investor concerns, or so says my two guests and colleagues, Sam Block and Gillian Mollod in a paper and blog they recently on the subject. So because of this news about Nevada, I wanted to hear more about this so I called up both Sam and Gillian and asked Sam if I'm right to say that this issue is not just limited to Nevada, that it's an issue across our world and for many mining companies today.

Sam Block:

We're seeing a lot more of these incidents happening around the world, where different interests in protecting natural resources are creating some sort of hinder and hurdle to developing different mining operations around the world. I think we even recently saw this, to a certain extent, with the U.S. Presidential administration suspending oil leases in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. That certainly also is going to happen a lot more with mining companies. We have mines that we've seen also in Alaska that have had a very hard time developing, because the mine seems to threaten or potentially could damage wildlife resources like salmon or salmon spawning areas.

Mike Disabato:

Sam mentioned that concerns around wild salmon populations is a reason for one of the longest running disputed mines in North America called the Pebble Mine in Bristol Bay, Alaska. The reason the mine has stalled is because conservationists in Bristol Bay have been able to easily identify a species, salmon, that supports an entire region's economy and biodiversity. From the tiniest microorganism they say to the giant grizzly bear, life in Bristol Bay revolves around salmon. Companies and investors can, theoretically, prepare for these types of risks when they are easily identified. Salmon is a global commodity. Everyone knows about it. And most cultures eat it. Salmon sales globally are around 17 billion U.S. dollars per year.

Where the risks become more complicated is when there is a less identifiable species or ecosystem near where a mine is being produced, such as the thyme buckwheat in Nevada or sediment export from Madre de Dios in Peru that eventually degraded ecosystems alongside rivers in Brazil. [inaudible 00:05:12] this happens, tensions rise between the mining companies and the communities they operate in. That's when things come to a head and instead of working in collaboration to ensure environmental priorities are addressed alongside economic ones, you see legal attacks being mounted between mining companies and conservation groups and sometimes violence and sometimes massive problems. Sam has seen that in 2021, that this is all becoming a much more pressing risk for companies and investors around the world.



Sam Block:

Well, the issue around biodiversity has really been building up over the years. We've seen a lot of incidents of ecological collapse. I mean, I'm thinking instances like with the loss of bees that have been happening. We've seen forest fires and deforestation growing in many places around the world, as you know, especially in the Amazon, which has been the place that people have been concerned about for a long time. Then we're seeing things like the coral reefs systems collapsing in many places, the bleaching. Part of that is because of climate change. Climate change is definitely one of the leading causes of biodiversity loss. We're just seeing a lot more litigation and instances of protest that have been building up to protect biodiversity.

That's also led to an increased attention by investors and these new taskforces on naturerelated financial disclosures and partnerships for biodiversity accounting financials and new regulations such as in the SFDR, the Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulations, in the EU that are going to require some disclosures around biodiversity impacts and biodiversity management. Also, I think COVID maybe in a certain extent has humbled the world to realize, we are living on one earth and problems that happen can affect all of us. That, and also we've been spending a lot more time outside, going to the parks and noticing wildlife in the cities that we live in. I mean, in New York City I saw a bunch of owls this year, which was amazing. I've heard stories of this happening for many different people around the world.

Mike Disabato:

Right. It seems that biodiversity has become mainstreamed into both our societies and into the energy and mining sector. Mining companies are beginning to understand the financial incentive to mitigate the biodiversity losses that their operations cause. That is because there is a significant infrastructure required to keep a mine operational. We're talking about roads, pipelines, dams, operational structures in general. These are all dependent on the proper functioning of natural ecosystems that require biodiversity to thrive. I'm talking about erosion control, soil health and slope stabilization that's done through vegetation and the protection afforded by ecosystems against natural disasters, such as flooding and storm surges. Water is also extremely vital for the mining industry and for many industries in general. All these systems break down if the ecosystem breaks down. The ecosystem is dependent on the biological variety and veritability of life on earth, aka, biodiversity.

Our world's biodiversity is in decline. In Europe and Asia, for example, only 23% of species and 16% of its habitats are in good health, according to the WWF. The rainforest in Latin America has seen the worst deforestation in 12 years. Around one million animal and plant species are now threatened with extinction, many within decades, more than ever before in human history. This is all according the UN, by the way. But the thing is with biodiversity is it's very regionally specific. There are these diverse distance and interacting threats that mines can bring to different types of species and ecosystems.

But there are areas that we can look at that are basically flashpoints for biodiversity loss controversies. They are our globe's, quote, "biodiversity hotspots," which are defined as



places that one, have at least 1,500 endemic native vascular plant species, and two, have lost 70% of their primary vegetation already. So what Sam did, alongside Gillian, who you're about to hear from, Gillian is somewhat of a digital cartographer for us, they looked at these hotspots to identify any areas of possible trouble.

Gillian Mollod:

When we looked at the biodiversity hotspots, what we did was we put over 3,000 mines on a global map that really represent the global economy of mine location. There are mines all over the globe, basically. We found that the most mines were located in two of the biodiversity hotspots that were important. One was the tropical Andes, which is an area about three times the size of Spain that extends from Venezuela to northern Chile. This area is very biodiverse. It has over 30,000 plant species and really the largest variety of amphibians, birds and mammals. So really just a wonderful area. That's important to keep in mind.

We also noticed that a lot were in the Madrean Pine-Oak Woodlands, which is a rugged mountain area with lots of terrains located in Mexico. This has also deep canyons and has over a quarter of the world's oak species. Both of these areas are very biodiverse areas. You can imagine that large mining operations in these biodiverse areas would have a real strong impact there.

Mike Disabato:

Let me give you an example of some of those large mining operations. There's the Buena Vista Copper Mine that's in the northwest region of Mexico, which is owned partially by the Southern Copper Corporation. Or there's the Escobal Silver Mine in Guatemala, which is owned in part by Pan America. That's just to name of few. These mines are in both biodiversity hotspots and in intact biodiversity areas. In that blog that's on msci.com that Gillian and Sam wrote, there's more examples of these areas where we would think that there could be heightened increase of conflict and concern around biodiversity loss. These risks are global. I don't just want to pick on the Americas. They crop up in Europe, Africa, Asia, Australia, they're basically everywhere. And they are set to increase in the future.

Gillian Mollod:

We expect metal mining to increase over the coming decades. This is due in large to demand for new energy infrastructure, and also because of continued development in emerging markets. As we see this demand rise for minerals, this increases the likelihood that metal mining companies will be forced to develop mines in high biodiversity value areas. In the already built out mines, as biodiversity diminishes with operations, we expect to see a decrease in the minerals left extract.

Mike Disabato:

The problem of where to mine has gotten so confusing that there's even serious consideration about trying to mine the deepest parts of our oceans, places where the risks



increase tenfold and the biodiversity problems are even more unknown. There are high-stakes political decisions that are being made about infrastructure that can impact our natural world. This week, the Keystone XL pipeline, a contentious pipeline that would have pushed more Canadian crude into the U.S., was scuttled after years of protests, false starts and failed discussions. It was another example that for highly impactful infrastructure projects, all parties need to ensure there is proper and aligned dialogue between the industry, policymakers and conservation organizations.

As you know, if you've been listening to our show these past couple of weeks, it's the time of company elections. The time when shareholders get to vote on their company's doings or not doings, and to tell them if they are happy or unhappy about the long-term strategy of the companies that they put their capital into. I'm going to try and bring you some of the more interesting stories from this year's proxy season each week. Last week, I talked about what happened at Exxon. This week we actually have another environmental bombshell. In a first at a multinational bank, HSBC has had a shareholder resolution pass that commits the bank to phasing out coal fire power and thermal coal mining financing by 2040. I wanted to hear more about this and to do that I called up my colleague, Aura Toader, to ask about what happened. Because not only is this a huge environmental win, but unlike most resolutions, this resolution is legally binding.

Aura Toader:

The shareholder proposal at HSBC passed with over 99% shareholder support, which is really unprecedented and a major win for shareholder activists. In the UK you need a 75% threshold that is required for a resolution to pass. Once it does, it's legally binding. That means that shareholders can take legal action against the board if the proposal is not implemented and that can carry high legal and reputational costs. Now, that the resolution passed, HSBC is committed to phase out financing of coal by 2040 globally. They also have to set short-term and medium-term targets to align the bank's financing with the goals of the Paris Agreement.

They also have to report annually on this progress. In the next six months until the end of the year, HSBC has to engage with ShareAction, which is the NGO that coordinated the investor coalition behind the shareholder proposal. ShareAction has specific demands from HSBC. For example, it argued that the coal policy should apply not only to its banking operations, but also to its asset management arm. If investors are not satisfied with HSBC's policies and targets this year, we might see another climate proposal at the 2022 General Shareholder Meeting.

Mike Disabato:

Why did this pass by so much? I mean, usually when we see shareholder resolutions that pass, they pass by like 51%, it's just a small margin. Sometimes they get up to 75. But why did this get 99% of shareholder support? Did something different happen with HSBC and the shareholders and things like that?



Aura Toader:

Yeah, that's really unprecedented. It's mostly due to the fact that both management and the board supported the proposal and it's really this engagement effort between the bank and its investors that was crucial to pass the proposal, because other banks such as UKB, or Barclays, the management at Barclays did not back the shareholder climate proposal. The result is that it only received 14% votes in favor, which is not enough to meet the 75% threshold to pass and to be legally binding.

Mike Disabato:

Okay. So tune in every week. Until proxy season's over, we'll try to bring you some of the more interesting resolutions for your ear balls. And that's it for the week. I wanted to thank Sam and Gillian and Aura for talking to me about this week's news with an ESG twist. I want to thank you so much for listening. Don't forget to rate and review us wherever you get your podcasts. It really helps. And, of course, subscribe if you're not already a subscribed listener. Thanks again. And talk to you next week.

Audio:

The MSCI ESG Research Podcast is provided by MSCI Inc.'s subsidiary, MSCI ESG Research, LLC, a registered investment advisor under the Investment Advisors Act of 1940. And this recording and data mentioned herein has not been submitted to and/or received approval from the United States Securities and Exchange Commission or any other regulatory body. The analysis discussed should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of any future performance, analysis forecast or prediction. The information contained in this recording is not for reproduction in whole or in part without prior written permission from MSCI ESG Research.

None of the discussion or analysis put forth in this recording constitutes an offer to buy or sell, or a promotional recommendation of any security financial instrument or product or trading strategy. Further, none of the information is intended to constitute investment advice or recommendation to make or refrain from making any kind of investment decision and may not be relied on as such. The information provided here is, as is. And the user of the information assumes the entire risk of any use it may make or permit to be made of the information. Thank you.



About MSCI

MSCI is a leading provider of critical decision support tools and services for the global investment community. With over 50 years of expertise in research, data and technology, we power better investment decisions by enabling clients to understand and analyze key drivers of risk and return and confidently build more effective portfolios. We create industry-leading research-enhanced solutions that clients use to gain insight into and improve transparency across the investment process. To learn more, please visit **www.msci.com**.

Information containing any historical information, data or analysis should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of any future performance, analysis, forecast or prediction. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

The Information should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. All Information is impersonal and not tailored to the needs of any person, entity or group of persons.

None of the Information constitutes an offer to sell (or a solicitation of an offer to buy), any security, financial product or other investment vehicle or any trading strategy.

It is not possible to invest directly in an index. Exposure to an asset class or trading strategy or other category represented by an index is only available through third party investable instruments (if any) based on that index. MSCI does not issue, sponsor, endorse, market, offer, review or otherwise express any opinion regarding any fund, ETF, derivative or other security, investment, financial product or trading strategy that is based on, linked to or seeks to provide an investment return related to the performance of any MSCI index (collectively, "Index Linked Investments"). MSCI makes no assurance that any Index Linked Investments will accurately track index performance or provide positive investment returns. MSCI Inc. is not an investment adviser or fiduciary and MSCI makes no representation regarding the advisability of investing in any Index Linked Investments.

Index returns do not represent the results of actual trading of investible assets/securities. MSCI maintains and calculates indexes, but does not manage actual assets. Index returns do not reflect payment of any sales charges or fees an investor may pay to purchase the securities underlying the index or Index Linked Investments. The imposition of these fees and charges would cause the performance of an Index Linked Investment to be different than the MSCI index performance.

The Information may contain back tested data. Back-tested performance is not actual performance, but is hypothetical. There are frequently material differences between back tested performance results and actual results subsequently achieved by any investment strategy.

Constituents of MSCI equity indexes are listed companies, which are included in or excluded from the indexes according to the application of the relevant index methodologies. Accordingly, constituents in MSCI equity indexes may include MSCI Inc., clients of MSCI or suppliers to MSCI. Inclusion of a security within an MSCI index is not a recommendation by MSCI to buy, sell, or hold such security, nor is it considered to be investment advice.

Data and information produced by various affiliates of MSCI Inc., including MSCI ESG Research LLC and Barra LLC, may be used in calculating certain MSCI indexes. More information can be found in the relevant index methodologies on www.msci.com.

MSCI receives compensation in connection with licensing its indexes to third parties. MSCI Inc.'s revenue includes fees based on assets in Index Linked Investments. Information can be found in MSCI Inc.'s company filings on the Investor Relations section of www.msci.com.

MSCI ESG Research LLC is a Registered Investment Adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and a subsidiary of MSCI Inc. Except with respect to any applicable products or services from MSCI ESG Research, neither MSCI nor any of its products or services recommends, endorses, approves or otherwise expresses any opinion regarding any issuer, securities, financial products or instruments or trading strategies and MSCI's products or services are not intended to constitute investment advice or a recommendation to make (or refrain from making) any kind of investment decision and may not be relied on as such. Issuers mentioned or included in any MSCI ESG Research materials may include MSCI Inc., clients of MSCI or services or services from MSCI ESG Research. MSCI ESG Research materials utilized in any MSCI ESG Indexes or other products, have not been submitted to, nor received approval from, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission or any other regulatory body.

Any use of or access to products, services or information of MSCI requires a license from MSCI. MSCI, Barra, RiskMetrics, IPD and other MSCI brands and product names are the trademarks, service marks, or registered trademarks of MSCI or its subsidiaries in the United States and other jurisdictions. The Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS) was developed by and is the exclusive property of MSCI and Standard & Poor's. "Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS)" is a service mark of MSCI and Standard & Poor's.

MIFID2/MIFIR notice: MSCI ESG Research LLC does not distribute or act as an intermediary for financial instruments or structured deposits, nor does it deal on its own account, provide execution services for others or manage client accounts. No MSCI ESG Research product or service supports, promotes or is intended to support or promote any such activity. MSCI ESG Research is an independent provider of ESG data, reports and ratings based on published methodologies and available to clients on a subscription basis. We do not provide custom or one-off ratings or recommendations of securities or other financial instruments upon request.

Privacy notice: For information about how MSCI ESG Research LLC collects and uses personal data concerning officers and directors, please refer to our Privacy Notice at https://www.msci.com/privacy-pledge.

This document and all of the information contained in it, including without limitation all text, data, graphs, charts (collectively, the "Information") is the property of MSCI Inc. or its subsidiaries (collectively, "MSCI"), or MSCI's licensors, direct or indirect suppliers or any third party involved in making or compiling any Information (collectively, with MSCI, the "Information Providers") and is provided for informational purposes only. The Information may not be modified, reverse-engineered, reproduced or redisseminated in whole or in part without prior written permission from MSCI.

The Information may not be used to create derivative works or to verify or correct other data or information. For example (but without limitation), the Information may not be used to create indexes, databases, risk models, analytics, software, or in connection with the issuing, offering, sponsoring, managing or marketing of any securities, portfolios, financial products or other investment vehicles utilizing or based on, linked to, tracking or otherwise derived from the Information or any other MSCI data, information, products or services.

The user of the Information assumes the entire risk of any use it may make or permit to be made of the Information. NONE OF THE INFORMATION PROVIDERS MAKES ANY EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES OR REPRESENTATIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE INFORMATION (OR THE RESULTS TO BE OBTAINED BY THE USE THEREOF), AND TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT PERMITTED BY APPLICABLE LAW, EACH INFORMATION PROVIDER EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF ORIGINALITY, ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, NON-INFRINGEMENT, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE) WITH RESPECT TO ANY OF THE INFORMATION.

Without limiting any of the foregoing and to the maximum extent permitted by applicable law, in no event shall any Information Provider have any liability regarding any of the Information for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential (including lost profits) or any other damages even if notified of the possibility of such damages. The foregoing shall not exclude or limit any liability that may not by applicable law be excluded or limited, including without limitation (as applicable), any liability for death or personal injury to the extent that such injury results from the negligence or willful default of itself, its servants, agents or sub-contractors.